

BEST OF THE RANTS



INTRODUCTION

I have been a National Socialist for many years now, I won't be exact. And not just online but in the real world. Many National Socialists in multiple countries know who I am personally. In short, I care deeply about all the issues I will be exploring here.

I was motivated to make this channel specifically by the 2022 Russian invasion of Ukraine, when I became disgusted by the amount of misinformation floating around our circles about this topic, particularly in the Anglosphere Nationalist/National Socialist community, both by commentators and the general community. I spent many hours debating people in various chatrooms, anyone who was a regular in Joel Davis' or Alex McNabb's chat in early 2022 will recognize my name and profile picture, when someone asked me if there was a channel or website where I could store all my long-form posts. That got me thinking and led to this channel.

Also I am not James Allsup, as has been asked before.

You can contact me personally here: @JamesNorthA

Feel free to ask me questions, send me content you think I'd be interested in, dispute a post I made, or contact me for any other reason.

Let the effort-posts begin.

Slandering Azov Battalion always boils down to three points

1. They are funded/controlled(!) by Jewish billionaire Ihor Kolomoyskyi
2. They were armed by Israel
3. They serve the jews

1. This is false. There is simply no proof beyond one claim from an Israeli newspaper (Ma'Ariv) which in turn admit it's a rumour. In any case this claim would be *debatable at best* since even if there was proof that Kolomoyskyi funded Azov (which there isn't), Kolomoyskyi did not just put money into National Socialist hands, he blanket-funded dozens of volunteer battalions very early during the first clashes of the conflict in 2014. Azov did not have the reputation on May 5th, 2014 (when it was founded) that it does now. At the time it was just a group of Ukrainian Nationalists centered around Kharkiv FC (A football club) who formed a volunteer battalion. It's possible, though not proven, that while Ihor was handing out millions of dollars to dozens of new volunteer militias, some of that money found its way into Azov hands before they were well known for being National Socialist. That hardly makes Azov a creation of or owned by Kolomoyskyi. On November 12th, 2014, Azov

Battalion was incorporated into the Ukrainian National Guard after just 6 months as an independent outfit, and since then has been funded by the Ukrainian taxpayer. Finally, Ihor Kolomoyskyi "switched sides" (in quotations because he is a jew in Europe and therefore his only side is whatever is best for jews broadly and himself personally) and in 2019 began supporting Putin and Russia.

2. This claim has several forms, ranging from Azov bought weapons from an Israeli firearms dealer to Azov was armed by Israeli intelligence itself. Whatever form the rumour takes, it is factually incorrect. The root of this myth comes from Israel, specifically a group of Israeli NGO's who claim Azov has been using Israeli-made Tavor rifles.

In 2005 the Small Arms Division of Israeli Military Industries, a state owned weapons manufacturer, was privatized, giving birth to the private company Israeli Weapon Industries, who produced and own the license for the Tavor rifle system. These rifles can only be purchased from IWI. When pictures began to circulate of Azov Battalion allegedly with Israeli-made Tavor rifles, ill-informed leftists and Fascists alike took it as proof that Azov Battalion was being armed by the state of Israel.

However, these are not Israeli-made Tavor rifles, but Ukrainian-made Fort rifles via a IWI manufacture-license purchased in 2008. That's right, in 2008 a Ukrainian government owned weapons manufacturer (RPC Fort) purchased the rights from the private company IWI, who are in the business of making money, to license-manufacture Tavor rifle systems produced locally in Ukraine and renamed the Fort-221, Fort-222, Fort-223, and Fort-224. The license was purchased by RPC Fort in 2008, a full six years before Azov Battalion entered the scene in 2014. Azov Battalion has nothing to do with the fact that in 2008 a Ukrainian government owned weapons manufacturer purchased the rights to license-manufacture the Tavor rifle system.

3. This is more of a fallacy than a specific claim. Zelensky, a jew, is the President of Ukraine. But Azov have been loud critics of the government for years. When the rebellion/invasion happened they defended their country, as Nationalists do, fighting for their people not the government. Furthermore the US government has been funding the Ukrainian military for years now, however in 2018 the Congress of the United States included a provision in their spending bill, which included a military aid package to Ukraine, which specifically banned any resources, training, or funds from being sent to Azov Battalion "based on their demonstrable white supremacist ideology". Furthermore, in October of 2019 Zelensky travelled to the front lines and told Azov Battalion to disarm and leave the front lines. This was done because having an openly Fascist (one day I will explain why I use Fascism as an umbrella term for specific and different forms of Fascism, such as National Socialism) state-sponsored paramilitary on the front lines made Zelensky's regime look bad (to the international liberal world) and slightly reduced the chances of Ukraine joining NATO. The result was Zelensky melting down on video that he was unable to give orders to Azov Battalion. This will be further explained below.

Now that the rumours are cleared up, let's look at the factual reality of Azov. It's a National Socialist paramilitary with their own political wing and youth camp, they help organize the largest National Socialist networking event in the world (Asgardsrei), and they have a militant impact on the National Socialist community in Europe, and the broad culture across the world. This is not "Nazi aesthetics". This is not "larping", these men are literally fighting in a war against the Russian military. This is the most well rounded National Socialist community in the world. They terrorize Ukrainian antifa, such as it exists, shape the next generation of National Socialists with their youth camps, and have a large impact not only on Ukrainian Nationalism, but on the state itself.

You can say you don't agree with Azov's goals of not surrendering to the foreign invasion like a true Nationalist should (This is literally what TRS and some others have been advocating), but you cannot claim any longer that they are jew puppets. Remember, von Papen and the conservative establishment thought they could use Hitler. In the same way, Azov's founding commander Andriy Biletsky has been publicly frank that he knows NATO and the west are using Ukraine/the Ukrainian military, which includes Azov, for their own means which are not in the *long-term* interests of the Ukrainian people. However, the flood of resources from the west (while the US has made it illegal to direct-transfer weapons, resources, and training to Azov, once they are given to the Ukrainian military there is nothing stopping it from going to Azov) gives Azov a great opportunity to grow National Socialism into a formidable force in Ukraine, which can one day perhaps take power in a war-torn and unstable country like Ukraine.

Faced with all this undeniable evidence, Russian/Putin supporters in the west will likely resort to "if they are so based how come they have not assassinated Zelensky/overthrown the government yet?". Read the paragraph above again. Azov knows that if there was a successful National Socialist coup in Ukraine, the billions of military aid from the west would dry up in an instant. In this scenario when the Russian invasion came Ukraine would stand even less of a chance than they would otherwise. Furthermore, there is no certainty an internal coup would be successful at this point. While there is a large amount of National Socialist sympathy across the Ukrainian Armed Forces (recently we saw NATO & a mainstream news outlet whose name I am forgetting tweet then delete 2 different pictures of ordinary soldiers wearing NS patches), the attempted coup might be unsuccessful/spark a civil war. Not all of Ukraine's military and state security services are pro-NS. Fighting a civil war while a powerful neighbour waits to invade or is in the process of invading is not very smart.

Ukraine, like all of Eastern Europe, is in a tough spot vis a vi Russia and NATO. However, realpolitik demands you do whatever you need to, make whatever alliances and deals you must, to deal with the immediate threat. Tomorrow's threat can be dealt with once today's threat has been dealt with. And to Eastern Europeans, even Eastern European nationalists from Finland to Romania (with pro-state Belarussian nationalists being the *one* exception) the greater threat is Russia, the Asiatic empire ran by devout anti-fascists who torture and murder National Socialists in prison, and who would tear down their statues to nationalists who fought with Hitler and German National Socialism, the greatest hope of the White race to date, and replace them with Red Army monuments to the men who raped and murdered their way across their *homeland* in order to crush that great White hope.

Rest In Peace Maxim Martsinkevich

Rest In Peace Maxim Martsinkevich, May 8th 1984 - September 16th 2020, murdered in custody before his trial by "Based Putin's" security apparatus. Maxim had previously received a 3 and a 5 year sentence (the latter later reduced to 34 months) for making racist videos.

Ask Russian National Socialists what they think of Putin and the Kremlin. They look on the Kremlin as you look on the Pentagon. In America you can legally Sieg Heil and be a National Socialist, ostensibly at the least. In Russia the state will sentence you to years in prison and then murder you.

I respect the hell out of Russian National Socialists, but Russia and the Putin regime is an Asiatic empire ran by orthodox jews and antifa sympathizers, who HATE Fascism. Don't believe me? Ask Russian National Socialists, if you know any.

Multiple Russian National Socialists have told me they firmly believe Putin is a jew via his mother. I don't know if it's true, but it's something a lot of Russian NS firmly believe. What can't be doubted is Putin grew up in a jewish area, his father served in an NKVD Destruction Battalion, one of his brother's died during the Siege of Leningrad, his uncles died during Barbarossa, and Russian National Socialists are persecuted in Russia and Belarus even more than in America. Russian and Belarussian NS often join Baltic and Slavic NS crews, if they are accepted, to try and avoid being tortured and murdered by their government. Those who remain in Russia and are open National Socialists experience a level of persecution by the state unlike anything any other National Socialist in the Western world endures, be it in America, the UK, Sweden, or anywhere else.

If you wish to learn more about the most famous/infamous Russian National Socialist to date, I shall link an article about him directly below by a Polish National Socialist which was translated (poorly) into English. If you wonder why he refers to Tesak as Polish and "our Polish skinhead", Tesak was of Russian, Polish, and Lithuanian, among perhaps one or two other White ethnic groups, ancestry.

<https://telegra.ph/On-the-death-of-Maxim-Martsinkevich-06-14>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maxim_Martsinkevich#Death

Included are four medias, sometimes they are posted in an order other than that which I intended, so I will just describe them and you will know which is what.

One of Maxim "Tesak" Marsinkevich, one of a Russian jew explaining what the jewish community in Russia is like, another of Chabad jew explaining Putin is in full contact with Israel in syria, and a picture of Russian separatists in East Ukraine from 2014, which is 100% representative of the Russian separatists. They all go to war with soviet and antifa iconography.

To western "NS" who think Russia is the lesser of two evils

Here is something else western NS who think Russia is the lesser of two evils should consider, and this and the above posts go together, since I believe the post above explains the post below.

If Russia is the lesser of the two evils, if Russia (Eastern ZOG) is better than Ukraine/NATO/EU/Western ZOG, then why are Russian National Socialists serving with Azov and Ukraine? Are you trying to tell me that you, western National Socialist living in South Dakota, know Russia better than men who were born and raised there? How do you explain this without sounding obviously ill-informed? "I know Russia better than Russians, no I am not ethnically Russian, no I wasn't born there, no I've never been there, no I don't speak Russian, no I don't know first-hand what it's like to be a National Socialist in Russia, but trust me it's better than the west I know better than these guys."

Media files are often posted in an order other than that which I intended, so I will simply describe the attached medias.

The guys in the video were friends with Tesak, the famous/infamous NS who the Putin regime tortured and murdered (see above). A description accompanies the videos as follows, originally posted by Thomas Sewell of Australian National Socialist Network fame:

From a colleague, in Russian.

"Russian nationalists Artem Krasnolutsky and his colleague are mates of murdered Russian nationalist Tesak. The same Tesak who caught pedofiles including a close friend of Putin and for this was sentenced to gaol as a "neo-Nazi" where on orders of Putin he was killed. The boys are on their way to fight for Ukraine. Russian brothers in the tradition of the White Army in 1941 who with Hitler fought for Ukraine against Stalin and his Asian hordes! Slava Ukrajini"

The two men together in the picture are part of Leibstandart Victor Larionov, a newly formed pro-Ukrainian militia consisting of Russian National Socialists. The militia is named after White Army and Russian Liberation Army (ROA) officer Victor Larionov.

The man on his own is a volunteer from Russia with the Azov Battalion, who is wearing a Russian Liberation Army patch below a Ukrainian flag.

The man on his own with the machinegun over his shoulder is another Russian volunteer fighting with Ukraine, again with a Russian Liberation Army patch.

Also included is a video from perhaps the most famous Russian National Socialist today: Denis "White Rex" Nikitin, who like any well-informed, intelligent, dedicated National Socialist, supports Azov and Ukraine against Russia. You can find his channel here: https://t.me/white_powder2020

These Russian National Socialists, and many more who do not post pictures and videos on social media, decided that the best thing they as Russian National Socialists could do for their race was fight alongside Azov against their anti-fascist government and against the Russian antifa militias known as the Donetsk and Lugansk People's Republics. These republics and their "armed forces" all fly soviet and anti-fascist iconography, and even the allegedly "National Socialist" units on the Russian side such as the Russian Imperial Movement, Sparta Battalion, Russian National Unity all glorify their ancestors in the Red Army who raped and murdered their way across Eastern Europe on their way to destroy the White race's greatest hope to date; Adolf Hitler and German National Socialism.

And if you doubt that Russia is truly an anti-fascist state, and are not just "owning the libs" with epic rhetoric, consider these facts.

Putin's father served with an NKVD Destruction Battalion. The NKVD DB's were the worst murderers and rapists in the entirety of the USSR. To serve in the NKVD you needed to pass a much more stringent ideological background check than just being a rank and file soldier in the Red Army. Putin's brother died during the Siege of Leningrad. Putin's uncles died during Barbarossa broadly. Do you really think he doesn't legitimately hate the worldview that killed so much of his family?

According to Putin, one of his goals is to "Denazify" Ukraine. According to Intel Slava (261k+ followers) on Telegram, a Slavic geo-politics channel which is actually Russia News 24, basically Russian mainstream media which absolutely has connections in the Russian state and military, the Russian military specifically targeted "Neo-Nazis" at the beginning of the invasion, and Russian Special Forces units worked hand in hand with local anti-fascists to hunt down "ultranationalist leaders". The Russian Ministry of Defence announced that in August of this year, the Russian government/military will be hosting what they call "The First International Antifascist Congress" in Moscow as part of the 2022 Military-Technology Forum. Previously, the Russian Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov had spoken at an Anti-Fascist conference where he said "The world was vaccinated against the virus of Nazism, but the immunity is wearing off in countries like Ukraine".

If the Pentagon organized Anti-Fascist conferences, and if the United States Secretary of State spoke at Anti-Fascist conferences, Anglosphere Nationalists would rightly refer to that as an Antifa government, so why do they not extend that same logic to the Russian government who *are* organizing Anti-Fascist conferences and having their top diplomat speak at them?

Ask yourself, does this, when paired with what you either already knew or what you have learned about Russian National Socialism, sound like rhetoric, or does this sound like a legitimately anti-fascist state? Russian National Socialists who were born and raised in Russia certainly think of Russia as an anti-fascist state. Just ask Tesak's family about his mutilated corpse which Russian ZOG claims was suicide.

I hope some of you were able to learn something new from this, and even if you do not side with the Ukrainian people in this conflict, that you at least look at Putin and Russia with less rose-coloured glasses.

<https://www.rt.com/russia/534258-lavrov-ukraine-russophobia-rehabilitation-nazis/>

<https://www.rt.com/russia/551003-russia-plans-anti-fascist-congress/>

Will Siding with Russia Weaken NATO?

I also have something to say for those who side with Russia because they oppose NATO. The idea that Russia invading Ukraine will weaken NATO is incorrect and crumbles under the most rudimentary analysis.

There is no outcome from this invasion that will reduce the power of NATO/America in Europe, on the contrary, by the end of this war no matter the outcome Russia will be weaker in comparison to NATO than they were before, and America/NATO will have a stronger presence in Europe than they did before.

NATO has been suffering a legitimacy crises since the collapse of the USSR, however by Russia invading a *non-NATO country*, they have justified the need for NATO in the eyes of millions of Eastern Europeans, and NATO has been invigorated like never before. Already look at some of the news coming out of Europe:

Germany to spend over 100 billion Euros rearming their military.

Poland increasing the size of their military from 110k to 250k, creating a Territorial Defense Force of 50k, and increasing their military budget from 2% of GDP to 3%.

Pro-NATO membership polling in Finland and Sweden at a record high, with the governments of each country talking seriously about it for the first time. Finland in a policy reversal has sent 2'500 assault rifles, 150k rounds of ammunition, 1'500 "one-shot bazookas" (which are most likely M72 LAWs), and 70k MRE's to Ukraine.

[dw.com/en/germany-commits-100-billion-to-defense-spending/a-60933724](https://www.dw.com/en/germany-commits-100-billion-to-defense-spending/a-60933724)

<https://www.usnews.com/news/world/articles/2021-10-26/poland-plans-radical-strengthening-of-its-military>

euractiv.com/section/defence-and-security/news/finland-sweden-to-receive-enhanced-access-to-nato-intel-over-ukraine/

reuters.com/world/europe/majority-finns-now-want-join-nato-poll-2022-02-28/

reuters.com/world/europe/finland-sends-weapons-ammunition-ukraine-2022-02-28/

By Russia invading a non-NATO country, NATO has been justified in the eyes of Eastern Europe, and NATO arms dealers are going to make billions off of Germany and Poland ramping up their militaries. Thanks to Putin, America's hold on Europe via NATO is stronger than ever.

If Russia defeats Ukraine, NATO is more justified than ever. And Finland, one of Europe's strongest & most motivated militaries, will be joining NATO.

If you want NATO's power weakened in Europe, THIS is the outcome you should be hoping for:

Ukraine either repelling the Russian invasion or inflicting massive casualties on the Russian military, exposing Russia for not being a military superpower, but simply a country with nuclear weapons like Pakistan. It certainly is not an economic superpower, the GDP of Texas is larger than that of Russia. And the rest of the world has the ability to pool together natural resources and their economies in a way that will hurt them a little, and Russia a lot. Norway, Romania, and Azerbaijan have a lot of natural gas and oil as well, and they are in or near Europe.

Onto explaining why Ukraine repelling or inflicting major losses on Russia is the outcome you should hope for if you want America/NATO to lose power in Europe.

Eastern European governments do not *like* the influence America has over them via NATO, but they are 100% willing to pay that price in exchange for security against Russia.

However, if Ukraine, a non-NATO member, can repel Russia or inflict massive casualties, then the need for NATO is brought into question.

If Ukraine can hold back Russia alone, with NATO arms yes, then what might Finland, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Czech Republic, Croatia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Hungary, Ukraine, Romania, and Bulgaria do together if they formed a NATO-type organization?

Poland is a power, not a superpower, not a great power, but a power for sure, and Poland and Czech Republic have large domestic arms industries, and Romania has oil. Intermarium/Visegrad is gaining traction in Eastern Europe every day. Greece and France would also be likely candidates for joining such a European NATO style organization if Eastern Europe broke away from NATO and formed it. From there it might grow to include all of Europe.

A hypothetical yes, but what's not a hypothetical is that Russia has lost troops, resources, and cratered their economy for the privilege of making NATO internally more powerful, gain new members externally, and potentially gaining a costly puppet state that will be war-torn and full of people who hate them (Eastern Ukraine) and will therefore require lots of resources to rebuild and occupy.

Once upon a time Ukrainians might have considered Russia a fraternal country, but those days are gone. Forever. Ukrainians will never look east to Russia and see anything but their mortal enemy. And if you doubt East Ukraine is not hating Russia's guts right now, I'll post some videos below from Eastern Ukraine, primarily from Russian speaking areas such as Konotop, Starobelsk, Energodar, Novoposkov, Kherson.

What cannot be questioned is that there is NO scenario in which Russia is more powerful relative to NATO after the conflict than they were before. They made NATO militarily beef-up, and potentially handed them two HUGE new members, Finland and Sweden.

Is Russia Winning?

Finally, I will touch on the topic of if Ukraine is performing well against Russia, since a large part of my dissolution of NATO hypothesis rests on that.

There is too much to say on the topic briefly, but I will link one article from a Turkish publication which has been tracking all photos and videos of destroyed military hardware posted online. If you search America on this publication, you will see an article titled "American Duplicity: Who In Washington Is Targeting Turkey's Drone Program And Why?" Yes, Turkey is a NATO member, but Turkey sets their own foreign policy and takes their own

side above all others. Considering the proximity of Turkey to Ukraine and Russia it makes sense a Turkish publication is closely following this war.

"Attack On Europe: Documenting Equipment Losses During The 2022 Russian Invasion Of Ukraine"

"A detailed list of the destroyed and captured vehicles and equipment of both sides can be seen below. This list is constantly updated as additional footage becomes available.

This list only includes destroyed vehicles and equipment of which photo or videographic evidence is available. Therefore, the amount of equipment destroyed is significantly higher than recorded here. Small arms, munitions, civilian vehicles, trailers and derelict equipment (including aircraft) are not included in this list.

(Click on the numbers to get a picture of each individual captured or destroyed vehicle)

Russia - 1380, of which: destroyed: 598, damaged: 20, abandoned: 211, captured: 551

Ukraine - 362, of which: destroyed: 135, damaged: 5, abandoned: 48, captured: 174"

oryxspioenkop.com/2022/02/attack-on-europe-documenting-equipment.html

There is so much more to say about logistics, timetables, weather, and other factors on the Russian invasion of Ukraine, but time will tell above all else. Am I saying Ukraine is *winning*? No. It's hard to say a country is winning when they have been invaded and lost territory, but it's beyond question that Russia is experiencing significantly more trouble with their invasion of Ukraine than they thought they would. Time will well, and as military philosophers, historians, and leaders repeatedly tell us, nothing is more unpredictable than war, however I will make a prediction nonetheless:

Russia will take Ukraine east and south of the Dnieper, but they will not take Kyiv, nor will they achieve their originally stated war goals of demilitarizing Western Ukraine and regime changing the government in Kyiv. A permanent state of war, sometimes hotter sometimes colder, will be waged on the Dnieper river, with Ukraine west of it, and Russia east and south of it. They will be able to militarily occupy the lands in which they wish to create East Ukraine on the model of East Germany, but long term occupation will be borderline impossible. It's too large, too war torn for their economy to integrate and rebuild, and full of too many people who hate them to not occupy with significant personnel. 500k is the bare minimum occupation force required for Russia to hold onto Ukraine east and south of the Dnieper river, and that's just to police the territory, not administer it.

I pray to The Gods that I am wrong, as many Azov fighters are surrounded in Mariupol and fighting valiantly as I type this. If they go down, they will go down fighting and take many of the enemy down with them. If they sacrifice themselves, we must remember they sacrificed themselves not just for Ukraine, but for all of Europe and Western Civilization. The Azov fighters in Mariupol and Ukraine broadly will have earned themselves a spot among the Greeks who fought at Thermopylae Pass, the Spaniards who fought The Reconquista, and the Germans and Europeans who fought World War Two. All those who care for our race and civilization should

honour their memories eternally, and let their sacrifice serve as inspiration in the present to motivate us to secure a better future.

You might think the idea that Ukraine is performing well is MSM propaganda, but it's not, and stop being light-switch brained and choosing what you think by what those you oppose say. The Russian invasion of Ukraine has been underway for over 480 hours, and the cities of Kharkiv and Mariupol remain in Ukrainian hands despite being ~1 hour from the Russian border. And yes, they have been trying to take them, they aren't just going around them. This can be proven by two points.

1. You have to take major urban centers eventually, you can't just go around them forever. You need them to transport your supplies through to the front, and protect your supply lines without wasting personnel sitting around who are needed at the front.

2. If you are following various war-reporting channels, you have seen the burned out Russian vehicles in and around Kharkiv and Mariupol. One of Clausewitz's principles of war was, and I am loosely paraphrasing not directly quoting: "Identify the centers of gravity of your opponent, and engage them with as many of your resources concentrated on those centers of gravity as you can." (Source: "On War" by Clausewitz) The Japanese made the mistake of ignoring this principle by sending large elements of their fleet to capture the Aleutian Islands in Alaska while they were still working on securing Southeast Asia post their attack on Pearl Harbor, and paid for it at Midway. I mention this only to say that modern militaries know this principle and follow it. If Russia is sending resources into the cities, it's because they want to take them. If they wanted to siege them they would not be sending units *into* them, they would just surround them and set up defensive formations.

Time will tell, but Ukraine is performing well whether CNN says the same thing or not.

Slava Ukraini!

Putin's War is anti-White and anti-West

On February 24th, the son of a member of a communist death squad ordered an invasion of Europe, wishing to, among other things, "Denazify" the country with the largest National Socialist community in the world, which has its roots pretty deep in the state, particularly the military and security apparatus, the country that hosts the largest NS networking event in the world (Asgardsrei), a country with dozens of National Socialist youth summer camps, a country where National Socialists are armed with tanks, armoured personnel carriers, anti-tank and anti-air missiles, and who have best friends in the intelligence community.

His army flew communist flags as they invaded Europe, searched captured soldiers for racist tattoos, and bragged on social media about their desire to kill Nazis. Russian state affiliated news channels like Intel Slava on Telegram bragged about Russian Special Forces targeting "Neo-Nazis" with the aid of "local anti-fascist security forces". Putin reiterated again and again his desire to hold trials for "Neo-Nazis" and to "Denazify Ukraine". Children were caught in the crossfire of this Eurasian invasion with the goal of killing National Socialists. Russian state media lambasted the "far right" in the west who somehow think Russia is fighting for their interests or has anything in common with them.

And hordes of keyboard nationalists from the Anglosphere sided with them to "own the libs". Unlike Russian National Socialists who serve with Ukraine against their antifa government.

If you don't take my word that Anglosphere Nationalists and National Socialists are supporting a regime which hates them, then perhaps you will take the word of Russian state media Russia Today.

"Anti-Semitic tirade on western pro-Kremlin site betrays ignorance of Russia" by Russia Today journalist Bryan MacDonald

"An outrageous anti-Semitic tirade on an American 'pro-Russia' blog-site proves that the inability to properly understand Russia often extends to westerners who think they are 'supporting' the country.

...

As a result, we have a situation where a bunch of westerners are harming Russia by using the country's name to promote an agenda which isn't part of Russian discourse. And this is a phenomenon displayed in the behaviour of many zealots, who 'support' Russia as keyboard-warrior crusaders but don't understand the place at all, in reality.

Instead, they are projecting their own issues with their homelands onto this country and its leaders. For instance, American and European far-rightists think that because Vladimir Putin has restored Russia as a strong and sovereign state, he's somehow aligned with their beliefs. And is on some kind of mission from God to save 'white' Christianity.

But, he's not. Because Russia may well be the most ethnically and religiously diverse country in Europe. And Putin's own cabinets have included a smorgasbord of Jews, Muslims and Buddhists in addition to the Christians and Agnostics who form the largest Russian 'belief' categories.

Sure, Putin has restored the prominence of the Orthodox faith and identifies as a believer himself. But that's a cultural thing, rooted in hundreds of years of Russian history. And he's not attempting to restore the official state religion of the Tsarist period. Nor has he publically considered banning abortion or outlawing homosexual relations, measures the church has frequently called for.

Instead, the Russian President has personally attended the opening of Russia's largest mosque, in Moscow, and has been described in a Reuters article as "the closest thing Israel has ever had to a friend in Moscow." While Russia's chief rabbi, Berel Lazar, says "Putin is on our side." He even told the Limmud FSU how "Putin was the first president to publicly speak out against anti-Semitism and did the most for the Jews. There is no institutional anti-Semitism in Russia. The attitude toward the Jews in Russia is excellent."

...

Polling data also backs up the notion of Russia as secular society. Just this week the independent Levada Centre showed how there is very little hostility to any religion in Russia. When asked about “very negative feelings” just 4 percent ascribed them to Jews, compared with 3 percent towards Buddhists and also 4 percent towards Muslims. Interestingly, only 45 percent were “very positive” regarding Christians, whereas, in total, 44 percent were positive towards Jews, with just 10 percent harboring any form of negative attitude (8 percent for Buddhists, 14 percent for Muslims). Meanwhile, 36 percent didn’t care.

That’s why the anti-Semitic rantings of RI’s editor Charles Bausman are so tragic and betray his utter ignorance of a country where he once lived."

<https://www.rt.com/op-ed/416804-anti-semitic-russian-insider/>

Does Azov Support Zelensky?

I'd like to dig a little deeper into one specific part of my previous posts, the visit Zelensky made to the front lines in October of 2019 wherein he asked Azov to disarm and return to their bases rather than continue operation from their Forward Operating Bases at the frontlines, and was rejected. I would also like to talk about Euromaidan, which again is rife with misinformation and disinformation within the Anglosphere Nationalist community.

Here is a excerpt of an article written by two mad jews about the former topic, and broadly about the power Azov and Nationalists hold within the Ukrainian state.

"Back in October 2019, as the war in eastern Ukraine dragged on, Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelensky traveled to Zolote, a town situated firmly in the “gray zone” of Donbas, where over 14,000 had been killed, mostly on the pro-Russian side. There, the president encountered the hardened veterans of extreme right paramilitary units keeping up the fight against separatists just a few miles away.

Elected on a platform of de-escalation of hostilities with Russia, Zelensky was determined to enforce the so-called Steinmeier Formula conceived by then-German Foreign Minister Walter Steinmeier which called for elections in the Russian-speaking regions of Donetsk and Lugansk.

In a face-to-face confrontation with militants from the neo-Nazi Azov Battalion who had launched a campaign to sabotage the peace initiative called “No to Capitulation,” Zelensky encountered a wall of obstinacy.

With appeals for disengagement from the frontlines firmly rejected, Zelensky melted down on camera. “I’m the president of this country. I’m 41 years old. I’m not a loser. I came to you and told you: remove the weapons,” Zelensky implored the fighters.

Once video of the stormy confrontation spread across Ukrainian social media channels, Zelensky became the target of an angry backlash.

Andriy Biletsky, the proudly fascist Azov Battalion leader who once pledged to “lead the white races of the world in a final crusade...against Semite-led Untermenschen,” vowed to bring thousands of fighters to Zolote if Zelensky pressed any further. Meanwhile, a parliamentarian from the party of former Ukrainian President Petro Poroshenko openly fantasized about Zelensky being blown to bits by a militant’s grenade.

Though Zelensky achieved a minor disengagement, the neo-Nazi paramilitaries escalated their “No Capitulation” campaign. And within months, fighting began to heat up again in Zolote, sparking a new cycle of violations of the Minsk Agreement."

<https://consortiumnews.com/2022/03/04/how-zelensky-made-peace-with-neo-nazis/>

Recently this was a topic of discussion on a well known podcast within our milieu, and I'd like to address some of the points made therein.

First and foremost, it was noted, in an atmosphere of suspicion, that the dialogue was conducted in Russian. This is something I have heard from others in the community, and one person has even said to me (in a Telegram chatroom I was in) that the video was staged "because they are speaking in Russian." The explanation for this is not only innocuous, but it's public information that can be easily found and verified if the person giving the argument is in good faith.

Azov Battalion was founded by Nationalist Ultras centered around Kharkiv F.C, a football club based in the city of Kharkiv, which is a mere 30 minutes’ drive from the Russian border. Azov then recruited amongst Eastern Ukrainians who wished for Ukraine to remain under a Kyiv government and within the European sphere, recruiting from basically the front lines of the 2014 conflict. In this time period many Ukrainians from Kharkiv, Mariupol, and other regions in Eastern Ukraine joined the ranks. As a result of this, over 80% of Azov Battalion speak Russian as their first and only language. This is important to note not only in the context of the Zelensky video, but in the broader context of this conflict.

A Short History of the Conflict

Often you will hear Russia/Putin apologists in the west, specifically in the Anglosphere, speak of how horrible it is for Europeans to oppress other Europeans who just want to be part of Russia. They either imply or outright say that Azov are foreigners and mercenaries who have no right to fight to keep Eastern Ukraine, whether it be Crimea, Donetsk, Lugansk, Kharkiv, or Mariupol, as part of the Kyiv/Ukrainian state and within the European sphere. However as can be seen, this is ridiculous. These men are *from* Eastern Ukraine, the vast majority of them speak Russian as their first and only language. Saying the men from Azov do not have the moral right to fight to keep Eastern Ukraine in the Kyiv and European world as opposed to the Eurasian world is as absurd as saying Nationalists from North Dakota or North Carolina have no right to travel to South Dakota or South Carolina to attempt to put down a rebellion that they deem against the interests of their race, worldview, and nation.

The topic of Ukrainian Nationalists fighting to keep Ukraine in the European world rather than the Eurasian world brings me to the Euromaidan protests.

In the minds of Anglosphere Russian-apologists what happened at the Euromaidan was simple: a democratically elected head of state (Yanukovich) who represented the will of the people wanted to move Ukraine closer to Russia as opposed to Europe, which prompted the CIA to overthrow him in a NATO-backed coup against the wishes of the Ukrainian people whom he was serving.

However, that is not the case. As usual the Russian apologists leave out key information, or they simply don't know it, and therefore end up presenting a very flawed account of these events.

Both Ukrainian liberal and Ukrainian Nationalist/Fascist publications, as well as foreign publications, agree that at the Euromaidan protests one in ten attendees was a "Nazi". During the Euromaidan protests these National Socialists broke into Kyiv City Hall and began spray painting Swastikas and other NS iconography inside the walls of this government building.

Were these National Socialists there to fight for LGBT rights? Hardly. These same National Socialists (and the ones present at Euromaidan were indeed primarily from Lviv, Kyiv, and Western Ukraine though there were Eastern Ukrainian NS there as well) were the ones who shut down the first ever "pride" parade in Kyiv, which was organized in 2012 when Yanukovich was President, proving that the claim that Euromaidan introduced LGBT politics into Ukraine is untrue. The Kyiv police warned the LGBT marchers that 500 Nationalist Ultras were marching towards the parade, and that they (Kyiv local police) were unwilling to provide protection for the LGBT march. A few of the LGBT marchers ended up getting beaten and maced. To this day homosexual marriage in Ukraine is constitutionally illegal, once again putting lie to the idea that Euromaidan made Ukraine LGBT friendly. Also, this was the Kyiv local police who co-operated with the Nationalists, not the Yanukovich government. These same Kyiv police often deputize National Socialists to this day to patrol the streets, sometimes officially, sometimes under the table with a wink and a nod. It was such an agreement that led to the rather famous video of Ukrainian Nationalists storming a Roma camp and tearing it down in 2018.

There were many, many Ukrainian National Socialists at Euromaidan and it cannot be denied that they were the militant edge of the protests. Right Sector, White Hammer, Patriot of Ukraine, C14, Svoboda, and Social-National Assembly were just some of the NS groups present, and they were always at the front lines fighting with the police and taking government buildings.

So why were they so active at Euromaidan? As I have said before, the underlying motivation of all the Nationalists who participated in the Euromaidan protests was to support Ukrainian integration into Europe rather than Eurasia. Ukrainian Nationalists, be they from decades past to this very day as they wage a righteous defensive war against a foreign aggressor, have always wanted Ukrainian integration with Europe rather than amalgamation with Eurasia.

Let's go back a bit to the causes of the Euromaidan generally not just those which motivated the Nationalists. I apologize if my rants tend to jump around a bit, but I'd like to remind you the name of my channel is James A's Rants and Presentations, not James A's University Essays and Edited Dissertations.

On February 14th, 2010, Yanukovich's Party of Regions was declared winner of a close Presidential election against Yulia Tymoshenko's Fatherland, with a final vote % of 48.95% to 45.47%. There is talk of election fraud as there is with any close election, but I will move past that without either ruling it out or accepting it as true. The point is it was a very close race which was decided by slightly less than 3.5% of the total vote. Now President Yanukovich would go on to form a government known as "The Azarov Government", named after Prime Minister Mykola Azarov.

Despite what Putin apologists would like you to believe, Yanukovich (hereafter referred to as "Yan") did not run on a platform of returning Ukraine to Moscow's leadership and telling the European/Western world to go fuck itself, but rather he ran on a rather centrist platform of anti-corruption, economic development, and continuing the decades long foreign policy objective of Ukraine of European integration, specifically EU integration, and this is borne out by the actions of the Azarov Government for the vast majority of its time in power.

-In May of 2010 Yan promised that within the next month legislation would be put forward to create a free-trade zone between Ukraine and the European Union, and that he expected the requirements for visas to travel between Ukraine and the EU would be abolished. He believed this legislation would be a done deal and free-trade and visa-free travel would be implemented by March of 2011. Free-trade and visa-free travel, what does that sound like to you? Sound like the EU? Because it sure did to the Ukrainian people.

There were no riots.

-In that same month Prime Minister Mykola Azarov and Foreign Minister Kostyantyn Gryshchenko both stated that integration with the EU has been and remains a priority of the Azarov Government. Delighted by such statements by the Azarov Government, The European Commissioner for Enlargement and European Neighbourhood Policy Štefan Füle stated that "The policies of the Azarov Government do not exclude EU integration".

There were no riots.

-On November 22 still in the year 2010 the Azarov Government agreed on an "Action Plan" to allow for visa-free travel between Ukraine and the EU. To mark the occasion Yan travelled to Brussels where he would take a very chummy picture with European Commission President Jose Manuel Barroso and European Council President Herman Van Rompuy. Herman, flanked by Yan and Barroso, happily announced, "I'm very pleased that we can announce today an action plan for Ukraine toward the establishment of a visa-free regime for short-stay travel. We have also been able to sign a protocol to the current partnership and cooperation agreement permitting Ukraine's access to the EU programs. And we expressed our satisfaction at the progress achieved in EU-Ukraine relations."

There were no riots.

-Finally, on March 30th, 2012, The Ukraine-European Union Association Agreement was signed by the Azarov Government, although it still had to be officially ratified by the EU and Ukrainian Parliament. The agreement

basically stopped shy of full Ukrainian membership in the European Union, but was as close as you could get with the European Union without officially joining it.

There were no riots.

However:



From left to right: European Council President Herman Van Rompuy, Ukrainian President Viktor Yanukovich, European Commission President Jose Manuel Barroso

Taken in Brussels in November 2011, when Yanukovich and his government were pursuing European integration. Does this look like a man who was elected by the Ukrainian people on a platform of closer integration with Russia and distance from the EU? Or does this look like a man continuing the then 18 year continuous primary foreign policy goal of Ukraine of European integration?

By 2012 the cozy relations between Yan's regime and the EU had soured. Why? Because Yan had begun jailing his political rivals, left, right, and center, with the most high profile among them being Yulia Tymoshenko. Remember how I said Yan ran on anti-corruption amongst a smorgasbord of other centrist promises? He was about to use that to get rid of his political rival.

The charges against Yulia is an entire book in and of itself, but suffice to say that in May of 2010, just a few months after edging out an election victory of less than 3.5% against Yulia, the Ukrainian General Prosecutors office began opening a number of criminal cases against Yulia and other political rivals of Yan. Very long story very short: Yulia had almost every charge in the book thrown at her by the Azarov Government. She was found guilty of exceeding her powers as Prime Minister when in 2009 she ordered the Ukrainian state-owned natural gas company Naftogaz to sign a deal with Russian state-owned gas company Gazprom. Yes, Yanukovich was accusing his political rival of dirty political dealings with a Russian state-owned gas company. At one point, Yulia

was even charged with multiple murders of Eastern Ukrainian business men! Although those charges were dropped because they were absurd. A little bit of Soviet over-the-top accusations against political rivals sneaking in.

Anyway, the persecution of his political rivals soured the EU's opinion of the Azarov Government, despite Yulia herself saying that she doesn't want her multiple year prison sentences and 188 million dollar fines that she is receiving from the Azarov Government to prevent Ukraine's integration with Europe, something she has always wanted and advocated for even stronger than Yan.

What followed was a rather schizophrenic period of Ukrainian-European Union relations from March 2012 - November 2013. EU officials would oscillate between boycotting events in Ukraine, such as the 2012 UEFA Championships which were held in Ukraine (boycotted by EU government officials not the national teams, these events are generally accompanied by bureaucrats chumming it up), while also expressing hope and commitment to further Ukraine-EU integration, but with one new caveat. That caveat being expressed by the December 10th, 2012 statement by the EU Foreign Affairs Council: "The European Union reaffirms its commitment to the signing of the already initialed Association Agreement, including a Deep and Comprehensive Free Trade Area, as soon as the Ukrainian authorities demonstrate determined action and tangible progress in the three areas mentioned above, possibly by the time of the Eastern Partnership Summit in Vilnius in November 2013". These three areas are: "Electoral, judiciary and constitutional reforms (in line with international standards are integral parts of it and commonly agreed priorities)".

There was the catch that soured Ukrainian-European Union relations which were going so well for years. Not Yan reaffirming his non-existent promises to his voter base that he intended to return "The" Ukraine to Moscow's benevolent rule as some Anglosphere Nationalist commentators would have you believe, but his refusal to let his political prisoners out of jail.

In February of 2013, Ukraine's ambassador stated that the Azarov Government would reject any and all EU preconditions for signing the Association Agreement. However, on February 22nd, 2013, a resolution was approved by the Rada (Ukrainian Parliament) 315-34 that "Within its powers the government of Ukraine would ensure that the EU Foreign Affairs Council recommendations of December 10th, 2012 would be implemented.". The same day Yan promised to "do his best" in this regard. However, at the same time Yan was in negotiations with Russia to "find the right model" under which Ukraine could join the Eurasian Customs Union, an economic block of the Eurasian Economic Union which can be extended to non-members. Essentially Yan was making the same deals he was in 2010-12 but in reverse, economic integration leading to full membership, but this time replacing a European Free-Trade Zone with a Eurasian Customs Union, and replacing the European Union with the Eurasian Economic Union/Commonwealth of Independent States.

But hope was not lost, and the Ukrainian people were on the edge of their seats. For years, since before Yan had even been elected, Ukraine had been edging closer and closer to the European Union. Then during the first *years* of Yan's presidency, concrete steps had been made to European integration. But now, it all looked at risk. And why? Did economists of the Azarov Government publish papers about how economic union with Uzbekistan, Armenia, Russia, Kazakhstan, and Kyrgyzstan would provide more benefits than economic union with Germany, France, The United Kingdom, Italy, Spain, and the rest of the European Union? Did Yan address the nation and declare how the Ukrainian people are brothers with the Russian people, and must move closer to their Moscow brethren? No. Yan had shifted from pro-Europe to pro-Asia because Europe had asked him to free his political

prisoners. And they were political prisoners, unless you believe Yulia really did murder multiple business men during her time in government.

It's pretty clear what Yan was thinking here. If you know about the politics of the Asiatic provinces of the former USSR, as well as Belarus and since Putin came to power, Russia, you know that once a leader becomes head of state, it's a position for life, and sometimes even a hereditary position a son inherits from his father. Kazakhstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan, and Azerbaijan are all clear examples of this. Since Belarus began holding "elections" in 1994, Lukashenko has been the only President of Belarus, and it seems pretty clear that Putin is not going to retire anytime soon, and that his successor will be his chosen heir and nothing less. Clearly what Yan was angling for was the wealth of the West and the political dynamics of the east. He wanted Ukraine to be rich like France and an authoritarian regime like Kazakhstan. I am not supporting democracy over authoritarianism here, I am just dissecting what Yan was clearly angling for. After he eked out a >3.5% election victory he started jailing all his political opponents while trying to facilitate Ukrainian economic integration with the EU. He wanted to eat his cake and have it too.

And by the way, after Yulia had told the EU they should not let her imprisonment prevent Ukrainian integration into the EU, she then told the EU that she would prefer them withdrawing their demands that she be released from prison so that Ukraine could have European integration. She was facing years in prison and a 188 million dollar fine, and she had the the most powerful political-economic-military alliance in human history (EU/NATO, NATO was not part of these negotiations but the two go rather hand in hand) advocating for her release, but she told them to drop it (they didn't but she asked) so that her homeland of Ukraine could have European integration. She's no National Socialist, she's a center-right pro-European liberal-democrat, but I think that's a pretty fucking admirable example of self-sacrifice for the nation, something any Nationalist should admire.

Onto the final showdown for the future of the Ukrainian people, and whether it lay with Europe or Asia. Remember we are still in the year 2013, the year which began with a showdown between European integration and Asian integration, and the year that would end with the birth of the Euromaidan.

March/April, the EU and Azalov Government express hope in the AA being fully implemented, Ukraine makes minor concessions to the EU's preconditions while also aggravating them by going after Yulia's lawyer, also a member of the Rada, Serhii Vlasenko.

April 7th, Yan releases two of his political prisoners from prison, Yuriy Lutsenko and Heorhii Filipchuk, and promises them immunity.

September 3rd, at the first Rada session after summer recess, Yan urges the Ukrainian Parliament to adopt legislation that would allow Ukraine to sign the Association Agreement in November.

September 18th, the Ukrainian cabinet UNANIMOUSLY approve the draft Association Agreement. This draft includes not only the original Association Agreement, but also the criteria the EU required for them to finalize on their end. It is then sent to parliament for ratification.

September 25th, the Chairman of the Rada, Volodymyr Rybak, said he was sure that the parliament would pass all the laws required to fit the EU criteria for the Association Agreement to be agreed upon by them after being approved by Ukraine. He stated he was sure that a majority of deputies of ALL parties of the Rada, with the exception of the Communist Party of Ukraine, would vote in favour on the draft. "The Verkhovna Rada has united around these bills".

That's right, after years of negotiation and political drama, by September of 2013 the ONLY party in Ukraine opposed to integration with the European Union was the COMMUNIST PARTY OF UKRAINE. Even Yanukovych and his cabinet UNANIMOUSLY approved the draft of the Ukraine-European Union Association Agreement. Ukrainians from Lviv to Donetsk were preparing for and expecting European integration within a few months.

November 20th, 2013. European Commissioner for Enlargement and European Neighbourhood Policy Štefan Füle stated that he expected (along with all of Ukraine and Europe) that the Rada would adopt and pass the remaining bills necessary for the signing of the Association Agreement *tomorrow*, so the AA can be finalized completely on November 29th, just 9 days away. To clarify, on the 21st the Rada would pass bills that met the EU's criteria, allowing the EU to ratify and finalize the Ukraine-European Association Agreement on the 29th.

The long and winding road that began with the Ukrainian government declaring in 1993 that their primary foreign policy objective was joining the European Union was less than 9 days away.

November 21st, The Cabinet of the Ministers of Ukraine, who just a month ago unanimously approved the draft that would meet the EU's criteria for finalizing the Ukraine-European Association Agreement, issue a decree to suspend the preparations of the Association Agreement, and ordered the various ministries to begin preparations for integration with CIS, the Commonwealth of Independent States, basically the Central Asian NATO/EU.

"[This decree is] to suspend the process of preparations for the conclusion of the Association Agreement between Ukraine, on the one part, and the European Union, the European Atomic Energy Community and its Member States, on the other part, and to suspend the decision of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine dated September 18, 2013 on the preparation for the signing of the draft association agreement between Ukraine, on the one part, and the European Union and its member states, on the other part."

The government also ordered the Economic Development and Trade Ministry, Industrial Policy Ministry, Agricultural Policy and Food Ministry, Ministry of Energy and the Coal Industry, and the Infrastructure Ministry to "Introduce amendments into state target, branch and regional programs aimed at developing trade, production cooperation and exchanging technologies with the CIS (Commonwealth of Independent States) member states in order to preserve jobs and settle other social issues on the basis of increasing the economic stability of state."

Just like that the Ukrainian people had the rug pulled out from under them. Over twenty years of Ukrainian foreign policy of European integration gone in one cabinet decree.

Goodbye Paris, Berlin, Vienna, and Stockholm.

Hello Nur-Sultan, Yerevan, Tashkent, and Bishkek.

Hello Euromaidan.

This timeline has mostly followed the foreign policy between the EU and Ukraine, only briefly touching on domestic issues. This post is already long, so I will not delve as deeply into the domestic issues in Ukraine from February 2010 - November 2013, but suffice to say the Ukrainian people were getting fed up with Yan. Political leaders who had received over 12 million votes in the very election that brought Yanukovich into power were being held in prison, charged with murder, fined 188 million dollars, and generally persecuted by the government.

Corruption in Ukraine reached an all-time high. According to Ernst & Young, a 40 billion dollar/year in revenue agency specializing in assurance, tax advisory, strategy consulting, financial advisory, and financial law, in 2012 Ukraine was one of the three most corrupt countries in the world, among Brazil and Colombia. And remember, this about a country that was jailing the most prominent members of their political opposition for corruption! I know our circle will be skeptical of such a large financial organization, rightly, so I briefly looked into Ernst & Young. It has a history going back to 1849, merging with several other European stock financial organizations, mostly Anglos and Scots. The family names associated with the ownership of Ernst & Young are Ernst, Young, Pullein, Harding, Whinney, and Smith. The closest I could find is that the CEO before the current CEO (who is Italian-American) was Jewish. However, that doesn't mean he was an owner, he was the guy who ran it on behalf of the owners. Most importantly though, this is one of the four largest financial advisory firms in the world, their job is to give their clients who together traffic in the trillions sound financial advice, and their advice was that the Yanukovich government was one of the three most corrupt on the planet, alongside Brazil and Colombia.

All in all, life felt pretty shit in Ukraine in 2012/13. The one light at the end of the tunnel was that European integration was constantly being talked about on the news, and in a serious and concrete way. Not pundits talking from the sideline, but the Ukrainian government creating actual legislation and agreements with the European Union.

And with one cabinet decree, Berlin was replaced with Bishkek.

Euromaidan began on November 21st (February 2014 would be referred to as The Revolution of Dignity, but for the sake of simplicity I will simply use Euromaidan), and Ukrainians from all across the political spectrum turned out in the HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS to protest their government so abruptly U-turning away from Europe and towards Russia. Certain commentators in our community want you to believe that Yanukovich was elected by the Ukrainian people so he could move Ukraine towards Russia, but nothing could be further from the truth. Since 1993 the Ukrainian government's primary foreign policy objective was joining the EU, and this objective was even pursued by the Yanukovich regime, until they decided to suddenly turn their backs on 20 years of Ukrainian foreign policy of European integration and issued a cabinet decree to suddenly jump into bed with Asia.

Certain political commentators want you to believe that the hundreds of thousands of Ukrainians who engaged in protests and riots against the government in which over 100 of them were killed was all orchestrated by Victoria Nuland and George Soros, and that all the above history has nothing to do with it, but I want to see

some more convincing evidence than a Jew who has his fingers in literally every Western country also has his fingers in Ukraine. Explain to me the specifics of the operation that got HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS of Ukrainians to engage in violent demonstrations against their governments in which over 100 of them died. I am not expecting you who believe this to produce a CIA casefile, that would be an unrealistic expectation. But if you want me, or anyone who knows the history of Ukraine, to believe that hundreds of thousands of Ukrainians filled the streets because Victoria Nuland and George Soros are such masterminds then you need to provide a concrete narrative of events like I just did.

I've mentioned the role of National Socialists in the Euromaidan, but I would like to briefly mention some of the organizations who fought against Euromaidan. As Right Sector, Svoboda, C14, Social-National Assembly, Ukrainian National Assembly/Ukrainian National Self Defense, White Hammer, Patriot of Ukraine, and various Nationalist Ultras were forming Maidan Self-Defense units, they were fighting not only with the Yanukovich government, but also with the various organizations who supported Yanukovich and opposed European integration from the start: Red Sector, The Communist Party of Ukraine, Russian Bloc, Progressive Socialist Party, Labour Ukraine, People's Democratic Party, Ukraine - Forward!, The Night Wolves, and hired mercenaries of the Yan regime known as Titushki. Remember, in September of 2013 the ONLY party in the entirety of Ukraine with seats in the Rada that opposed European integration was the Communist Party of Ukraine. As Euromaidan began, the Communist Party of Ukraine denounced it as a NATO-sponsored coup to overthrow the democratically elected government of Ukraine, and called on all communists and left-wing movements around the world to condemn Euromaidan and do what they can where they can to fight it. This is when foreign antifa volunteers from across the world began popping up in Ukraine.

And again, these pro-Maidan Nationalists fighting anti-Maidan communists were present and fighting so Ukraine could have European integration, not Asian integration. If you still think Russia/CIS/Eurasia is the lesser of two evils, then click on the pinned message in my channel and read my channel from top to bottom. If after that you still think one of the most racially pure countries in the Western world should be subsumed into the Asiatic world just like the Aryans from thousands of years ago in southern/southeastern Asia, you're not a Fascist. I don't know what you are, but you don't have the White Race's best interests at heart. And if you still think that Ukraine will be ruined by joining EU and NATO, I will forward two excellent posts by Counter-Currents at the end of my chain of posts that accurately describe why that reasoning is not on solid ground.

I find it ironic how these certain Putin bootlickers in the Anglosphere Nationalist community will *rightly* deride groypers for turning away from race to favour cultural things like Christianity, but then will turn around and suggest that Ukrainian Nationalists should surrender to the foreign invasion so they can be integrated with Asia. And yes, that's what Yanukovich was attempting, integrating Ukraine with the Commonwealth of Independent States.

What's the difference in principle between groypers saying "based Latinos at least they are Christian" and faux Fascists saying "Based Eurasian Economic Union at least they don't have trannies"?

Aren't both of these statements abandoning race as an above-all loyalty in favour of cultural values?

Again, if you think the EU/NATO is worse than Russia/CIS, read my channel from top to bottom and read the two Counter-Currents posts I will forward at the end of my post chain.

I guess it's because their racial-political consciousness mostly only goes back to the 1920's, maybe the 1770's-1860's at the most. However, those of us with a racial-political mind stretching back thousands of years know that our race used to dwell from Scotland to India and even beyond into East Asia and call it home (watch some *Survive the Jive* on Youtube if you don't know what I am talking about). Now we only call Europe and these newly taken territories of America, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, and South Afrika, home. Yes there are Europeans in South America, and there are Caucasoids if not quite Europeans in the Caucasus, but only the aforementioned lands are truly "homes" of the White Race. And that is because of the Asiatic hordes that crept north and west, the hordes that Hitler wished to do away with for good, and turn the Urals into the new frontline of our racial homeland. And if you don't know what I am talking about then really dive into the speeches and writings of NS Germany. One day I will post some of these speeches with context.

You who suggest "Ukraine should just surrender to Russia like real Nationalists" are advocating that Ukraine join Asian economic integration which will lead to political integration which will lead to cultural integration which will lead over the thousands of years to those same Asiatic hordes piercing the very heart of our modern Europe, so deep that they would border Poland, Hungary, and Slovakia!

I will make one final point about the Euromaidan before finishing my thoughts on the Zelensky - Azov confrontation video.

Let's talk about the sniper attacks that took place during the Euromaidan protest/revolution.

The most well known event took place on February 18th-20th, 2014, when unknown assailants opened fire on Maidan (the square which was the physical nucleus of the protests), as a result of which 21 anti-Yanukovych protesters were killed on the spot, and the final death toll from the month of February 2014 was 108 anti-Yanukovych protesters and 13 police. In total 112 anti-Yanukovych protesters and 18 police would die as a result of the Euromaidan/Revolution of Dignity protests/revolution. This by the way is why Yanukovych scurried away in the dead of the night in a helicopter, he knew how serious things were getting and what would happen to him if the Ukrainian people got their hands on him.

In Ukraine these 112 dead anti-Yanukovych protesters are known as "The Heavenly Hundred". In what is perhaps one of the most sickening examples of propaganda by the Russian bootlickers, aspersion is cast on who exactly was pulling the trigger on these anti-Yanukovych protesters, and they either slyly imply or outright state that it was not actually pro-Yanukovych security forces, but WESTERN agents who wished to topple Yanukovych by shooting anti-Yanukovych protesters. The idea of a false flag is not an absurd one on the face of it, but let's examine the evidence, starting from the Hrushevskoho Street Riots from January 19 - February 17th, 2014. But specifically let's look at the shootings from January 22-25.

The origin of the propaganda that it was not actually pro-Yanukovych security forces opening fire on the Euromaidan protesters is from Oleh Tatarov, the deputy chief of the Ukrainian Interior Ministry's Main Investigative Directorate under Yanukovych, who claimed after the shootings that "The theory we are looking at is the killing was by unidentified persons. This is an official theory, and the unidentified persons could be various people, a whole host of them... It could have been motivated by disruptive behavior, or with the aim of provocation." He then claimed the cartridges and weapons used in the shootings were not police issue.

However, medics on the ground who were pulling bullets from the bodies posted pictures of them online, and they were identified as Dragunov Sniper Rifle 7.62x54mm and 9x18mm Makarov bullets. Both of which are absolutely state issue. The Dragunov Sniper Rifle was produced in the USSR in 1963 and sees widespread use across Eastern Europe militaries even to this day, and 9x18mm Makarov cartridges are chambered in so many Soviet state issued firearms that it would almost be quicker to list those which don't chamber 9x18mm Makarov cartridges.

Also, pictures emerged during the Euromaidan of large bullets being pulled from bodies that can best be described as looking like very tiny goblets with a thicker stem ratio. On January 25, 2014, these were identified by Armament Research Services, a specialty arms and munitions consultancy in Perth, Australia, as specialist armour-piercing 12-gauge shotgun projectiles designed for stopping vehicles, piercing armour, and breaking down doors. These specialist slugs are theorized to have most likely been developed and produced by the Spetstekhnika (Specialized Equipment) Design Bureau, a facility located in Kyiv and associated with the Ministry of Internal Affairs.

When it comes to determining who has a motive in opening fire on anti-Yanukovych protesters, that is clear, but here's a quote from Russian Nationalist politician Vladimir Zhirinovsky nonetheless:

"Today our client in Kyiv, the honored Viktor Federovych Yanukovych, he will show you the heat when the Olympiad ends. You will know what is Yanukovych. Right now he got sick just in case, but later it will be announced, "Spare no bullets!" And we will give bullets, instead of money we will give bullets!"

-Vladimir Zhirinovsky, Rossiya (Russian TV news channel), January 31, 2014.

When paired with all the footage of Yanukovych's security forces firing at Euromaidan protesters, and the fact that Yanukovych's security services were spreading misinformation and doubt about the shootings while specifically using the phrase "this is an official theory", it becomes clear who was opening fire on the anti-Yanukovych protesters. Which should be clear anyway.

So, on February 18-20th to make a long story short, during the protests the security forces opened fire on the Euromaidan protesters more than once, and as a result over half of the total casualties of the Euromaidan occurred in 3 days.

Russian bootlickers want you to ignore all the evidence and Occam's Razor and make you think that Western provocateurs did it, but that obviously makes no sense. By February 2014 an anti-Yanukovych pro-Western revolution had already been underway for over three months by hundreds of thousands of Ukrainians. There was no need to incite or provoke the Ukrainians into opposing Yanukovych, they were already doing so with their very lives. To send Western operatives into Ukraine to murder dozens of Ukrainians is all risk no reward for the West. The risk is if your guys are caught you damage or even lose this giant pro-West revolution, demoralizing the support for the West and giving Yanukovych a golden propaganda opportunity to advocate again for Ukrainian integration with Asia. The reward is.. what? That Ukrainians turn against Yanukovych? What do you think was happening in Ukraine for the previous 4 months?

And finally, I will return to finishing my original point, about Zelesnky visiting Azov on the front lines and failing to get them to disarm. We covered why they are speaking in Russian, but the main thrust of the Anglosphere podcast in question here is this:

"Why are there cameras there? Why would this be filmed? Clearly it's a propaganda op to paint the Ukrainian Nationalists as the bad guys to the Russians and get the Russians to blame them for the ceasefire violations and get them all killed!"

This is so dumb.

If you think this, go click on the pinned message in my channel and read through. The Russian state and pro-Russian militants don't need Zelensky embarrassing himself on video to suddenly hate Fascists, you absolute morons.

The reason it was filmed is likely that Zelensky simply isn't that smart, and didn't think it through. Remember, he's a former comedian and was on a publicity tour of the frontlines and thus there was a gaggle of cameras following him around. While on the frontlines this former comedian turned President, who likely got a big head, thought he could get some good publicity by telling the notorious Neo-Nazi paramilitary to leave the front lines. It's not like he went from Kyiv to the front lines and brought a bunch of reporters so they could solely document his interaction with Azov, he was generally touring the front trying to get some good publicity, and was likely separated from at least some of his advisors in Kyiv when he made the ill-fated decision to visit Azov and try to get them to leave the front lines.

And the reason he wanted them to leave the front lines is that having an openly Fascist paramilitary so prominently featured as a part of the war was making the Kyiv regime look bad (to the international liberal order who brush the extreme Fascist presence in Ukraine under the rug just as much as these Anglosphere nationalists do, both for their own reasons) and slightly reduce the odds of Ukraine gaining NATO membership. So he thought he could kill two birds with one stone by getting some good publicity by telling off those Neo-Nazis in Azov, after all, like he said on video, "I'm the President, I'm not some loser".

Explain to me how it is good PR for Zelensky and his regime for him to be seen as inept and not in control of his own military? You really think some jew propagandist close to Zelensky said "You know what we need? We need you to visit a Neo-Nazi paramilitary, tell them to disarm, and have them refuse. That will really be a propaganda coup for us!"

That makes no sense.

And finally, here are the two posts from Counter-Currents I mentioned a few times above. I believe that these two succinct posts, when paired with all the posts from my channel, offer up a downright ironclad argument for why Ukraine defeating Russia and joining the European Union and NATO would be a good thing, although the very best thing would be Ukraine defeating Russia which leads to a delegitimization of NATO which leads to Eastern Europe breaking away and forming their own military security organization.

Remember, read my channel, I outlined clearly why Russia invading Ukraine and/or conquering Ukraine does NOT make NATO weaker, it only makes NATO more powerful. So when you cheer on the Eurasian invasion of Europe, with the goals of liquidating the largest Fascist community in the world and integrating Europe's largest/second largest country with a population of over 40 million racially pure Europeans into an Asiatic economic union, you are not owning the libs, you are owning yourself and getting twisted up into a pretzel by Russian intelligence. I use pretzel deliberately as you all got twisted up and are salty about it, too salty to admit it. Most of you at least, some of you I have hope in.

Russia might be militarily underperforming in Ukraine, but the way they got so many Anglosphere Nationalists actively rooting against their own interests, and the way they got them thinking Yanukovych was originally elected to integrate Ukraine with Russia despite years of public foreign policy doing the opposite, and the way they got them thinking that it was WESTERN operatives who opened fire on ANTI-YANUKOVYCH protesters during a PRO-WESTERN REVOLUTION after Yanukovych had abandoned Europe for Asia when he realized he can't have both and wanted the power of post-USSR Asiatic countries instead of the wealth of the West is frankly a thing of beauty. Obviously I don't side with it, that much is clear, but I can admire the tradecraft by which Russian intelligence was able to totally twist Anglosphere "I oppose the current thing" "Nationalists" into a pretzel to suit their own ends and make them advocate directly against their own interests.

Again, Russia might be militarily underperforming in Ukraine, but this operation was a thing of beauty. Remember, The West may have won The Cold War in the sense that they were the last power left standing as the USSR crumbled, but in the competition of who managed to steal more of the other sides secrets, and who managed to plant more spies in the other sides government, the USSR wiped the floor with The West and it wasn't even close.

Well played Russia, well played. You might be able to pull that shit on "I oppose the current thing" bozos, but you're going to have to try harder than that with some of us.

Your time will come Russian ZOG, just as much as Western ZOG's time will come.

Your time will come.

Counter-Currents article mentioned above:

If you are a "realist" and say that "Russia invading Ukraine is just the kind of thing that Great Powers do," that's true. That's "real."

But if in your next breath, you say that the Ukrainians should not try to enter NATO and the EU, that's not "realism": because small countries also band together to resist Great Powers. That too is the truth. That is "real." Instead, you are advancing a NORM, which just happens to reflect what the Russians prefer in this crisis.

If in your next breath, you say that the Ukrainians should just surrender, because nothing is worse than the loss of life, that's not realism either, because soldiers think in terms of laying down their lives for their countries.

Instead, you are advancing a NORM, a norm that just so happens to be what the Russians want Ukrainians to believe.

If in your next breath, you say that the United States and the EU shouldn't interfere, well that's not realism, right? Isn't competing with other Great Powers precisely what Great Powers do? So you have slipped in another NORM. Basically, you are arguing that Russian aggression should be tolerated because that's what Great Powers do. But Europe and the US should not act like Great Powers. They should mind their own business. Coincidentally, this norm is exactly what the Russians prefer.

Is it really a coincidence that "realism" translates into letting the Russians have their way? Or is this line of argument manufactured in Moscow and propagated into the American Right, from Pat Buchanan all the way to the Dissident Right?

"But what has Azov actually done to help White people?"

This is a comment from pro-Russian "Nationalists" that I have received several times. Generally it's after I describe how large and well-organized the Ukrainian Nationalist community, specifically Azov, is: A state-funded paramilitary with their own youth camps, political wing, and city (Mariupol, numbering just under half a million before the Russian invasion, was basically the private fief of Azov, which they took care of and did not abuse. The local Azov commander has more influence in the city than the mayor.) When "Nationalists" ask this question, generally motivated by an unreasonable hatred of Ukraine and fondness for Russia which makes them flail and look for any argument they can to continue justifying their support for the Russian/Antifa government, they aren't delegitimizing Ukraine, they are delegitimizing every single pro-White movement in the world. Good job guys. If Azov/Ukrainian Nationalists are failures and not worth supporting because the President of Ukraine (who as I have shown before can't even tell Azov to leave the frontlines) is not a member of Azov, then doesn't that say the exact same thing about every single pro-White movement across the world? If a movement that has their own state-funded military unit complete with tanks, anti-armour missiles, thousands of combat veterans with professional military training, political wing, youth camps, contacts across the military and state intelligence services, and city of hundreds of thousands which they control, is not worth supporting because "they haven't done anything for White people", then why is any pro-White movement across the world consisting of a few thousand members with their own podcasts worth supporting? Is there any Nationalist movement in the Western world which even comes close to the amount of power and influence that Azov has? Let's touch on the youth camps. Generally when I would mention them they would be ridiculed and dismissed, but those who dismiss them also ask what has Azov done for Ukrainians. Well, isn't shaping thousands of young Ukrainians into strong, capable, and devout Nationalists a benefit to the nation? Here is a quote from a documentary about these youth camps from a 16 year old attendee: "I never had a clear idea of Patriotism within me. Now I realize how important it is. I realize Ukraine is more important than riding a skateboard." So stop delegitimizing every pro-White movement in the world by saying Azov hasn't done anything for White people. Azov has done more in Ukraine than any other pro-White movement in the world. Below I shall link the documentary, some pictures from other Azov youth camps, as well as some videos of Azov marches/footage. All taken before the 2022 Russian invasion. As for why Azov let The Guardian into their youth summer camp, I don't know. If I were to guess they were offered thousands of dollars and thought why not, it's not exactly a secret. Everyone in Ukraine knows this, including the government in Kyiv, which means NATO also knows it. They don't have anything to hide, because in Ukraine, Fascists don't need to hide. And if any of you are worried about Azov being wiped out by the Russian invasion; have faith. There are thousands of soldiers in Azov, and they aren't just in Mariupol. They have

expanded over the years and there are Azov soldiers in Mariupol, Kharkiv, Kyiv, Lviv, Chernhiv, and throughout Ukraine. As we speak the Russian invasion has been underway for over 600 hours and Azov in Mariupol is still holding despite being less than 1 hour from the Russian border and surrounded for over 20 days. Azov will survive.

Slava Ukraini! Glory to Heroes!



REFUTING KREMLIN PROPAGANDIST ERIC STRIKER, A HISTORY OF UKRAINE

I was motivated to make this post by the following posts of Striker's:

<https://t.me/EricStrikerTRS/12536>

<https://t.me/EricStrikerTRS/13968>

If you ask why I don't just ignore it, it's because Striker is not a nobody. He is the first name listed under Chairman Peinovich on the National Justice Party's Founding Council, and an important and forward facing member of The Right Stuff radio network. These two posts of his basically parrot word for word Kremlin talking points: Ukraine is fake, and Ukrainians will greet the Russian military as liberators. You can see his post about Ukraine being fake has been edited. I think he was even more vitriolic about Ukraine being fake in his original post but I could be wrong. Onto the article.

"Ukraine never had a tradition of genuine statehood." - Vladimir Putin, February 22nd, 2022

On February 22nd, 2022, Vladimir Putin had a roughly 2 hour televised speech in which, among other falsehoods, he attempted to discredit the very idea of Ukraine. Like most Kremlin talking points, this one as well found its way into the Anglosphere Nationalist community. The claim has been expressed in various forms, from "Ukraine is an illegitimate country" all the way to "Ukrainian identity is not real" and even "Communist jews created Ukraine". However it is expressed the central theme is this: Ukrainian identity and nationhood is "fake", whatever that means specifically, and therefore Ukraine belongs to Russia.

The topic of Ukrainian identity can easily be a large book, so I will simply make a by no means complete list of dates and events going back over a thousand years proving how this is a vile lie put out by the Russian government and brainlessly repeated by "I oppose the current thing" "Nationalists" in The West. This list explores Ukrainian identity as well as nationhood and self-governance.

-862, the Varangian Prince Rurik establishes himself in Novgorod and founds the Rurik Dynasty. The coat of arms of Ukraine is a direct lineage from the coat of arms of Prince Rurik in the year 862. The Rurik Dynasty has its ethnic roots in Eastern Sweden, however they quickly assimilated genetically, culturally, and linguistically into Eastern Slavic tribes.

-882, According to The Tale of Bygone Years Chronicle, Prince Oleg of Novgorod begins uniting various Eastern Slavic tribes into what will become the Kievan Rus, and makes Kyiv the seat of his new domain.

-988, the Kyiv Metropolitan Church is founded when Prince Volodymyr has all the locals baptized into Christianity. THIS is the beginning of the Eastern Orthodox Church amongst the Eastern Slavic peoples.

-1187, the first recorded use of the word "Ukraine" chronologically, when The Hypatian Codex says of the death of Volodymyr Hlibovych in 1187 that "Oukraina groaned for him".

-882 to 1240, Kievan Rus flourishes and falls. The Kievan Rus is an entire series of books in itself, suffice to say in brief it is first major Slavic-founded and led Slavic state, and for hundreds of years Kyiv is the most important city in Eastern Europe, becoming a center of civilization, trade, and culture while Moscow is still an irrelevant swamp.

-1240, in The Siege of Kyiv, the Mongols take Kyiv (3 years after taking Moscow), pillage, and raze it. Kievan Rus breaks apart. Ukraine, Belarus, and Russia can all trace their identities and modern nation-states back to when Kyiv and Kievan Rus was the dominant state in Eastern Europe/the Slavic World. If any of these three countries

can claim to be the legitimate successor of a state that has been defunct for some 800 years, it would be Ukraine. There are tens of millions of Russian citizens and millions of ethnic Russians whose ancestors did not dwell in Kievan Rus, whereas you would be hard-pressed to find any Ukrainian whose ancestors did not dwell in Kievan Rus.

-Late 13th century, The Hypatian Codex, a compendium of three previous chronicles: The Tale of Bygone Years, The Kievan Chronicle, and The Galician-Volhynian Chronicle, is likely compiled (the exact date is unknown). In this text, the word "Ukraine" once again appears in writing, referring to "borderland", although no descriptions of what borders encompass Ukraine is given. (I am aware of the discrepancy of my 1187 post and this one, I am not a Slavic speaker and not a paid or even trained academic, I can't go through all three chronicles to find the first use of the word Ukraine, it was either in 1187 in one of the three chronicles which compose The Hypatian Codex, or it was in The Hypatian Codex itself in the late 13th century).

-Late 14th century, the Grand Duchy of Lithuania expands into the lands of the former Kievan Rus, and refers to "Our Ukraine" as the lands of the Kyiv, Wolhynien, and Podil (which at the time was the name of a province in southern modern day Ukraine, not the neighbourhood in Kyiv of the same name) provinces.

-1569, the Grand Duchy of Lithuania and the Kingdom of Poland unite to create the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, and the regions of modern day Ukraine are officially transferred to Poland. Polish elites begin to settle in Ukraine, and local Ukrainian elites begin taking on Polish customs and culture. In short, Polish culture begins to exert a large influence on the local Ukrainian upper-classes.

-Late 16th/early 17th century, the ranks of the Cossacks, who have been a political force for decades and who defend the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth's borders from Tatar raids, are swelled with Ukrainian peasants resentful of the Polonization of Ukraine.

-1648, under the leadership of Bohdan Khmelnytsky, the Cossacks incite an uprising against the Polish authorities which results in an independent Cossack-Ukrainian state known as the Cossack Hetmanate. However this is a new, and compared to other powers growing at the same time, weak state, which requires an overarching empire to protect them, therefore..

-1654, after 6 years as an independent Ukrainian state, Khmelnytsky pledges allegiance to Tsar Alexis of Russia. The Cossack Hetmanate will self-rule within the Tsardom, and together they will wage war on the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth. This is an important moment in both Ukrainian and Russian history, but both have different interpretations of it. In Ukrainian historiography, it is seen as an uprising motivated by Ukrainian identity against Polish authority. In Russian historiography it is seen as the moment when the Ukrainian people "returned" to Russia, which as we can see is ridiculous as Ukraine was never a part of Russia, on the contrary, it was the Kievan Rus based in Kyiv and modern day Ukraine which gave birth to modern Russia. Kyiv has a stronger claim on Moscow than Moscow has on Kyiv.

-1667, the warring between the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth and Russian Tsardom comes to an end with The Truce of Andrusovo. As part of the treaty Ukraine is split between the two powers. East of the Dnieper falls under Russian control as "Left-Bank Ukraine" and west of the Dnieper falls under Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth control as "Right-Bank Ukraine" (Left and Right Bank Ukraine is from the perspective of the

Dnieper river which flows south into the Black Sea, therefore the left bank is East of the Dnieper and right bank west of it.)

-1685 to 1722, the Annexation of the Metropolitanate of Kyiv by the Moscow Patriarchate occurs.

-Late 17th century, at this time the concept of Russia as a union of three branches emerges: Russia, Ukraine, and Belarus, also known as Great Russia (Russia/The Tsar), Little Russia (Ukraine), and White Russia (Belarus). However, Ukrainian identity is still strong, therefore...

-1700 to 1721, the Ukrainian Cossacks unsuccessfully ally with Sweden against their Russian overlords during the Great Northern War in an attempt to break free from the Russian Empire and once again establish an independent Ukrainian Cossack state.

-1721, the Russian Empire is officially founded. Ukraine is considered a cultural and civilizational bulwark of the Russian Empire, providing notable military commanders, poets, civil servants, cultural icons, and explorers. Perhaps most notable among these many notable figures is Kirill Razumovsky.

-1772, 1793, 1795, the partitions of the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth bring "Left-Bank Ukraine" into the Russian Empire. Now two different cultural parts of Ukraine are brought together under one roof. From 1667-1772/95 each had undergone separate cultural evolutions. West of the Dnieper under Polish rule was more Polish-orientated, sharing certain Polish customs and culture, more Catholic, and with local lords living close to their serfs. East of the Dnieper was more Russian-orientated, sharing certain Russian customs and culture, more Orthodox, and with lords living apart from their serfs. Another complicated division of Ukrainian culture and identity was the Hapsburg Empire capturing Galicia and Lodomeria in 1772, which contained a significant amount of Ukrainians.

-Late 18th century, The Ukrainian National Revival is considered to begin.

-Nationalism enters the scene in the 19th century becoming the latest representation of the age-old feeling of patriotism, duty, and loyalty to one's people and culture.

-In 1769, 1793, and 1814, the Ukrainian literary figures Ivan Kotliarevsky, Orest Somov, and Taras Shevchenko, who would all be instrumental throughout their careers in shaping Ukrainian modern day identity and language via their literature, are born.

-1816, the Ukrainian scholars I. Sreznevsky, E. Filomafitsky, R. Gonorsky, G. Uspensky, G. Kvitka-Osnovyanenko, and P. Gulak-Artemovsky at the University of Kharkiv publish the "Ukraïns'kyi Visnyk" which among other things is a compendium of Ukrainian literature and history. Ivan Kotliarevsky's 1798 poem Eneida features prominently as it is considered the first literary work written entirely in Ukrainian. Another prominently featured work is "The History of Ruthenians or Little Russia", an anonymous historical chronicle of Ukraine's history likely written around the end of the 18th century and beginning of the 19th century. It is widely considered to be the most important Ukrainian historical work when it comes to shaping the Ukrainian identity.

-July 18th, 1863, The Valuev Circular is secretly decreed by the Russian Minister of Internal Affairs, seeking to restrain Ukrainian Nationalism by attempting to destroy the very Ukrainian language itself. A portion of the decree reads as follows "...The Ukrainian language has not, does not, and can not exist."

-1876, Tsar Alexander II issues the Ems Ukas, officially banning the use of the allegedly non-existent Ukrainian language in print except for reprinting old documents, banning the use of Ukrainian in plays or lectures, and banning the importation of any literature written in Ukrainian.

-Late 19th/early 20th century, Ukrainian language, culture, and identity flourishes in Austrian occupied Galicia where it is allowed to exist, partly as a way of undermining the Russian Empire, but also simply continuing standard Hapsburg policy: allowing their subject peoples to express their unique identity and culture just enough to ensure they never unite in their dissent against the Hapsburg authority. In this time the influential Ukrainian Nationalist Mykhailo Hrushevsky, who is one of the most important figures in the Ukrainian National Revival, moves from Kyiv to Lviv. Another influential Ukrainian Nationalist, Mykhailo Drahomanov, is fired from Kyiv University following the Ems Ukas and moves to Geneva, Switzerland. From there his writings find their way to Galicia, and then all of Ukraine.

-1895/96, the Austrian authorities manipulate the Galician elections, souring the relationship between Ukrainian Nationalists and Austrian authorities. Hrushevsky begins writing his magnum opus; the monumental 10 volume series 'History of Ukraine-Rus', with the final volume not being published until 1933. A central theme of this 10 volume series is Hrushevsky challenging the Russian claim of being the heir of the legacy of the Kievan Rus, and instead painstakingly drawing a direct historical line from the Rurik Dynasty to his contemporary idea of a modern Ukraine.

-Late 19th/early 20th century, the National Democratic Party, a Ukrainian Nationalist party in Austrian occupied Galicia, dominates Ukrainian political life in Galicia.

-1914, World War One breaks out, Ukrainians fight for Austria-Hungary as well as the Russian Empire, believing, just like many other occupied ethnicities serving various empires, that their contribution to the war effort would be rewarded with national independence.

-1917, the Russian Revolution breaks out, making Ukrainian independence in East Ukraine look like a real possibility.

-1918, Austria-Hungary collapses following World War One, making Ukrainian Independence in Western Ukraine look like a real possibility.

-1917-1921, Ukrainian Nationalists form various states and militaries, such as the Ukrainian People's Republic and Ukrainian Galician Army, among others, but none are strong enough to withstand the Polish and Bolshevik forces, and Ukraine is divided between Poland and the Bolsheviks. Vladimir Putin, as well as certain Anglosphere commentators, would have you believe that Ukrainian identity and nationhood was created by Vladimir Lenin, which begs the obvious question of why Lenin would create armies and states numbering in the hundreds of

thousands to resist Bolshevik expansion. The answer is obvious, Lenin did not create Ukrainian identity or nationhood, as can be seen the Ukrainian identity goes back at least to the Rurik Dynasty being founded in 862.

-1921, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic is founded.

-1931-1933, Ukraine is specifically targeted by the USSR with The Holodomor, in which an unknown number of Ukrainians, between 2.5 million (Volodymyr Kubiyovych's estimate) and 7 million (Professor David R. Marples' estimate), are intentionally starved to death by the USSR. The goal of this genocide was two-fold: to bring the Ukrainian people, who were seen as a unique people who were uniquely disloyal to the communist project, to heel, and to use the confiscated grain to sell as a surplus to the west, the profits from which were used for Soviet state and military industrialization. It is no exaggeration to say that the Red Army and Soviet industry was built with the blood of millions of Ukrainians greasing its wheels.

-1941 to 1944, an unknown number, some hundreds of thousands, of Ukrainians wear a German uniform in either military or police formations. The Ukrainian population in its millions largely supports the war effort of Germany against the USSR, until the Red Army returns with Soviet rule and mass-conscriptions, the like of which only the USSR could perform. That is, when you look at the number of German and USSR Ukrainian conscripts/volunteers, remember that only one of these two states had the economic and industrial capacity to conscript/recruit millions at a time.

-October 24th, 1945, the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic joins the United Nations, being the only Soviet Socialist Republic to represent themselves individually at the United Nations.

-February, 1954, Crimea is added to the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, as it is more economically reliant on and part of Ukraine than Russia. Before the USSR, Crimea was a very multiethnic and multicultural region, where Ukrainian, Russian, and Greek were commonly spoken, with Muslim Tatars being the largest part of the population at 35% the end of World War One. After multiple deportations of Tatars (who were seen as disloyal by the Soviets) and non-Russians, and importations of Russians, the Tatar population was reduced from 35% of Crimea to 20% (200k). However, in 1944 alone another 180k of the 200k Tatars remaining are deported, virtually eliminating the Tatar community in Crimea. The population drop in Crimea was offset by the USSR via their usual policy of Russifying occupied territory, as Russians were seen as more loyal to the Soviet project than any other ethnicity in the union which could be easily moved around within their borders.

-1959, the National Identity Census finds no Tatars living in Crimea, with 71% of the population identifying as Russian and 22% as Ukrainian. I mention all this about Crimea to say that if anyone has a blood and soil claim on Crimea, it's Muslim Tatars, who for centuries were the largest community there. They were deported by the USSR and replaced in the hundreds of thousands with Russians. After the collapse of the USSR the Tatar population slowly returned, although now they were outnumbered by Russians loyal to Russia. Another thing to note is that in 2014 when the Russian military occupied Crimea and conducted a referendum, in which Crimeans were presented with two options on the ballot: become independent or join Russia, the Tatar community leaders boycotted the referendum as illegitimate, however they need not have worried as ballots were coincidentally not sent to majority Tatar voting districts unlike previous Ukrainian elections in which ballots were always sent to all voting districts.

-August 24th, 1991, as the USSR collapses the Supreme Council of Ukraine, in accordance with Soviet Union law, triggers article 69 of The Constitution of The Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic and secede from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics. In New York, the Ukrainian ambassador to the United Nations informs the other member states that the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic will now simply be Ukraine.

-1991-1994, after three years as an independent nuclear armed state, Ukraine signs the Budapest Memorandum on Security Assurances along with Russia and others, and from 1994-96 Ukraine transfers their nuclear weapons to Russia in exchange for security guarantees from Russia and The West. Clearly a recognition by Russia of Ukraine as a sovereign state, something nobody in good faith ever really questions anyway.

(If you wish for a narrative on Euromaidan and very briefly the years between these two dates, click here: <https://t.me/JamesArants/60>)

-February 24th, 2022, perhaps the most important date on this chronology. Russia invades Ukraine and the Ukrainian people resist en masse, whether it be the soldiers at the frontline or the civilians behind it. Once upon a time Ukrainians might have considered Russians a fraternal people, especially in the decades following the founding of the Russian Empire in 1721 when Ukraine was a civilizational and cultural bulwark of the Russian Empire, but those days are gone. For the foreseeable future Ukrainians will never look east to Russia and see anything but their worst enemy.

And there you have it. Ukrainian identity and statehood has its roots in the founding of the Rurik Dynasty in 862.

Remember when Striker said a communist created the written Ukrainian language (and he shamelessly linked a communist publication without fact checking it or offering the disclaimer that he is promoting a communist publication, the way I did when I linked an article by two jews)?

Remember how in the late 18th - 20th century the written Ukrainian language was a major part of the story of the Ukrainian National Revival? And how in 1798 Ivan Kotliarevsky wrote Eneida entirely in Ukrainian? What actually happened was a communist was in a position to author an "official" orthography. That's it.

It seems ridiculous to have to say it, but Striker was, shocker, wrong when he said a communist invented the written Ukrainian language in the 1920's.

At a certain point you have to ask yourself if Striker is just stupid and doesn't fact check what he says to thousands of people, or if he is dishonest on purpose, or if he is dishonest by accident.

It's up to you to make your decision, I personally believe that in this case he was dishonest by accident, motivated by his dislike of post-Soviet Eastern Europe and his Marxist sympathies. I believe he legitimately thought that Ukrainians would welcome the Russian military as liberators and that a communist invented the written Ukrainian language, because it appealed to his Russian and Marxist sympathies and obvious dislike of post-Soviet Eastern Europe. The same way he legitimately believes that Euromaidan was an invention of The

West. I also believe he legitimately thought that the Ukrainian army had no will to fight, as he argued with me several times in Joel's Chat: <https://t.me/c/1289624618/35600>

Naturally, he has been proven wrong on all counts. Ukrainians did not welcome the Russian army as liberators, a communist did not create the written Ukrainian language, Euromaidan was a grass roots appeal of Ukraine to join Europe, and Ukraine clearly has the will to fight.

Despite the length of this post, I only briefly touched on all these topics. Much more can be said, but the point has been made. If you wish to learn more, Hrushevsky's 10 volume magnum opus History of Ukraine-Rus' would provide a much deeper insight and history of Ukrainian identity and nationhood for those interested.

Don't believe Vladimir Putin or his mouthpiece in the Anglosphere Nationalist community Eric Striker when they say Ukraine is a fake country with a fake identity.

Slava Ukraini!

Is Putin Challenging the Liberal World Order?

Pro-Russians in The West like to say that Putin is challenging the Liberal-Democratic post-war world order, and is therefore worth supporting.

However, what they seem to either forget or not understand is that Russia was fundamental in creating and enforcing this post-war order. The United Nations was founded during World War Two with the express goal of defeating Fascism and creating a leftist world order, whether it be liberalism in The West, or communism in the Soviet Union.

At its core, the goal of the United Nations was to defeat the concept of ethnic and racial identity as a foundation for national identity and nation-states.

Pro-Russians in the Anglosphere might attempt to refute this by noting the segregated nature of America and the American military in the 1930's and 40's, however that was a legacy that President Roosevelt was forced to deal with, not endorse. Roosevelt constantly did as much as he could to de-racialize America, and even had a so called "Black Cabinet" advising him and his government on supporting the black community, and by 1935 there were a previously unheard of 45 blacks working in federal executive departments and "New Deal" agencies.

The United Nations was not founded on April 25th, 1945, as some chronologies state. The United Nations was truly founded on January 1st, 1942, at the Arcadia Conference wherein China, The USSR, The United Kingdom, and The United States signed the Declaration by United Nations.

The final sentence of the Declaration by United Nations is as follows:

The foregoing declaration may be adhered to by other nations which are, or which may be, rendering material assistance and contributions in the struggle for victory over Hitlerism.

The Soviet Union, and then Russia, were founding members of this international order, and because of the prominence of their role in establishing it were granted a permanent seat on the United Nations Security Council. To be clear, Russia has a permanent seat on the security council of the internationalist organization which was founded to defeat Ethno-Nationalism.

This is the country Anglosphere "Nationalists" claim is challenging the post-war order.

And Vladimir Putin is very proud and cognizant of that fact, as he stated in a September 2015 interview with CBS' Charlie Rose when asked about his upcoming speech at the United Nations:

Since this interview will be aired prior to my speech, I do not think it reasonable to go into much detail about everything I am going to speak about, but, broadly, I will certainly mention some facts from the history of the United Nations. Now I can already tell you that the decision to establish the United Nations was taken in our country at the Yalta Conference. It was in the Soviet Union that this decision was made. The Soviet Union, and Russia as the successor state to the Soviet Union, is a founding member state of the United Nations and a permanent member of its Security Council.

Here are some quotes from his speech commemorating the 70th Session of the United Nations on September 28th, 2015:

"In 1945, the countries that defeated Nazism joined their efforts to lay solid foundations for the postwar world order."

"But I remind you that the key decisions on the principles guiding the cooperation among states, as well as on the establishment of the United Nations, were made in our country, in Yalta, at the meeting of the anti-Hitler coalition leaders."

"The United Nations is unique in its legitimacy, representation and universality."

"Russia stands ready to work together with its partners on the basis of full consensus, but we consider the attempts to undermine the legitimacy of the United Nations as extremely dangerous. They could lead to a collapse of the entire architecture of international organizations..."

"Similar to the anti-Hitler coalition, it could unite a broad range of forces that are resolutely resisting those who, just like the Nazis, sow evil and hatred of humankind."

"Everything that contravenes the U.N. Charter must be rejected."

As can be seen, Putin is cognizant and proud of the role Russia played in establishing and maintaining the very international order that Anglosphere "Nationalists" claim he is opposing. Russia holds a permanent seat on the United Nations Security Council, the pre-eminent anti-Fascist organization in the world. In the name of "Denazification" he invaded the country with the largest Nationalist and Fascist community in the world.

It should also be noted that in Putin's February 24th speech in which he explained his reasonings for declaring war, he cited the United Nations charter six times:

"First a bloody military operation was waged against Belgrade, without the UN Security Council's sanction but with combat aircraft and missiles used in the heart of Europe."

"The illegal use of military power against Libya and the distortion of all the UN Security Council decisions on Libya ruined the state..."

"The combat operations conducted by the Western coalition in that country without the Syrian government's approval or UN Security Council's sanction can only be defined as aggression and intervention."

"We witnessed lies made at the highest state level and voiced from the high UN rostrum..."

"In this context, in accordance with Article 51 (Chapter VII) of the UN Charter, with permission of Russia's Federation Council, and in execution of the treaties of friendship and mutual assistance with the Donetsk People's Republic and the Lugansk People's Republic, ratified by the Federal Assembly on February 22, I made a decision to carry out a special military operation."

"This does not mean that nations cannot enjoy the right to self-determination, which is enshrined in Article 1 of the UN Charter."

I understand why a world leader would seek to legitimize his military operations, but I simply highlight this to stress my original point of Russia being proud of its role in founding and enforcing the international anti-Fascist order we live under today.

I elaborated on more depth about how anti-Fascist the Russian state truly is in this post:
<https://t.me/JamesArants/29>

This post is a prelude to a forthcoming post detailing the history of the persecution of not only Fascists, but non-Fascist Ethno-Nationalists in Putin's Russia. In Russia, those with a racial mind who attempt to organize racial organizations are persecuted even more than those in The West.

Russia will always be an enforcer of the international order agreed upon by the victors of World War Two from 1941-1945, and the core of that order is the rejection of race as a foundation for identity.

<https://www.fransamalingvongesusau.com/documents/dl1/h4/1.4.4.pdf>

<https://m.rusemb.org.uk/article/interview-of-president-vladimir-vputin-to-american-tv-channel-cbs-and-pbs>

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/worldviews/wp/2015/09/28/read-putins-u-n-general-assembly-speech/>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Black_Cabinet#Members

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yalta_Conference

<https://www.paulcraigroberts.org/2022/02/24/here-is-the-full-text-of-putins-speech-this-morning-feb-24-2022/>

ON THE BALTIC STATES: ENERGY INDEPENDENCE AND WEST VS RUSSIAN SPHERE OF INFLUENCE

When the Baltic nations of Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania gained their independence in 1991, 100% of their energy infrastructure was reliant on Russia.

On April 2nd, the CEO of Conexus Baltic Grid, Uldis Bariss, stated that:

"Since April 1st Russian natural gas is no longer flowing to Latvia, Estonia and Lithuania."

Also, by 2025 at the latest the Baltic states will be fully connected to the European Continental Network electricity grid, meaning that Russia will supply NO energy in the Baltics.

The short term will be covered by natural gas reserves stored underground in Latvia. The medium term is more LNG terminals. With one already in Lithuania, and Finland needing to be covered as well, I predict the next and second Baltic LNG terminal will be constructed in Tallinn, Estonia.

The reasoning is this.

Klaipeda liquefied gas terminal's full output is around 36 TWh per year. This is about as much as Lithuania's (~20 TWh), Latvia's (~10 TWh) and Estonia's (~5 TWh) total consumption together. However, the output becomes insufficient when you factor in Finland (~30 TWh), which has been part of the same market as Estonia and Latvia for two years, notes De Facto. (BNN News)

So the current LNG terminal in the Baltics can cover 36TWh per year. The Baltics total consumption is 35TWh per year. Finland's, however, is 30TWh per year. So, the total natural gas consumption of the Baltics + Finland is 65TWh per year. All the region needs is one more LNG terminal of the same size as the one in Klaipėda and the entire region is set. Tallinn is a prime location, because it's a port city on the north coast of Estonia, and the distance between the ports of Tallinn and Helsinki is a mere 87km.

An LNG terminal in Tallinn would satisfy the needs of the Baltics and Finland perfectly. If not Tallinn, then the new terminal would be constructed in Helsinki or some other Finnish port.

In either case, this is fantastic news for Eastern Europe, and a historic day for the Baltics.

I also hate to brag, but I predicted this. When I would debate with people in Joel Davis' Chat, and they would discover I am Estonian, they would constantly talk about how the Baltics are reliant on Russian energy for life, as if a country can rise from the poverty of the USSR to replace 100% of their infrastructure overnight, and I would reply that LNG terminals and the Continental European Network grid were the future of Baltic and then European energy, and I would be met with the usual derision, trolling, bad-faith arguments, and especially Alex McNabb's newly discovered hatred of "Eastern European backwaters" (what hanging with Striker does to a mfer).


Well, I was right, not that it was some incredible prediction on my part, I simply knew the situation in Eastern Europe better than the trolls I engaged with. I was making the prediction that Russia would soon supply 0% of the Baltics energy based on publicly available information about liquified natural gas terminals, the Continental European Network, and other Baltic energy projects.

<https://t.me/visegradtv/6497>

<https://bnn-news.com/at-least-four-enterprises-interested-in-liquefied-gas-terminal-project-in-latvia-233491>

<https://www.nytimes.com/2022/04/02/world/europe/lithuania-russia-gas.html>

<https://www.barrons.com/news/baltic-states-stop-russian-gas-imports-01648935006>



By 2025 at the latest, 100% of the Baltics electricity will be provided by the Continental European Network via a connection between Poland and Lithuania.

A historic time for the Baltics. After 20 years as internationally recognized nation-states after the Baltic peoples fought off the USSR with one hand and German Freikorps with the other, our independence was snuffed out by the USSR in 1940.

What followed was the struggle between the forces of Europe, represented by Adolf Hitler and German National Socialism, and the forces of world Jewry and Asiatic imperialism, represented by the USSR.

We all know how that particular struggle ended, and it resulted in decades of subjugation by the USSR.

In 1991 the Baltic states gained independence.

In 2004, the Baltic states joined the European Union and North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

In 2022, the Baltic states totally cut off Russian gas imports.

By 2025 at the latest, Russia will supply 0% of the Baltics energy.

The list of Baltic energy projects is long and is as follows:

ELECTRICITY SECTOR

-Two high-voltage direct-current (HVDC) submarine power cables, Estlink 1 and Estlink 2, linking Estonia and Finland (COMPLETED)

-The NordBalt power cable, also known as SwedLit, linking Lithuania and Sweden (COMPLETED)

-LitPol, connecting the Baltics to the Continental European Network via Lithuania and Poland (IN PROGRESS)

GAS SECTOR

-Balticconnector, a bidirectional natural gas pipeline between Estonia and Finland (COMPLETED)

-Interconnection Poland-Lithuania (GIPL), which will connect the two countries' natural gas transmission systems (COMPLETED)

-Another liquified natural gas terminal in the Baltic-Finland region (IN PROGRESS)

The sum total of this means that the Baltics have now totally exited the "Russian sphere of influence".

Something "Nationalists" in the Anglosphere have said a lot is that the Baltics should not have joined NATO/EU because they are in the so called Russian sphere of influence. However, that's not true for a variety of reasons.

Ethnically the Baltic peoples are not Slavic, and thus have no real *shared* history with the Russian people. Sure, the two interacted, but never in a *shared* sense, either Russians were occupying the Baltics, or the Baltics were fighting with various European powers against Russia (Sweden in the Great Northern War, Finland and Germany in the 1930's-40's as two examples).

Linguistically, the Baltic languages of Latvian and Lithuanian are part of the Balto-Slavic language branch, but are the only two widely spoken languages of the Balto branch, and thus have more in common with one another than any other language and there isn't a close second. The Finnic language of Estonia is part of the Finno-Ugric language branch and is most closely related to Finnish. Also, the Baltic languages are written with Latin script, whereas Slavic languages are written in Cyrillic script.

Culturally, the Baltic nations are far more influenced by The West than Russia. Naturally, all three Baltic cultures influence one another a great deal, however the largest foreign cultural influences outside of the Baltics are as follows:

Estonia's largest foreign cultural influences are from Finland (if that counts as foreign), Sweden, Denmark, and Germany.

Latvia's largest foreign cultural influences are from Sweden, Germany, and Denmark.

Lithuania's largest foreign cultural influences are from Poland, Sweden, and Germany.

Yes, many of these countries had conflicts with the Baltic peoples. Some lesser, such as the Swedish Empire's rule in Estonia, which was seen as a golden age where lots of important infrastructure was built in Estonia, including the all important Tartu University, which is a cultural heart of Estonian identity, and some greater, such as Germanic knights in the Teutonic Order killing and converting the Baltic peoples from their ancestral religions to Christianity.

However, ask any Balt, and they will tell you they feel kinship first and foremost with each other (and Finland for Estonia), and then they feel that they belong in The West, and not with Russia.

After the USSR collapsed in 1991, ALL of the former USSR countries (Warsaw Pact countries were not formally in the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics) formed the Commonwealth of Independent States, with the exception of the Baltic states who immediately moved as far away as possible from Russia and formed the Baltic Assembly (formed from 1990-94).

Striker would ask me why I don't have the same hatred for Polish Nationalists who hate National Socialism as I do for Russian Nationalists who hate National Socialism, and the answer is simple. The same reason I do not hate Swedish, Danish, or German Nationalists despite the fact that their people once ruled Estonia via their various imperial projects:

Polish Nationalists do not wish to conquer Baltic land and reform the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, Swedish, Danish, and German Nationalists do not wish to conquer Estonia, Russian Nationalists (with the exception of Russian National Socialists who I will always admire and support) DO wish to conquer the Baltics.

So no, the Baltics are not "in the Russian sphere of influence" because they have the misfortune of sharing a border with Russia. The Baltic peoples feel kinship with one another, Finland, Sweden, Poland, Denmark, Germany, and Europe. And they have clearly shown that they can indeed be independent of Russia, so don't come at me with "you have to join Russia because muh geopolitical reality!", because the geopolitical reality is that the Baltics have shown an ability and desire to move as far away from Russian as possible, you are only sputtering about "muh geopolitical reality" because the foreign policy of the Baltics is in conflict with your desired foreign policy from the comfort of Ohio.

<https://globalsecurityreview.com/poland-baltic-states-reduce-reliance-russian-energy/>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Synchronous_grid_of_Continental_Europe

<https://www.fpri.org/article/2017/06/baltic-energy-sources-diversifying-away-russia/>

https://energy.ec.europa.eu/topics/infrastructure/high-level-groups/baltic-energy-market-interconnection-plan_en

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baltic_Assembly

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Latin_alphabet

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cyrillic_alphabets

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baltic_languages

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Finnic_languages

RIA Novosti Article "What Russia Should Do with Ukraine":

Yesterday, RIA Novosti published a lengthy piece titled "What Russia should do with Ukraine", which explains in detail what Russia understands by denazification:

» The special operation revealed that not only the political leadership in Ukraine is Nazi, but also the majority of the population. All Ukrainians who have taken up arms must be eliminated - because they are responsible for the genocide of the Russian people.

» Ukrainians disguise their Nazism by calling it a "desire for independence" and a "European way of development". Ukraine doesn't have a Nazi party, a Führer or racial laws, but because of its flexibility, Ukrainian Nazism is far more dangerous to the world than Hitler's Nazism

» Denazification means de-Ukrainianisation. Ukrainians are an artificial anti-Russian construct. They should no longer have a national identity. Denazification of Ukraine also means its inevitable de-Europeanisation.

» Ukraine's political elite must be eliminated as it cannot be re-educated. Ordinary Ukrainians must experience all the horrors of war and absorb the experience as a historical lesson and atonement for their guilt.

» The liberated and denazified territory of the Ukrainian state should no longer be called Ukraine. Denazification should last at least one generation - 25 years.

<https://ria.ru/20220403/ukraina-1781469605.html>

A BRIEF HISTORY OF PUTIN'S PERSECUTION OF WHITE NATIONALISTS

The Russia of 2022 is by no means a nationalist-minded country. Putin is pro-Jewish, and Russia is a multiethnic and culturally mixed land. The National Socialists who operate within Russian borders are forced to endure severe repression from the state – much worse than we are faced with in Sweden and the Nordic countries.

- Simon Lindberg, leader of the Nordic Resistance Movement,

March 6th, 2022

Which side are we on in the Ukraine war?

NordicResistanceMovement.org

Perhaps the most common argument from pro-Russia Nationalists in the Anglosphere is that Russia expanding their borders in Europe would be a net positive, and that the Russian Federation is preferable to the European Union. Putin's apologists in The West, Eric Striker chief among them as ever, speak of Russia as a land where European Ethnic-Nationalism can thrive. On a recent podcast, Striker even referred to Vladimir Putin as "Somewhere between a civic-nationalist and an ethno-nationalist." Striker will also mention how pro-White conferences are held within Russia, and therefore Russia is a force for good for the White Race, either on its own or in comparison to the European Union.

However, nothing could be further from the truth. I decided to open this post with a quote from Simon Lindberg because he is perhaps the most well known National Socialist in the Western world. The Nordic Resistance Movement has a uniquely strong reputation within Europe and The West as perhaps the premier National Socialist organization (outside of Ukraine where the game is fundamentally different compared to Sweden, France, UK, America etc.), having been in existence for decades and leaving a favourable opinion on almost all those who interact with them, or know of them. Also, Simon and the NRM have been very neutral on which "side" they support in this war.

Therefore, I think his word carries weight when he says that National Socialists are persecuted in Russia in a far more severe way than they are in Sweden/the European Union. Not only has Sweden recently upheld the right of the NRM to exist in court, but the Swedish court has even made the decision that publicly known members of the NRM are not banned from owning firearms because of their political affiliation, and this in a country that does not have a constitutional right to own firearms.

In this post I will detail the persecution that National Socialists and White Nationalists endure in the Russian Federation, and why all of Striker's claims about Russia being a force for good for the White Race, either on its own or in comparison to the European Union, ring hollow.

I'd also like to note that this post is by no means a defence of the European Union. The EU is without a doubt an anti-White organization and tool of the jews. However, it is simply an irrefutable fact that National Socialists and White Nationalists operate with far more freedom in the European Union than in the Russian Federation, and therefore the Russian Federation expanding their reach in Europe is by no means a good thing.

Russia: Anti-Nationalist Bastion

In the 2000's, thousands of Russian National Socialists and White Nationalists would gather on November 4th to march together on behalf of their race. They would march with flags and banners which made it explicitly clear that this was a march by persons of the White Race, for persons of the White Race. The marches would be replete with torches, drums, and thousands of White people chanting pro-White chants and demanding the government make pro-White changes to their policies.

By 2017, this November 4th march dwindled to a few hundreds. Gone were the pro-White banners, organizations, and chants, replaced instead with simply anti-LGBT banners and chants. Anti-LGBT banners and

chants were also present when these marches were in their heyday, but the main focus was a pro-White demonstration of strength.

However, Putin and his regime had ruthlessly persecuted the White Nationalist community in Russia, banning organizations, imprisoning leaders and well known figures associated with them, or driving them out of Russia. As Putin said in 2008:

If we huff out this caveman nationalism and throw mud at people of other ethnic groups, we will destroy this country.

Recently, Nationalist opposition figure Vladimir Zhirinovsky made this statement about immigration into Russia:

Bulgarians, Ukrainians, Belarusians, Serbs, this is possible. From Central Asia – that's impossible. The [migrants] carry two grams of drugs in their pockets for personal consumption. But they will sell it here. That's why you can't bring in migrants. Let them go – that's good."

Vladimir Putin replied:

Caveman nationalism, with the slogan 'Russia is only for Russians,' only harms Russians, only harms Russia. We shouldn't allow this to happen. Of course, we must make sure that the culture of every nation, its history, and roots of every nation is respected and honored in our country.

As Putin has proved throughout his career as Russian head of state (both during his official presidency years and unofficial presidency years when he served as Prime Minister because of Russian constitutional term limits which existed at the time), he did not just talk the talk, he walked it. In Russia, White Nationalist organizations either allow themselves to be co-opted by the Kremlin, replacing their pro-White and anti-LGBT demands with just anti-LGBT demands, or they are crushed.

Allow me to offer two examples: the Movement Against Illegal Immigration (DPNI), and the Slavic Union (SS).

When the November 4th marches were in their prime in the 2000's, these were the two organizations most prominent in organizing and attending the marches.

The National Socialist Movement aka Slavic Union was founded in September of 1999, by Dmitry Dmushkin.

The Movement Against Illegal Immigration was founded on July 10th, 2002, by Valdimir Basmanov, in the wake of racial violence in Moscow suburbs between ethnic Russians and non-Whites from the Caucasus.

These two organizations, formed from the large White Nationalist culture created by Tesak, were gaining momentum and membership from 1999-2005. During this time, they began to organize the November 4th "Russian March", which would number in the thousands, despite government persecution of these nascent

movements. These organizations were National Socialist, such as Slavic Union, or just White Nationalist, like DPNI. What united them was a pro-White racial worldview and opposition to the Kremlin and Russian government.

Enter Aleksandr Dugin.

In response to these anti-government organizations, Aleksandr Dugin founded the youth movement (despite being 43 years old) Eurasian Youth Union (ESM), which unlike the SS and DPNI were pro-Kremlin and pro-Russian government. The ESM was characterised by being anti-LGBT, anti-liberal, but pro multiracial and multicultural. The ESM was the youth wing of the "International Eurasian Movement".

It is unknown how much involvement the Russian government directly had in Dugin founding this organization, but I find the timeline very interesting. Just as Russian pro-White and anti-Kremlin organizations are gaining steam in Russia, especially amongst Nationalist Russian youth, a "Eurasian" Nationalist youth movement which is pro-government enters the scene. As we shall see, there will be conflict between these two factions of Russian Nationalism.

That same year, in 2005, the Russian government did away with the November 7th holiday celebrating the October Revolution, and instead brought back the Tsarist holiday of November 4th, celebrating the day Moscow was liberated from the Poles in 1612. This is when the Russian Marches began, which in their early years were dominated by anti-Kremlin Russian National Socialists/White Nationalists.

For a full history on what happened next, how the Kremlin destroyed the White Nationalists while co-opting the rest, I highly recommend you read the following Al-Jazeera article in full.

Al-Jazeera is a Qatari owned news publication which is moderately pro-Russia, they put together this article documenting the Kremlin's persecution and co-opting of the Russian "far right" as a way of supporting Russia.

<https://www.aljazeera.com/features/2017/12/16/the-death-of-the-russian-far-right/>

As you can see, the Kremlin destroyed any Nationalist organization that would not allow itself to be co-opted into dropping White Nationalism, and co-opted the rest into dropping any form of a racial worldview or ideals.

Eric Striker often speaks of Russian National Unity being on the front lines of the conflict in East Ukraine, putting forth his "There are National Socialist paramilitaries on both sides" argument in an attempt to win Nationalist support for Russia. However, like all White Nationalist organizations in Russia its leaders were imprisoned for spreading racial hatred, which is against Article 282 (Article 282 was recently expanded by Putin in 2016, however it had been in existence for years prior) of the Russian Federation's criminal code, and was banned in 1999. From there a splinter group emerged, the Russian Orthodox Army, who indeed participate in the conflict, however they are not National Socialists. They fight alongside USSR flags for an organization (DPR) that welcomes the Communist Party of Russia with open arms. They are Nationalists yes, but not National Socialists by any means.

The DPR and LPR receiving deputies from the Communist Party of Russia with open arms. Remember, Keith Woods, Eric Striker, and many others want you to believe that the DPR and LPR are right wing ethno-states because gay marriage is illegal there, just like it is in Ukraine. However, which communists do you know that would support right wing ethno-states, and which White Nationalists do you know that have friendly relations with communists? https://twitter.com/kprf/status/1507456782213746689?s=21&t=oHVM5_RJmi3q9PbTJOBAzA

However the persecution of Russian National Socialists or White Nationalists does not stop there. There is also the story of Militant Zone/Wotan Jugend.

One day I will go deeper into the specifics and history of these organizations, which is worthy of its own post, but suffice to say for now, Militant Zone and Wotan Jugend were founded in Russia by Russian National Socialists, but due to persecution from the Putin government from 2005-2010, they relocated to Ukraine, the state which gave birth to Ukrainian, Russian, and Belarussian culture and identity, where they have found a home.

The idea that the Russian Federation expanding their borders is good for White Nationalism because the European Union/NATO are worse is factually incorrect. If this was the case, why did Militant Zone and Wotan Jugend, among many other Russian National Socialists and organizations, have to leave Russia for Ukraine?



As can be seen, Putin is no friend of White Nationalism, Putin is the fucking grim reaper of White Nationalism, and he has a trail of corpses, prisoners, exiles, co-opted figureheads forced to drop White Nationalism, and banned organizations in his wake:

The Movement Against Illegal Immigration, Slavic Union, Russian National Unity, Ethno-Political Organization "Russians", Russian March, Militant Zone, Wotan Jugend, Asgardsrei, Maxim Martinskevich, Alexei Levkin, Ivan Mikheev, Alexander Potkin AKA Belov, Dmitry Dyomushkin, Ivan Beletsky, Aleksandr Ivanov-Sukharevsky, Denis Nikitin, Roman Zheleznov, and doubtless many more organizations, events, and figureheads I could not name have all been banned, exiled, imprisoned, co-opted into dropping White Nationalism, or tortured and murdered by the Russian state.

Yes, White Nationalists within the EU and NATO also suffer persecution. National Action was banned in the United Kingdom, the Nordic Resistance Movement was banned in Finland. However, the bar for being banned is much lower in the Russian Federation. Both of these organizations were banned, unjustly, because of deaths associated with them. The Finnish ban on NRM was particularly unjust, as an anti-fascist OD'd by mixing prescription pain pills he was given after an altercation with NRM members with street drugs, and the NRM was blamed. These are both unjust, however in the Russian Federation an organization does not even have to be associated with the deaths of political rivals to be persecuted and banned. DPNI, Slavic Union, and Russian National Unity were all banned for promoting racism, and Militant Zone and Wotan Jugend were also forced out of Russia for the crime of becoming too popular. Tesak was tortured and murdered for the crime of exposing pedophiles close to Putin, this is why Simon Lindberg stated that National Socialists in Russia suffer more extreme persecution than any National Socialists in the EU.

So no, the Russian Federation expanding their borders is by no means a good thing for White Nationalism. the Russian government does not host White Nationalist conferences, the Russian government hosts anti-fascist conferences, and brutally persecutes National Socialists within their borders. Organizations are banned for inciting racial hatred, and the bar is much lower than in the EU and NATO sphere. The very organizations that were persecuted by the Kremlin to the point that they had to flee the country found their home in Ukraine. To advocate for Russian expansion in Ukraine is to advocate for the liquidation of both Russian and Ukrainian National Socialists. To support Ukraine is to support not only Ukrainian, but Russian National Socialists who have found a home in Ukraine.

Throughout my channel, I have refuted every single claim as to why Ethno-Nationalists should support Russia.

Russia is not weakening NATO.

Russia is not challenging the post-war world order.

Russia is not a sanctuary for our worldview.

Russia expanding into Ukraine is not a positive for our worldview.

The factual evidence of this is that Russian National Socialists have been driven out of Russia and found a home in Ukraine. How then can anybody claim that the Russian Federation expanding into Ukraine is a good thing for White Nationalism? It boggles the mind that somebody can say something so easily disproven, and so factually incorrect.

You should be highly suspicious of Western "Nationalists" who promote any of these narratives.

<https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2016/11/10/breaking-bad-how-russian-state-patriotism-destroyed-the-far-right-a56088>

<https://www.rt.com/russia/515821-putin-slam-caveman-nationalism/>

<https://www.legislationline.org/documents/id/4028>

<https://www.loc.gov/item/global-legal-monitor/2016-07-18/russia-strengthening-of-punishment-for-extremism/>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Movement_Against_Illegal_Immigration

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Slavic_Union

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russians_\(organization\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russians_(organization))

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alexander_Potkin

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dmitry_Demushkin

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russian_National_Unity

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Russian_Orthodox_Army

<https://www.codastory.com/disinformation/armed-conflict/brothers-in-arms/>

<https://www.rferl.org/a/russia-ukraine-jailed-absentia-fighting-separatists-azov/29132868.html>

<https://www.rt.com/russia/534258-lavrov-ukraine-russophobia-rehabilitation-nazis/amp/>

<https://www.rt.com/russia/551003-russia-plans-anti-fascist-congress/>

<https://nordicresistancemovement.org/swedish-court-rules-resistance-members-have-the-same-right-to-own-firearms-as-other-citizens/>

<https://nordicresistancemovement.org/a-comment-on-the-war-in-ukraine/>

<https://nordicresistancemovement.org/which-side-are-we-on-in-the-ukraine-war/>

The following two links are from Bellingcat, a source that should be treated with skepticism to say the least. However, this is a thoroughly anti-National Socialist source who is documenting the facts on the ground of Russian NS finding a home in Ukraine because they wish to hurt these organizations, therefore I feel these articles are worth including, as they document the factual reality of Russian National Socialists thriving in Ukraine like they could never thrive in the Russian Federation.

<https://www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/09/04/the-hardcore-russian-neo-nazi-group-that-calls-ukraine-home/>

<https://www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/08/14/the-russians-and-ukrainians-translating-the-christchurch-shooters-manifesto/>



>The death of the Russian far right. How the Kremlin destroyed the far right in Russia.

<https://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/features/2017/11/death-russian-171123102640298.html>

>Russian police detain hundreds in nationalist protests

<https://www.dw.com/en/russian-police-detain-hundreds-in-nationalist-protests/a-41241032>

>Russia nationalist leader jailed for life over hate crimes

<https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-33657409>

>At least 86 Russian nationalist protesters reported arrested

<https://www.foxnews.com/world/at-least-86-russian-nationalist-protesters-reported-arrested>

>Far-Right Leader Dmitry Demushkin Arrested Ahead of Upcoming 'Russian March'

<https://jamestown.org/program/far-right-leader-dmitry-demushkin-arrested-ahead-upcoming-russian-march/>

>Russia now has more prostitutes than doctors, farmers and firemen combined

<http://euromaidanpress.com/2017/08/20/russia-now-has-more-prostitutes-than-doctors-farmers-and-firemen-combined-and-other-neglected-russian-stories-euromaidan-press/>

Deport Russians NOW!

How important it is for Ukraine, and all Eastern European countries, to totally clean out their Russian populations:

In a video, a tank approaches and eliminates a number of Ukrainian infantry at close range.

This tank approached the Ukrainians and the Ukrainians do not react. It is likely a Ukrainian model, either crewed by Russian soldiers, which is possible, or it is crewed by Russian soldiers in Ukrainian uniform loyal to Russia. I believe the latter is the case.

Considering the fact that someone was recording, knowing this attack was about to happen, leads me to believe that this was the act of Russians loyal to Russia in Ukrainian uniform. Why else film a group of Ukrainian soldiers with a Ukrainian tank approaching? That doesn't make sense. However, filming a group of Ukrainian soldiers about to get ambushed by Russians in a Ukrainian tank, that makes more sense.

Share this to show the perils of allowing Russians in your country. Today it's a group of Ukrainian soldiers, tomorrow it could also be Estonians, Latvians, Lithuanians etc etc

Remember this video when Russians and pro-Russians cry about "The Russian language being oppressed in the Baltics". This is the fruit of the Russification of Eastern Europe by the Soviets, where they deported and murdered Eastern Europeans, and moved in Russians. The Russian people were brought here at the same time

that our people were murdered and deported to Siberia. Now their descendants squat in Estonia and Eastern Europe, enjoying the higher standard of living, while remaining loyal to Moscow and waiting to stick a knife in the backs of the nations they parasitically and cynically inhabit.

The Baltic and Eastern European peoples have very good reason to want to de-Russify their nations.

There is an interesting and telling contradiction in the arguments of pro-Russian "Nationalists" that is as follows:

When confronted with Eastern Europeans who have a dislike, to say the least, of Russia because of Russian/Soviet crimes in Eastern Europe, they say that you need to stop being stuck in the past, that you should focus on the present, that you shouldn't let past events influence you in the present.

When confronted with Russians proudly going to war while wearing and bearing Soviet iconography they say "It's their history. It makes sense for them to be proud of their Soviet legacy and seek to uphold it, after all, so many of their ancestors died creating or defending the USSR. Do you really expect them to turn their backs on the graves of their people?"

Why is it that past events are only justifiable in forming a worldview in the present when they benefit Moscow? Why is it that turning your backs on the graves of your people is only justifiable when it's Eastern Europeans who wish to resist Russian invasion?

It seems that the only principle these people have is acting as paid or unpaid mouthpieces for the Kremlin, and that like an octopus or some other invertebrate creature they will slimily and spinelessly slither into whatever position is required to defend Russia within that particular context or argument, even if they contradict principles they previously used to argue against Eastern Europeans who do not wish to be subsumed by the Asiatic antifa empire known as the Russian Federation.

All pictures and videos are from the Russian invasion of Ukraine, as can be clearly seen. The picture with the Soviet banner is taken in a room of DPR fighters, you can see the DPR flag in the background. The banner reads:

"UNDER THE BANNER OF MARXISM-LENINISM

UNDER THE COMMUNIST LEADERSHIP

UNTIL VICTORY"



There is another interesting and telling contradiction in the arguments of pro-Russia "Nationalists" in the West that is as follows:

For years they have called people like Vaush and his ilk liberals, and said they are not "real communists" (interesting), and held up people like Caleb Maupin and his ilk as the standard of the "real communists".

But then, as soon as Vaush and company began supporting Ukraine, they used that as evidence of the far-left/communists supporting Ukraine over Russia whenever confronted with either Russia itself hosting antifa conferences and having their top diplomat speak at antifa conferences, or various communist and anti-Fascist groups supporting Russia, such as Caleb Maupin, the Irish Republican Socialist Party, various Spanish antifa/anarchist circles, and antifa in general.

Yes, liberals overwhelmingly if not exclusively support Ukraine, but the far-left is not only by no means supporting Ukraine, most support Russia. Check Caleb Maupin's twitter timeline which is exclusively full of retweets from the antifa community supporting Russia against Azov and Ukrainian Nationalists.

Interesting how as soon as these people they spent years calling liberals began supporting Ukraine, suddenly they were now communists despite all the years they spent saying they are not "real communists". Once again they have no qualms contradicting themselves so long as they can defend Russia within the specific context they are being presented.

https://english.elpais.com/elpais/2015/02/27/inenglish/1425033238_750840.html

<https://morningstaronline.co.uk/article/w/russian-communists-call-anti-facist-front-against-nato-led-nazification-ukraine>

<https://hanaprime.com/2022/04/27/milan-antifa-lgtb-palestinians-protest-against-ukraine-supporters/>

<https://www.rt.com/russia/534258-lavrov-ukraine-russophobia-rehabilitation-nazis/amp/#click=https://t.co/FI5fH2JSqT>

<https://www.rt.com/russia/551003-russia-plans-anti-fascist-congress/>

Can Ukraine Really Win?

As the Russian invasion continues to flounder and vastly underperform relative to the expectations the world had, pro-Russian "Nationalists" in the West will look for any straw they can grasp to uphold the prestige of the Kremlin.

Their narrative will be as follows:

"Ukraine did not win the war/give Russia so much trouble. It was NATO weaponry. If not for arms and aid from the West, Russia would have steamrolled Ukraine."

It is undeniable that Western aid played a major role in the war. However, weaponry without soldiers to wield it is useless, and money and resources alone do not win wars. When you hear them make this argument, which they will, this is how you should respond:

"So why did the Taliban manage to survive for two decades against the most powerful and wealthy military machine in human history (America/NATO), and why did the Afghanistan National Army crumble before the Taliban? The soldiers of the ANA were the highest paid soldiers on the planet, and the ANA was propped up with billions of dollars in military resources and decades of American training. When you compare the military aid given to the ANA in contrast to the austerity of the Taliban, with the military aid given to Ukraine in contrast to the Russian military machine, the ANA were given far more resources in comparison to their opponent. In the short and medium term, Ukraine is still fighting with a smaller military budget than Russia, and with a smaller pool of military aged males. Therefore the most decisive factor in this war, especially in the first months, is the morale and skill of the Ukrainian soldier."

Also, when they make the argument about NATO involvement, the underlying motivation of that argument is huffing and puffing about how unfair it is that the world did not sit idle while Russia invaded Ukraine. As Counter Currents points out in the post I will link below, that is not how great power politics/reality works. This is like a National Socialist huffing and puffing about how unfair it was that international jewry did not just let Hitler kick

them out of one of Europe's most important nations, and cause a ripple-effect within the very heart of Western Civilization which would have resulted in their expulsion from our homes and lives. Yes, the "Allied" powers had more resources than Germany, but no, it is not "unfair" that the jewish powers fought to preserve their insidious and evil goal of world domination. I understand their motives even if I vehemently oppose them, something Alex McNabb of TRS seemingly does not understand by the way (I'll link another post of mine below the CC one to explain this reference of mine for those of you who are new to the channel).

The underlying premise of the argument about NATO involvement winning the Ukrainian war is motivated from a place of childish resentment that your enemy isn't doing what you want them to do. As usual, the pro-Russian "Nationalists" in the West don't have a leg to stand on when their claims are critically examined.

Aesthetics is Everything

You've probably heard the saying "aesthetics is everything" floating around our community, and I like it a lot because of what it **really** means on a non-superficial level.

That which is external, is also internal.

Think of it like clothes. Clothes are purely external, they literally hang on your body. However, wardrobes represent who the person wearing them is as a person. Clothes represent your personality not only to others, but also to yourself. You begin to associate yourself with your particular style of dress, and vice-versa.

It's the exact same with architecture. You will internalize the concepts that your external surroundings represent via subconscious or even conscious association. When you are surrounded by billboards, fast food joints, brutalist architecture etc you internalize the concept of being an economic cog in a machine. Your surroundings are designed not around beauty and power, but around economic efficiency, and therefore that concept becomes internalized because you regularly subconsciously associate yourself with your surroundings, the same way you do with your clothes. This is another of the myriad reasons that Capitalism must be destroyed and purged from not only our civilization, but our very racial soul as well.

But when you are surrounded by marble columns, classical, neoclassical, romanesque, gothic etc architecture, statues of heroes and folk legends from your people, temples, palaces, beautiful fountains and pieces of art, well designed parks with various pathways and streams, you internalize concepts of heroism, beauty, strength, meaning, religiosity, and purpose. I also believe that modern architecture can be beautiful if the right people are planning it. The problem is the leftists who currently dominate urban planning and modern architecture want their creations to be ugly on purpose, since ugliness is the external representation of internal leftist values. I believe that we can craft a beautiful world without just copying previous styles of architecture like I listed above, but that glass, marble, stone etc. can be shaped in modern ways to be beautiful and aesthetically pleasing, which can in turn be incorporated with previous styles of architecture as well.

This is what "aesthetics is everything" means. Not the superficial, but the internal concepts that the allegedly superficial clothing, architecture etc represents. This is also why you see the memes of the chad saying "cool opinion, but do you even lift?" within our milieu. This is not just a funny meme, it has a deep meaning. If your

worldview doesn't inspire you to become the best version of yourself that you can be, then why do I need to listen to your worldview? Shut the fuck up nerd or I will literally beat you.

Liberals say "don't judge a book by its cover", but we all know that is bullshit. Everyone judges people based on how they look. It's a natural instinct, it's biology.

The reason breasts and hips are desirable to men is because it's our biology telling us that this woman can give birth to and nurse your children. The reason muscular men are desirable for women is because it's their biology telling them that this man can protect their children and perform well in the struggle for resources against other men to sustain those children. The reason well-defined, symmetrical, and attractive faces are desirable is because it's an **external** sign of **internal** healthy genetics, which are directly linked, they are not separate categories. Remember, all sexual desire stems from nature giving you an incentive to procreate, to continue the gift of life.

This is also why of course homosexuals are abominations who are threats to society, civilization, and the gift of life itself. Yes, not every instance of sex has to create a child, although that should be the goal of every sexual relationship. It is also a bonding experience which helps solidify a relationship, which in and of itself is good for future offspring, having parents who are close mates and will not separate. Also, yes, relationships are not **just** breeding contracts, but are also romantic and fulfilling, but at its base all sexual desire and romance comes from God's/nature's desire for us to continue the gift of life.

There is so much more I could say about "leftist aesthetics" particularly, and how leftist aesthetics represent externally the death cult that is the internal nature of the left, but that is enough for now. I already veered off here and there, as I am known to do.

This is also why pretty much the only conservative intellectual I respect, and who deserves statues in the future Ethno-States is Sir Roger Scruton. A man who advocated for beauty in an ugly world, and that's to be admired, even if he disagreed with us on many issues.

Aesthetics is everything. That which is external, is also internal. You are dealt your genetic hand at birth, but you can also always work to make yourself more physically fit, more attractive, more martially skilled, and more stylish. This is a huge part of what White Nationalism 3.0 is about. I really like Will 2 Rise's impact on our community (<https://t.me/Will2Rise>). If you look like a Greek statue, embody masculinity if you're a man or femininity if you're a woman, then you are more likely to grow our worldview, especially amongst a lost youth like we have today. Eat well, train your body to be strong, train martial arts so you can utilize that strength, train with various tools as well so you can be even more effective as a soldier for our Race and Civilization, our Blood and Soil. In short, be everything Western Civilization in its truest and highest form expects of you.

It cannot be understated how important beauty is to the soul of Western Civilization. The foundation of Western culture is of course Greco-Roman culture, which stressed the ideals of strength, beauty, heroism, power, and inequality. This is why leftism and liberalism are fundamentally incompatible with our race, and with the organic culture we have created which suits our race so well, and why you have seen the decline of our race coincide with the rise of these values. Like a fish out of water, I believe our race cannot survive without staying true to our Greco-Roman cultural and civilizational roots.

One day I will touch more on how Western Civilization and Western Culture grew, because since Greece and Rome were the first Western nations, it is apparent that all of our ancestors were once not Western, but then became Western. Even those of use who are Greek. I for example am of Estonian ancestry. Once upon a time my ancestors were not Western, but they became Western, and I can hold both my pre and post-Western ancestors in esteem.

Below I will post and link a video that explains these concepts very well. The reason I am direct-uploading it as well as linking the original on Youtube is so that in case the channel gets deleted by Youtube, which it probably will since it is ran by one of us, it is still preserved on my channel and is part of my post.

"The object of education is to teach us to love that which is beautiful." – Plato

Did Ukraine Provoke Russia into a War?

Another talking point of the Kremlin, and therefore many Anglosphere "Nationalists", is that Russia needs buffer states from NATO, Russia can't have Ukraine joining NATO because it is too close to Russia, and that Russia is threatened by NATO neighbours.

But as usual, this propaganda is easily disproven when you examine it critically.

Russia has one of the three largest militaries on the planet (on paper, the worst thing Russia did in terms of maintaining its prestige was attack Ukraine and expose itself as militarily a paper tiger, not a US/NATO peer), is the largest country by landmass on the planet, possesses over 5 thousand nuclear warheads, a population of almost 150 million, and is abundant in natural resources.

How does a neighbour joining a defensive alliance threaten Russia?

There is no scenario in which any country launches a nuclear/WMD attack on Russia without Russia launching a nuclear/WMD attack first.

There is no scenario in which any country attacks Russia at a nation-state level without Russia attacking first.

NATO is a defensive alliance, and history proves that wars launched by NATO members, even the big dog America, are unlikely to be joined by the rest of NATO. NATO did not officially participate in either Vietnam or Iraq. Some NATO members voluntarily participated, but they were not obliged to under the NATO charter. Only when a NATO member is **attacked** are other NATO members legally obliged to join the defensive war against the aggressor.

In no way is Russia threatened by small (relative to Russia) neighbours joining a **defensive alliance**, unless Russia has goals to invade these countries, in which case a defensive alliance on the Russian border is indeed a threat - a threat to their imperial ambitions in Europe.

Russia has not been attacked by another nation-state since 1941, over 8 decades ago, and not including WW1 (in which Germany and Russia were both drawn in via their allies Austria-Hungary and Serbia) Russia has only been attacked by another nation-state TWICE in the past 117 years! And Russia has been attacked ZERO times since developing and possessing nuclear weapons! How then can Russia be threatened with a defensive alliance on their borders given the reality of nuclear weapons and a large military, landmass, and population?

Russia could have just sat back, continued to pose as a military superpower, and enjoyed the geopolitical reality of possessing lots of natural resources, population, land, and nuclear warheads. They could have worked towards developing their country so it isn't so much of a shithole, dealt with domestic issues, further developed trade relations etc. Instead they chose to attack a neighbour, again, and place their country in an unwinnable position. Take a look at a list of Russian wars. Only twice in the past 117 years has Russia been attacked by a nation-state, all of their other wars are wars of aggression, conquest, or fighting rebellions within their empire. The list of Russian wars reads like that of an empire. Russia is not a victim of warmongering, Russia is the warmonger.

As an aside, it's funny to hear the same commentators who spent years saying Russia could take on NATO, and that NATO is weak, now say that NATO (not Ukraine - so they say) is defeating Russia without even deploying a single soldier, but by just deploying their weapon systems and vast resources. I guess Russia really wasn't a NATO-peer since NATO can defeat Russia without even deploying a single squad of soldiers.

Furthermore, while it is clear I support NATO over the Russian Federation (Because of the FACT that pro-White organizations can operate more freely under NATO/EU than the RF), I do not support NATO in and of itself. I am not "shilling for NATO" by objectively and factually breaking down history and reality.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sxOO0hCCSk4&ab_channel=Perun

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_wars_involving_Russia

NS Groups in Russia that were banned before 2016:

1. "DPNI" ("Movement against illegal immigration"), founded 2002, banned 2011
2. "Russkie" ("Russians"), founded after "DPNI" in 2011, banned 2015, many arrested
3. "Slavyansky Soyuz" ("Slavic Unity"), founded 1999, banned 2010, many arrested
4. "BORN" ("Combat Organization of Russian Nationalists"), founded 2008, banned 2011, many arrested
5. "BTO" ("Combat terrorist organization"), founded 2003, banned 2006, many arrested
6. "Lincoln-88", founded 2007, banned 2011, many arrested
7. "NOMP" ("People's militia named in honor of Minin and Pozharsky"), founded 2009, banned 2015, many arrested
8. "NSRRP" ("National Socialist Russian Workers' Party", Kazan), founded 1994, banned 1997
9. "NSO" ("National Socialist Society"), founded 2004, banned 2010, many arrested -> later Sergey Korotkikh "Botsman" escaped to Ukraine, became "Azov" member (in 2014)

10. "Obshestvo Belikh - 88" ("Society of Whites - 88"), founded 2008, within two years everybody were arrested and sentenced
11. "Oprichniki" ("Public-political organization "Oprichniy Dvod"", Kazan), founded 1993, closed in 1994 and merged with "NSRRP" (#8 in the list)
12. "Orel's partizans", created by the FSB/FSO in 2009, closed in 2010 because of arrests of everyone (except for FSB-agent who was the founder) - see "Operation Trust" of the 1920s
13. "Partiya Svobodi" ("Party of Freedom"), founded 2000, closed 2009 by merging into the "Severnoe Bratstvo"
14. "Severnoe Bratstvo" ("Northern Brotherhood"), founded 2006, several members were arrested; the movement declares its full obedience towards the Russian Criminal Code; current activity and status - unknown
15. "RONS" ("Russian all-National Unity"), founded 1990, banned 2009/2011, many arrested
16. "Shulz-88", founded 2001, banned 2003, all arrested

* arrested - means were arrested mostly by charges of extremism and terrorism (282 and other articles of the Criminal Code of Russia)

THE ANSWER IS : ALL OF THEM WERE BANNED IN RUSSIA BEFORE 2016

Groups banned after 2016 (why 2016 specifically, Friedrich von Manstein??)

1. "Артподготовка" ("Artillery preparation"), founded 2013, banned 2017
2. "Ассоциация народного сопротивления" ("Association of the people's resistance"), founded 2016, still exists but under heavy pressure, many activists were arrested, property seized by police and FSB, basically they are underground if still exist at all
3. "Praviy Blok" ("The Right Bloc" political movement- Nationalist, but not NS, and not a group), founded 2018, under heavy pressure, many arrested

Other groups:

1. "ENO" ("Ethnic National Unity"), founded 2018, cells exist in Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, currently under investigation by the FSB of Russia (yet not banned in Russia officially), under investigation by the SBU of Ukraine, "ENO is a strange, looks like a shady organization to me, according to what I see.

THERE IS NO ACTIVE GENUINE NATIONAL-SOCIALIST GROUPS IN FUCKING RUSSIA.

Most NS groups were banned as "extremist groups" before 2011. Some - shortly after. Most members received lengthy jail sentences, plenty were killed, or "died" under strange circumstances.

Russia is a BOLSHEVIK country, period.

I hope it will be helpful information.

NATO is the legitimate heir of the Wehrmacht.

Adolf Heusinger, chief of the Operationsabteilung from 1940-1944.

Hitler's chief of staff and helped plan the invasions of Poland, Norway, Denmark, and France. He was promoted to colonel on August 1, 1940 and became chief of the Operationsabteilung in October 1940, making him number three in the Army planning hierarchy.

In 1961, Heusinger was made the Chairman of the NATO Military Committee (essentially he was NATO's chief of staff). He served in that capacity until 1964.

General Hans Speidel, Erwin Rommel's chief of staff during WWII.

After the war he served in the Western German army and became the Supreme Commander of NATO's ground forces in Central Europe from 1957-1963.

Johannes Steinhoff, Luftwaffe fighter pilot during WWII and recipient of the Knights Cross of the Iron cross (NS Germany's highest military award), was Chairman of the NATO Military Committee 1971–1974 (among other NATO positions beforehand).

Johann von Kielmansegg, General Staff officer to the High Command of the Wehrmacht 1942-1944, was NATO's Commander in Chief of Allied Forces Central Europe 1967-1968.

Ernst Ferber, a Major in the Wehrmacht and group leader of the organizational department of the Supreme Command of the Army (Wehrmacht) from 1943-1945 and recipient of the Iron Cross 1st Class, was NATO's Commander in Chief of Allied Forces Central Europe from 1973-1975.

Karl Schnell, battery chief in the Western campaign in 1940/later First General Staff Officer of the LXXVI Panzer Corps in 1944 and recipient of the Iron Cross 2nd Class, was NATO's Commander in Chief of Allied Forces Central Europe from 1975-1977.

Franz Joseph Schulze, a Lieutenant in the reserve and Chief of the 3rd Battery of the Flak Storm Regiment 241 and recipient of the Knight's Cross of the Iron Cross in 1944, was NATO's Commander in Chief of Allied Forces Central Europe from 1977-1979.

Ferdinand von Senger und Etterlin; Lieutenant of 24th Panzer Division in the German 6th Army, participant in the Battle of Stalingrad, adjutant to Army High Command, and recipient of the German Cross in gold, was NATO's Commander in Chief of Allied Forces Central Europe 1979-1983.

You're better off dealing with Russia!

"If Estonia/Eastern Europe continues with NATO membership, their demographics will be ruined... one day! You're better off dealing with Russia!"

This is an argument I would receive from pro-Kremlin "Nationalists" all the time. Here is why this argument is, as usual, easily disproven when examined. I will use Estonia as an example, since I am Estonian and this argument would be directed towards me, but the same is true for most if not all Eastern European countries.

First and foremost, these people would always get so flummoxed when I would say "Estonia has been in NATO/EU for 18 years, Poland has been in NATO for 23 years, and the general demographic makeup of the nation have not changed." Their usual response would be the one above:

"You will be wrong... one day! Just you wait and see! It happened to America, therefore it will happen to Estonia and Poland!"

Here is why that argument is completely wrong, as I would tell them, and as I will now share with you:

Russia has done more harm to Estonian demographics in ONE MONTH than NATO membership has done in EIGHTEEN YEARS.

In June 1941, the Soviet Union deported tens of thousands of Balts from their homelands to gulags across the USSR. Over 50% of these people were women, and children under 16 years of age. There is nothing you can do to harm a nation's demographics more than getting rid of their women and children. A man's contribution to reproduction can be measured in minutes, a woman's is 9 months, more like a year. This creates a bottleneck when a population loses too many women.

In Estonia a total of roughly 21k persons were deported (out of a total 1940 population of roughly 1.1 million), and in June 1941 alone over 10k were deported, with 7k of them being women and children. Remember, this is just the number of deportations, it does not include all the murders committed by the NKVD, Red Army etc.

As was the norm, when the local Eastern Europeans were deported, they were replaced with Russians. In this case it took a few years since the White Race's greatest hope to date, Adolf Hitler and German National Socialism, were in the process of attempting to eradicate jewish-asiatic-bolshevism.

This is also why Striker's "the soviet union was 100% jewish stop blaming Russians for it" shtick rings so hollow in the ears of Eastern Europeans. Yes, the USSR was primarily a jewish creation. However, when Eastern Europeans had their neighbours deported to gulags, they were not replaced with jews, they were replaced with hammer and sickle waving Russians who were sent there specifically because they (ethnic Russians) were deemed more loyal to the Soviet project than the Europeans they were replacing.

To this day, Estonia has to deal with the Russian population that Russia forced into Estonia during the occupation. Before the USSR, Estonia was virtually 100% Estonian, with maybe a few handfuls of Finns, Latvians, and Germans here and there. Also a very, very small amount of jews. In 1941, Estonia was the only country to be declared "Judenfrei" (jewish-free) by Germany. Russia however not only moved in tens of thousands of Russians, but also brought back a jewish community to Estonia.

The worst thing that could happen to Estonia, demographically speaking, is being conquered by the asiatic nation of Russia, and the door being opened to tens of millions of asiatic-muslims and Russians to move in and further dilute Estonian demographics. There is zero question that if Russia were to conquer Estonia, they would once again begin Russifying it. There are currently about 1 million Estonians in Estonia (Roughly 30% of Estonia are ethnic Russians), being forced to share a national project with 150 million persons, with millions being non-European and NONE being Estonian, would utterly ruin Estonian demographics and perhaps destroy the Estonian people and identity.

The best thing for Estonia's demographics, as history has shown, is entering military alliances to keep Russia at bay.

As proud as Estonians are that we were the first Eastern European nation to sign a victorious peace treaty with the USSR, securing all of our national territory into the new nation-state of Estonia, the USSR was just as mad about it, which is one of the reasons that during Soviet occupation even the children of Estonian veterans were targeted. In particular, the NKVD Destruction Battalions inflicted their patented red terror on Estonia.

Something Anglosphere "Nationalists" brush over is that Vladimir Putin's father served in the NKVD Destruction Battalions, for which you had to pass a much more stringent ideological background check than just being a common soldier in the Red Army. The NKVD were communist death squads, and to join their ranks they had to be sure that you were willing to murder European children in the name of communism.

Yes, the source I am linking is Wikipedia, since it's the easiest source to link in English. If you want a much more thorough and documented history of this, I encourage you to visit the Vabamu Museum of Occupations and Freedom in Tallinn, Estonia.

"Thousands of people including a large proportion of women and children were killed, while dozens of villages, schools and public buildings were burned to the ground. A school boy, Tullio Lindsaa, had all bones in his hands broken then was bayoneted for hoisting the flag of Estonia. Mauricius Parts, son of the Estonian War of Independence veteran Karl Parts, was doused in acid. In August 1941, all residents of the village of Viru-Kabala were killed including a two-year-old child and a six-day-old infant. A partisan war broke out in response to the atrocities of the destruction battalions, with tens of thousands of men forming the Forest Brothers to protect the local population from these battalions. Occasionally, the battalions burned people alive.[22] The destruction battalions murdered 1,850 people in Estonia. Almost all of them were partisans or unarmed civilians.[23]

The Kautla massacre is another example of the destruction battalions' actions, during which twenty civilians were murdered and tens of farms destroyed. Many of those killed had also been tortured. The low toll of human deaths in comparison with the number of burned farms is due to the Erna long-range reconnaissance group breaking the Red Army blockade on the area, allowing many civilians to escape.[24][25]"

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Destruction_battalions#Estonia

"If You Fight Them, You Lose

(Recently a Russian follower of the channel messaged me asking me to stop using the term "pro-Russian" to refer to those who are "pro-Kremlin", since the Russian volunteers in Ukraine are also pro-Russian and it is unfair to use the same term for those who are pro-Kremlin as it implies that the Kremlin is acting on behalf of the best interests of (White) Russians. I think this makes sense and I will try my best to do so going forward, old habits die hard though.)

"Nationalists" (agents?) in the West regularly blunder into a massive logical flaw when they attempt to argue in favour of the Russian invasion of Ukraine, which exposes their true intentions in an extremely obvious way, and they are so committed to their mission of being the paid or unpaid voice of the Kremlin that they don't even realize it. When they make this logical flaw, which they will, be sure to jump on it and point it out to the surrounding audience, and this is how you do it.

They will tell you that the Russian invasion of Ukraine is a positive for the White Race because it is an attack on NATO (it isn't) and that it will reduce NATO power/influence in Europe. As I covered in a previous post (<https://t.me/JamesArants/32>) this is entirely untrue and all the Russian invasion of Ukraine did in regards to NATO is hand it two very important new members and increase the NATO military budget, lining the pockets of NATO arms dealers.

When you point this out to them they will almost always reply "This is if you fight them, you lose logic. They (Russia) could not just sit back and let Ukraine join NATO, they had to do something."

And thus, they expose themselves. This is how you respond:

"You (pro-Kremlin mouthpiece) just told me that the reason that I as a White Nationalist should support Russia (The Kremlin) is because they are curtailing/fighting the influence of NATO. When I pointed out that this is an invalid reason for supporting Russia, since Russia is in fact furthering NATO influence in Europe, you suddenly pivoted from a pro-White Nationalist argument to simply a pro-Kremlin argument. Yes, I agree, RUSSIA was in a very tricky situation in regards to NATO expansion in Europe. Do they sit back and allow Ukraine to join NATO, or do they invade and cause Finland and Sweden to join NATO?

However, that is a problem for RUSSIA, not for me as a White Nationalist. You said I should support the Russian invasion of Ukraine because it harms NATO which benefits the White Race. That argument, that premise, was challenged and exposed as incorrect, and you instantly transitioned from an attempted pro-White argument to a pro-Kremlin argument. It seems that your desired outcome is to convince people to support the Kremlin, and you are working backwards to achieve that end with your intended audience. Have you even thought your own arguments through?"

And just like that, their primary argument in support of the Russian invasion of Ukraine is proved false, and their true motives are laid bare for everyone to see. This very argument has played out twice for me in Joel's Chat (from which I am now banned), once against Eric Striker, and once against Dharma King. When you point this out, they will simply move on to another point. You will never convince people like that to change their position. As you can tell from their arguments they are too bought in on the superficial aspects of this conflict and do not examine the issue critically. They just want to oppose the current thing, and think the best thing for the White Race is taking the opposite stance of CNN. However, for those who are neutral and are observing the argument,

for those who can critically think about the arguments both sides are making, this will make them lean closer to our side of the fence. The pro-European, pro-White side of the fence that has logical arguments motivated purely from a position of what is best for the White Race.

On Finland strengthening their borders, and Putin and Lukashenko flooding Europe with non-Whites to save the White race

There are recent reports about Finland strengthening their borders with Russia not just militarily, but with regard to illegal entry of the country. Finland is taking this precaution as they fear Russia will employ the same tactic that Belarus and Russia employed against Poland on and off again over the past couple of years. Let's very briefly go over this.

You may remember the videos from the past 18 months or so of Polish border guards defending the Polish-Belarusian border from non-Whites attempting to force their way into the country. Naturally, the vast majority of the Dissident Right/White Nationalists/National Socialists cheered on these White border guards defending their 99% White country from non-White invaders. While the non-Whites were attempting to break into Poland, Belarusian border guards were shining lasers and high-powered flashlights in the eyes of Polish border guards, cutting holes in Polish border fences, feeding the non-Whites and granting them shelter in which to recover after being forced back from the Polish border.

However, a small section of the aforementioned communities actually began using this as a way to attack Poland. Specifically, Mike from TRS/NJP used his platform on The Daily Shoah (I think it was from an episode in November/December 2021) to say that the only reason these non-Whites were in Belarus in the first place is that Poland had forced them out of their country, where they arrived through the EU, and all poor innocent Belarus was trying to do was simply return them from whence they came.

However, this is factually incorrect. Belarus had flown these non-Whites in for the specific reason of sending them into Europe. After all the muslim rape gangs, crime, and general destruction non-White hordes have brought to Europe since 2015/16 (and before), Lukashenko decided to try and add to it. Pro-Kremlin voices try to say that the Russian Federation is fighting for the White race, that Russia and Belarus are the last non-ZOG White stronghold in the world, that Putin and Lukashenko are actively fighting against ZOG.

Are these the actions of pro-White leaders? Would you flood your racial kinsmen with non-Whites in order to serve a political agenda if you were in charge of a White state?

Breitbart is not a trusted news source, but this is not an opinion piece, this is just collecting information posted online by the Polish government and Polish border guards and putting it in one place.

<https://www.breitbart.com/europe/2021/11/13/belarusian-soldiers-damaging-polands-anti-migrant-fence-attacking-border-guards-with-lasers-tear-gas-strobes/>

>Since 2020, the Belarusian authorities have canceled or simplified visa requirements for 76 countries. Among these are several affected by serious conflicts, such as Syria, Libya, Iraq and Afghanistan, so many people are trying to get out of there.

Travel agencies from Syria, Iraq and Turkey have started selling trips to Belarus offering housing and employment in a European Union country.

Dozens of messages of this type also arrive via WhatsApp, which is the most used messaging service in the region.

Such a trip costs between \$ 10,000 and \$ 20,000, depending on conditions. The German portal Deutsche Welle pointed out in an investigation that Belarusian consulates even delegated the right to put visas from their country in passports to these travel agencies.

Along with this, Belarus significantly increased the number of flights from Middle Eastern countries. Several countries in Europe denounce that even the Belarusian authorities are behind these offers promoted by travel agencies.

Many of the flights are operated by Belavia, Turkish Airlines and Qatar Airlines, and another low-cost airline known as Fly Dubai.

Until recently, the refugee visa, if the passenger needed it, was granted at the airport itself when boarding.

At the departure gates of flights, it is impossible to identify passengers as migrants or refugees. They have money, all their documents are in order, their clothes are very similar, so for the most part there is no reason to prevent someone from boarding the flight.

What happens next is unclear. The videos that are known so far show that these passengers are transported, in a very organized way, from the Minsk airport to the border with Poland and Lithuania.

But until now it has been impossible to determine who organizes this logistics.

<https://24newsrecorder.com/world/55624>



why Ukraine defeating Russia is the best outcome for our race

Throughout my channel I have made many extremely detailed and logical posts about why Ukraine defeating Russia is the best outcome for our race. For those new to the channel or unfamiliar with my arguments, I pinned the first message in my channel and then immediately delved into my arguments. I don't post memes or useless thoughts of mine, that's why my channel is likely less active than many other channels you follow. I want my posts to be worthy of your time and of being presented to the public.

However one aspect I have not touched on to the extent it merits is the moral argument, the loyal argument, to support Ukraine over Russia.

During WW2 the Germanic-led crusade to free our race and lift it to its greatest heights ever seen was defeated primarily by the Russian people. Yes, the USSR was a jewish creation, but there were many Russians in leadership positions as well.

But it was Russian brawn, and Russian willingness to be part of the Soviet project which destroyed our greatest hope to date.

The White Russians lost the civil war because, among other reasons, the Reds had more support amongst the Russian people. Contrast that with the Baltics for example, where yes there were local communists, but they

lacked the support of the Estonian, Latvian, and Lithuanian people, and the vast majority defected to their Nationalist governments eventually anyway, such as the elite Red Latvian Rifleman for example.

The pro-German Russian saboteur groups who helped open Barbarossa by attacking logistics near the frontline had to sneak in the shadows to avoid being caught and betrayed by their Russian kin.

When Europeans were murdered, deported, and replaced in their ancestral homelands, Russians were more than willing to move into the now vacant houses and apartments and Russify the local country as much as possible on behalf of the USSR, who saw Russification and loyalty to the Soviet project as one and the same.

When the USSR was close to death, the Russian people did not try to pile on, they fought to preserve it. ("They were defending their homeland!" - this is an argument pro-Kremlin "Nationalists" in the West will make, but if they do then just reply: "Ukrainians are also defending their homeland, does that mean you support them?")

The Russian Liberation Army had to recruit basically at gunpoint for the vast majority of their soldiers. Starvation and the promise of food was more of a factor than Russian liberation when it came to the majority of those who joined.

The Russian NS, the ONLY good Russians, are persecuted by their entire society to a degree that no National Socialist, not even in Germany or Austria, can relate to, because the Russian people are extremely anti-fascist in the original, true meaning of the phrase. In Germany the state will imprison you, fine you, harass you. In Russia the state will torture you to death. The Russian people are more anti-racist and anti-Fascist than any other Western people. Don't believe me? Let's just ask Kremlin funded Russian state media.

<https://www.rt.com/op-ed/416804-anti-semitic-russian-insider/>

<https://www.rt.com/russia/534258-lavrov-ukraine-russophobia-rehabilitation-nazis/>

<https://www.rt.com/russia/551003-russia-plans-anti-fascist-congress/>

The RUSSIAN people murdered and raped their way across Europe in their bloodthirsty campaign to destroy National Socialism.

Men, women, and children were shot, toddlers were passed around RUSSIAN battalions like cigarettes so they could be raped to death in front of their parents. With satanic pleasure the forefathers of the RUSSIAN AND ASIATIC soldiers currently invading Ukraine gleefully bathed in the blood of our race as they spread carnage, rape, murder, destruction, and evil like never before seen in Europe.

And these same soldiers hold the Russian generation that did this in the highest of esteem, and consider them the greatest heroes of Russia to date.

And seek to emulate them.

Supporting this invasion is fucking atrocious. This is not the Paganism vs Christianity debate. Ukraine vs Russia separates the true NS, the ones whose honour is loyalty, from the fraudulent NS, whose honour is contrarianism.

Have some moral confidence in your worldview, and our task of freeing our race

I am aware of the various myths and lies associated with the holocaust. Gas chambers, bars of soap, whatever.

However, I see far too many people deny the killings of jews during the second world war to the point where it becomes clear that they are desperately attempting to convince whoever they are talking to, or perhaps also themselves, that National Socialists would never kill jews because that's evil, and I'm not evil.

Liberals have no problem justifying Dresden, the Rape of Berlin, the murders of the French Revolution, or any other atrocity associated with their worldview. They consider it to have been an act associated with the greater good, therefore it is irrelevant to the grand scheme of things, or alternatively they blame the victims.

In this way, liberals have more moral confidence in their worldview than a lot of White Nationalists/National Socialists. They will tell you to your face that the children who were burnt to death at Dresden was for the greater good. A lot of WN/NS however will run from any mention of National Socialists shooting prisoners of war in Russia, shooting jews, hanging villagers as a warning to partisans etc.

I understand that to be a liberal is far easier than being a National Socialist, however if you had that thought before I mentioned it then purge that weakness from your character. This post was not about what was easier, it was about having moral confidence in your worldview.

Well guess what, a lot of the aspects of the holocaust are exaggerated or plainly made up, but some aren't.

In Lithuania for example during the Kaunas Pogrom, a particularly brutal and entirely justified event took place.

On June 27th, 1941, during the Kaunas Pogrom, a large group of jewish men (likely rightfully accused of being NKVD assets, though perhaps there were "innocents" in the line as well) were lined up in front of the garage of the NKVD Kaunas Section building.

Then, one unknown Lithuanian patriot took a steel bar and cracked their skulls open one after the other, while a crowd of German soldiers and Lithuanian men, women, and children stood by and cheered.

Once he was finished distributing justice he jumped on the bodies as the blood gathered in the streets, with bits of skull fragments and brain matter on his hands, and played the Lithuanian national anthem on an accordion. This is not a jewish rumour like bars of soap, there are pictures and dozens of witnesses to this event.

That man is a hero, a true Baltic patriot, and I claim the legacy and responsibility of that deed as much as I do Hitler putting millions of Germans back to work, and giving them self-esteem and honour. I claim the "atrocities"

committed by my worldview as much as the social programs. The NKVD raped and murdered the Baltic folk. I personally have members of my family, CHILDREN, who were murdered by those fucking Russian dogs on behalf of their jewish masters. All NKVD collaborators, executioners, enablers, and masters deserved such a fate. I wish they all could have gotten it instead of many dying peacefully in their beds after raping and murdering their way across Europe.

I have complete moral confidence in National Socialism, in the struggle for my people, so these actions do not make me question my worldview or compel me to deny them at all.



Civilization is required.

The inherent danger, and contradiction, of civilization is this:

Civilization is required for the elevation of the gift of life, but civilization can also, as we are seeing today, degrade the gift of life.

Natural Law maintained its own quality control via a high birth rate, and a high death rate. Many were born, but only the strongest, most intelligent, most beautiful (the most attractive women securing the highest quality men, who were able to reproduce, survive, and thrive together while the ugly and weak perished), most courageous were able to continue the gift into the next generation.

These superior couples were able to form and organize tribes which slowly, generation over generation, grew into Western Civilization and civilization in general.

Civilization is required to best foster the gift of life. Michelangelo could not have devoted his life to beautiful art if not for a civilization around him providing him security and sustenance. Clausewitz could not have written "On War" if he was the chieftain of a tribe with clubs instead of a general of a sophisticated military from a

sophisticated society. The legacy of Adolf Hitler would have been here today and gone tomorrow if not for the ability of a sophisticated civilization to be able to learn about and be inspired by a man who was born 133 years ago. As I said in my above post, the most religious thing someone can do is to value and honour the gift of life by making every generation a little bit stronger, smarter, more beautiful, more courageous, in a word, more capable. Civilization is what best provides the framework within which the gift of life can be fostered and elevated.

However, civilization can also easily reach a point where our technological advancements are doing far more harm to the gift of life than good. Medical technology when combined with a stable society that ensures your survival no matter your intelligence, strength, beauty, means that the ones who survive and procreate are no longer the best of us, it's any of us. Nature's quality control has gone out the window, and we have outsmarted ourselves by creating a society that is sophisticated enough to allow survival by means other than that which gave birth to this civilization in the first place, while also not even noticing it or seeking to correct and balance it.

That last part in bold is the important part. Because that is the question.

How do we maintain a civilization that elevates the gift of life through the betterment of each generation, while also creating high culture, while also not allowing the gift to succumb to the inherent danger of civilization (survival being practically guaranteed via the stability of society)?

As always, I am not some genius who can understand the world as perfectly as any human being can, I am not Adolf Hitler or William Pierce, but here is the best answer that I can give.

1. All members of our civilization must be made to understand this inherent danger of civilization. These truths must be taught, beginning in elementary school, and they must become common knowledge throughout society.
2. Our civilization must fight against this inherent danger by constantly challenging the youth physically, academically, culturally, emotionally, aesthetically, and in all other ways in order to make them EARN the right to civilization, the right to a nearly ensured existence, which our ancestors who struggled to create this civilization did not have. If done properly, this rite of civilization (yes, rite, not right) will permeate society from children playing games all the way up to the head of state.

I don't think a civilization can survive if it is built on ultra-Darwinism. We can't create a stable framework within which the most intelligent can devote their lives to study in a certain field of academia, the most physically gifted can be hyper-focused on training their body to as close to perfection in their sport as possible, the most artistically gifted can obsess over their project 24/7, if everybody is constantly looking over their shoulder because it's legal for someone to come by and kill them because something something survival of the fittest, as some people who share our worldview broadly or specifically believe.

I don't think this last line is contradictory because yes, to a degree that is how our ancestors who built civilization were able to do so. High birth rate, high death rate. But again, Michelangelo, Clausewitz, Hitler etc. could never have been possible without a sophisticated civilization.

Also, do not get me wrong, there is an extreme beauty to the "primitivism" of the lives our ancestors lived, especially when compared to the disgusting wretch of a formerly inspiring civilization that Western Civilization is in 2022. I entirely understand why the kind of raw and natural existence of our ancestors, who I clearly admire, is appealing, especially when contrasted with what life is like in 2022, but I think a lot of the anarcho-primitive types kind of lose sight of the big picture, which I hope I have outlined above at least a bit.

The reason my mind always seems to drift to this, is that I feel like so many people, even those who either call themselves National Socialists or who truly are National Socialists, think that all we need to do is smash international jewry, and at the very least purge it from our civilization if not the world, have a government that is vaguely or specifically pro-White, and then we all live happily ever after.

No. Wrong. The struggle to balance civilization is an entire struggle in and of itself. Those who have studied history, human nature, politics enough understand the cyclical nature of civilization, which is best and rudimentarily expressed by the meme "Hard times create strong men, strong men create good times, good times create weak men, weak men create hard times."

The best post-industrial Western Civilization society is clearly NS Germany, but even that society was not guaranteed to last, it would very likely have collapsed and reformed in some way. Not in 100 years, but almost certainly in 10'000 years.

So, to reiterate, the burning question of civilization is:

How do we maintain a civilization that elevates the gift of life through the betterment of each generation, while also creating high culture, while also not allowing the gift to succumb to the inherent danger of civilization (survival being practically guaranteed via the stability of society)?

How can we break, or at the least mitigate the damage of, the cyclical nature of civilization?

And the best answer I can provide is:

1. All members of our civilization must be made to understand this inherent danger of civilization. These truths must be taught, beginning in elementary school, and they must become common knowledge throughout society.
2. Our civilization must fight against this inherent danger by constantly challenging the youth physically, academically, culturally, emotionally, aesthetically, and in all other ways in order to make them EARN the right to civilization, the right to a nearly ensured existence, which our ancestors who struggled to create this civilization did not have. If done properly, this rite of civilization (yes, rite, not right) will permeate society from children playing games all the way up to the head of state.

As always, I want this to be a start for you to think about these kinds of questions, not an end. Those of you who have either read all my posts or have been long time followers will likely have noticed that something I really hate are what I call "keyboard nationalists". People who think they are contributing to the struggle by being in

chatgroups, making memes, etc. I think there is a very, very small sliver of people who have devoted themselves to the intellectual questions of National Socialism/Nationalism who can indeed make a contribution to the struggle by helping to form a coherent worldview and critique history and systems of governance so that when our day comes, we are as prepared as we can be for the mammoth task of organizing a state, however even that is rendered useless if people think they are helping the struggle by just listening to these voices, talking online, and making memes. No, if you want the work of these people who you follow around online to be worth anything then you need to do your part to bring our worldview to power, which can never be done by discussing books and history online.

So let this begin your personal journey with these questions, and how you impact the real world with your answers. The future is determined by those who act, not those who just talk and listen.

I think the video I am going to post below is an interesting way to finish this series of posts of mine, because it is a shoutout to some of my barbarian bros some of whose ideas I disagree with, and some I absolutely agree with.

I obviously disagree with civilization being entirely bad, but I do agree with there being a beauty to our so called "primitive" ancestors, and depending on how the word "civilized" is use, I can accept or reject it.

If "civilized" is used as a synonym for "cultured", I can accept it, because in my opinion being cultured means, among other things, understanding Natural Law and seeking to live within it. Fighting for your race, honouring the gift of life.

If "civilized" however means becoming weak, decadent, and living a meaningless servile existence in a society that ensures your existence and requires nothing from you in return, then I reject it.

I also agree that agriculture and agricultural lifestyle produces weaker physical specimens than hunter-gatherer societies (see the work of James LaFond also for more on this and other civilizational related topics).

Free Konstantin "Fox" Nikitenko!

The Kremlin is putting out doctored footage in an attempt to justify a death sentence handed down to Azov fighter Konstantin "Fox" Nikitenko, a BTR-4 Ace who fought heroically in the Siege of Mariupol.

I have not looked to see if this Kremlin propaganda has made its way to the usual "Nationalist" circles, but I assume it has, and frankly I do not even wish to verify that since it will just aggravate me. It's Kremlin propaganda so it's safe the assume the usual suspects will be parroting it - Eric Striker, TRS, Zoltaneous etc.

Here is the Russian claim:

Konstantin "Fox" Nikitenko is on video firing his BTR-4's 30mm auto-cannon at civilians in Mariupol because they were pro-Russian. Therefore he has been sentenced to death.

Here is the truth. I can give my own write up on it, but a write up by Funker530, a platform ran by Canadian and American veterans that shares military and police combat footage, more than suffices:

Footage used during the trial of BTR-4 Ace, Konstantin "Fox" Nikitenko from the Azov Battalion, clearly shows the BTR targeting Russian troops in Mariupol. He is being sentenced to death for murdering Ukrainian civilians.

According to totally legitimate Russian news organizations, "The first detainee is Konstantin Nikitenko who has codename Fox. He drove an armored personnel carrier and shot at people on the streets in Mariupol. He murdered civilians for fun as he considered them pro-Russians and shared videos online." During the trial however, the bitrate and resolution of the video was drastically reduced when compared to the original video released by the Azov Battalion online. This was done to make the targets in the footage appear to be civilians, as it made it harder to tell that the troops were uniformed Russian Soldiers.

In the higher quality footage, which we have published above (I will publish below), you can clearly see the armed Russian troops moving as a unit towards the BTR. When the BTR opens fire, several of the troops begin to retreat. Even with the camera shaking from the recoil of the BTR's auto-cannon, you can clearly see that the targets that are retreating are wearing military equipment, which automatically makes them a legitimate military target when viewed through the lens of the troops operating the BTR at the time. Also worth noting, Nikitenko can be heard asking if the people in front of him are friendly forces before he is given the command to fire by the BTR commander.

Nikitenko was sentenced to death in a trial held by the DPR on July 9th 2022 based on out of context footage that was doctored by the prosecution to make the footage look drastically different than the original recording."

The fact that Nikitenko's immediate reaction upon seeing the group of armed men moving as a unit was to ask his Commanding Officer if those were friendly FORCES is important. They were immediately identified as military forces, and naturally fired upon when the CO determined they were Russian soldiers. The video shall be posted below.

Free Konstantin "Fox" Nikitenko!

Source: <https://funker530.com/video/nsfw-btr-4-ace-konstantin-fox-nikitenko-sentenced-to-death-over-this-video/>

ON THE PROSPECT OF AN INTERMARIUM ALLIANCE, A STRATEGY TO BRING IT INTO REALITY, AND ITS SEAT OF POWER

As I mentioned before, while it is an undeniable truth that NATO and even the EU (much worse than NATO) is preferable to the Russian Federation, due to the incontestable fact that pro-White organizations can operate more freely in the former than in the latter, my ideal scenario is Eastern Europe breaking away from NATO and

forming their own military alliance organization with it's headquarters in Warsaw consisting of the following countries, in no particular order:

Finland, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Ukraine, Czechia, Hungary, Slovakia, Croatia, Romania, Bulgaria, Slovenia, Romania, Moldova, North Macedonia. Maybe one or two others.

I know this idea is currently a longshot, the Russian invasion of a non-NATO country has given NATO more credibility in the eyes of Eastern Europe than ever before. However it is still something that should be strived towards. Poland is a true power, on the brink of being a Great Power, maybe not internationally, but certainly regionally. Poland and Czechia already have not insignificant arms industries, Romania has oil. Azerbaijan and Norway are also two countries in close proximity to this Intermarium Alliance which could prove to be significant strategic allies, as Azerbaijan is rich in natural gas and Norway is rich in oil.

I often hear this idea of an Intermarium Alliance being expanded to several other countries. The Nordic countries, UK etc. However, I do not think that should be strived for at this moment. What all the countries I listed above have in common, which is what's needed for truly motivated military alliances, is this:

1. Skin in the game

2. Liberalism is not the all powerful force in these countries that it is in the Western-Western world.

Skin the game because all of these countries have felt the boot of Russian imperialism before and are motivated to fight against it, the vast majority of these countries are in such close proximity to Russia that their national security revolves around keeping the Russian Federation at bay.

Liberalism is not as strong in these countries as in Sweden, UK etc. While I think the alliance should primarily be military focused, and allow each country to chart their own domestic course, it is undeniable that these countries also share a sort of bond in the sense of wishing to preserve their extremely varied local cultures and peoples, and do not wish to end up like the Russian Federation or France: A mixed race identity-less hodgepodge of dozens of ethnic groups and cultures (I am not saying White French are mixed race, I am saying your country is, and Russia is in fact the most extreme example of this, contrary to what Anglosphere Russian shills say or think).

An alliance between these countries would be an indescribable beacon of hope to the entire White world, from Europe to Australia to North and South America (South America is not a majority White continent of course, but there are millions of Whites in South America all the same so it should not be forgotten) to South Afrika.

This alliance would preserve a 98% White populace of over 150 million against the rot from the west and east.

It would galvanize the entire White Nationalist population of the world, the racial implications worldwide are hard to calculate. I cannot overstate how momentous such a thing would be. Such an alliance, even if it is solely militarily focused, has implicit racial and cultural implications that nobody in the world, White or non-White,

would fail to recognize. The paradigm of the entire world would be changed, White Power would once again become a reality for the world to deal with for the first time since the 1940's.

So, what is the best strategy for turning this dream into reality? First of all, let's recognize how difficult a task this is.

NATO's legitimacy in Eastern Europe is higher than ever, and it is obviously an inherent truth that those who are in power are not revolutionary, and this is a revolutionary ideal. Those who wish to bring this into reality must start small, and be prepared to work for years to make it a reality. I believe this is the best path forward, for now.

Like I said, those who are in power are not revolutionaries, that is obvious. Therefore, you cannot rely on your governments and mainstream (whatever that means within the context of your nation) politicians to make this a reality. It must begin as a grassroots movement.

The first step is to find Nationalists within your country who support this project. Maybe you can get 3 people, including yourself, together, maybe you can get 30'000. Doesn't matter, begin with a core of committed nationalists within your country who will work to bring this dream to reality.

From there, there are two simultaneous steps that must be taken, which should then form into a third step. Steps 1 and 2 are simultaneous, step 3 should be taken after steps 1 and 2 bare some fruit.

1. Spread this idea within your country. Activism, political agitation, whatever it takes to spread the message of an Intermarium Alliance within the borders of your country.

2. Identify and make contact with the Nationalists within the country (That is eligible for Intermarium) that shares a combined identity (ethnic, cultural, religious etc.) with yours the most, and seek to promote cross-border unions with that country on a local, grassroots level.

3. Merge these ethnic, cultural, linguistic, historical grassroots unions into one Intermarium Alliance Advocacy (exact name up to those who actually decide to get boots on the ground and work for this ideal) congress/organization that further works to promote the idea of an Intermarium Alliance, but this time with actual backing behind it.

These unions do not have to be political, as I said we are not in a position of state power so we need to think and act like it.

Finnish and Estonian Nationalists should seek to create a Finnic Cultural Festival, one year in Helsinki, the next in Tallinn, the next in Helsinki etc. that promotes Finnic language, culture, identity, and history.

Estonian, Latvian, and Lithuanian Nationalist should form closer ties, and seek to promote the message of an Intermarium Alliance within the Baltic Assembly.

Lithuanian and Polish Nationalists should form closer ties based on their shared Catholic identity.

Czech, Hungarian, Polish, and Slovakian Nationalists should form closer ties based on the shared membership of their nations in the Visegrád Group.

You get the idea. Start small, with localized grassroots efforts, and slowly build on that. Use these several localized ethnic-cultural-religious-historical unions as a platform to form an Intermarium Congress, NOT relying on government officials (unless they wish to interact with it in which case great), but instead made up of grassroots Nationalists who do not draw a salary, but who participate out of noble idealism.

It may be that many of these unions and others like it already exist, in which case you should either join to influence them in the Intermarium direction, or create competing ones if they do not share this goal. A Finnic cultural festival whose only goal is Finnic identity is nice, but it's not the same as these unions being formed and led by pro-Intermarium Nationalists. These unions must be operated by Intermarium Nationalists with the specific end goal of bringing an Intermarium Alliance into reality.

Do not rely on your governments. Do not rely on anybody other than those who truly believe in an Intermarium Future.

I would also like to stress that this project should not seek to destroy national identities, but protect them, by ensconcing them in a military-political union where the interests of the native people and culture is determined by the countries themselves, and no outside power. Both under the Russian Federation or NATO/EU the identity of these nations would be in danger, let an Intermarium Alliance instead protect these peoples by sharing foreign policy and collective security, and allowing each individual nation to chart their own domestic course.

I want to stress again the importance of thinking long term and acting short term, and not letting the lack of immediate achievement of these goals demoralize you and cause you to stop working on this project. Too many people in our community suffer from grandiose visions without the proper thought being given to the immediate next step, something they could actually accomplish. They get a few people united in one type of project or another, and next they are discussing in internet chatrooms what the trade policy of a European Empire would be with China and India, while not actually doing anything concrete to work towards a specific goal.

The best revolutionary leaders and movements always balanced radicalism and pragmatism.

The Intermarium is a radical idea, but it must be enacted pragmatically. This is a rough sketch of what I think is the best way forward right now. Start small, focus your efforts on the immediate next step while keeping your mind on the big picture, and making sure each small step is building in the right direction for the achievement of your goals. Understand this is a years-long struggle. If the Intermarium Alliance came into reality 10 years from now, I would consider that to have been incredibly fast. Strap in for the long haul.

As I said before I think this alliance, when it comes into reality, which it WILL despite the tremendous difficulty of it if we have the WILL to truly struggle and work for it, should be based in Poland, as Poland would be the most powerful member of this alliance (with Ukraine and Finland not too far behind).

Let the future of the White Race, at least in Eastern Europe, be determined by an alliance of White men and women centered in Warsaw, not zionists in Washington or mixed-race antifa in Moscow.

NAZBOLS EXPOSED!

The post I just forwarded from Nazbols exposed reminds me of another post of mine that I'd like to expand on a bit.

For years the TRS network would call communists "liberals" who "aren't real communists". Who did they constantly bring up as an example of a "real communist", especially after he had some debates with TRS hosts? Caleb Maupin. I find this noteworthy since one of the arguments of pro-Kremlin "Nationalists" in the Anglosphere is that the left is overwhelmingly supporting Ukraine, from liberals to communists, therefore we must oppose them (real deep thinking here).

Liberals overwhelmingly if not exclusively support Ukraine, but as Caleb Maupin, the real communist, points out, genuine communists across the world support Putin and Russia. When I mentioned this fact to Eric Striker in Joel Davis' Chat, he replied that Caleb Maupin is not a "real communist" because he works for Kremlin-controlled news agency Russia Today and is just spewing state-sponsored propaganda to keep his salary. Two points on this:

1. For years the TRS network, especially Striker, called Vaush and others liberals pretending to be Socialists/Communists, and used Caleb Maupin as an example of a true communist. I know this because I listened to TRS for years, anyone who listened to them in 2020-2022 knows this is true. Suddenly however, when Vaush begins supporting Ukraine and Caleb continues supporting Russia, their roles in the eyes of TRS are reversed. Now suddenly Vaush is the true communist and Caleb is the fake commie. As usual, they will change their opinions based on what is best for the Kremlin. I however, and many others, remain ideologically consistent.

2. The fact that Caleb Maupin, lifelong avowed communist, was hired by Russian state propaganda does not indict his ideological purity when it comes to communism, it is another point in our favour that the Kremlin are neo-Bolsheviks (one day I'll explain why I use this term, it's not just a buzz-phrase) and dedicated antifa. The Kremlin felt that a hardcore communist is an appropriate person to hire to spread their worldview. What does that say about the Russian government? Hint hint, next month they are hosting the first "International Anti-Fascist Conference".

While on this topic, I want to expand a bit more on point 1 in regards to antifa.

In the exact same way, TRS hosts spent years saying antifa would get gulag'd by the "real antifa" for their various views on things like trannies etc. Once again, American antifa (the context in which this argument was generally made) were not real anti-fascists because of their liberal views.

However, whenever you point out that the Russian government is hosting antifa congresses, their reply will suddenly be "Russia doesn't like lgbt, to call them antifa is absurd!". Now suddenly, their positions have once again done a 180 degree turn in order to attempt to make their targeted audience (Nationalists) look favourably on the Kremlin. The fact is, the Russian Federation ARE the real anti-fascists, in the same vain as the antifa who raped and murdered their way across Europe in the 1930's and 40's are.

None of these are abstract points, all are based on facts. Anybody who listened to TRS over the past however many years knows that I represented their views on antifa and communists 100% accurately. And these answers I listed above are the exact ones I received from Eric Striker, Alex McNabb, DharmaKing, and other TRS hosts or indoctrinated listeners. This is not me strawmanning them or making things up, this is me pointing out with specific examples how they will consistently change their position on any number of topics in order to attempt to maintain a positive view of the Kremlin in the eyes of Nationalists.

It's up to you to decide why they do this.

PUNIC WAR ECHOS

I mentioned in a previous post how the struggle of the Aryan vs the jew in this physical world goes back at least to Rome vs Carthage. I wanted to expand on that a little bit more.

The Punic Wars were a series of wars fought between Rome and Carthage between 264 and 146 BC in the Mediterranean. Modern historians describe the causes of this war in their usual, uninspired, nerd-like way. To modern historians this was a materialist war; two expanding empires who bordered each other went to war for shipping lanes, territory, etc. However, The Punic Wars go much, much deeper than that.

In the Roman mythos, the Romans were descended from the Aeneads who escaped Troy. In very short, the Aeneads were the companions of the Trojan hero Aeneas, who was related to various Trojan nobility as well as being a descendant of the Greek Goddess Aphrodite. If you wish to go deeper on this topic, find and read the following texts, in this order:

1. The Homeric Hymn to Aphrodite by unknown (Not by Homer but of a similar style, hence "Homeric")
2. The Iliad by Homer
3. The Aenead by Virgil

There are a LOT of other fragmented texts on this topic, many of which are wholly lost to history, like *Origines* by Cato the Elder, or partially lost like *Ab Urbe Condita* (From the Founding of the City) by Livy. If you want to dive into the Roman foundational mythos, there are some of the starting points for your journey, but for now it is enough to know that the Romans believed themselves to be descended from the Trojan hero, royal, and demigod Aeneas, as well as his companions.

Briefly I would like to mention that there is archeological, genetic, and anthropological evidence of a wave of settlers from Asia Minor (Modern day western Turkey, which up until very recently ((relatively speaking)) was part of the White world) settling and mixing with the local tribes in Italy. Most of the evidence is found within the Etruscans to the north of the soon to be Trojan-infused Latin tribes, but I certainly find it interesting that there is modern day archeological, genetic, and anthropological evidence that backs up an Aryan foundational mythos that stretches back over 3200 years to the Trojan War.

Now let's briefly talk about the Carthaginians. The Carthaginians were a semitic people from Phoenician civilization, a people whose origins likely begin in modern day Lebanon and Israel, and who spread from the eastern Mediterranean across the entirety of the Mediterranean to establish a maritime power primarily focused on trade. One of these trade settlements was Carthage.

After Troy was sacked by the Greeks, Aeneas and his companions escaped on ships and found themselves in Carthage, which is when the Roman and Carthaginian foundational myths intertwine. During Aeneas' time in Carthage, he seduced the progenitor of the Carthaginians, their first Queen and founder of their city, Dido. After seducing her, he left with his people to Italy, where they would land and mix with the local Latin tribes who inhabited the area that would become the city of Rome.

Dido, so distraught at losing an Aryan chad like Aeneas, decided to kill herself, but first she made this proclamation to her people:

"Then, O my Tyrians, besiege with hate his progeny and all his race to come: make this your offering to my dust. No love, no pact must be between our peoples; no, but rise up from my bones, avenging spirit!"

So, hatred for the Trojans, who the Romans considered themselves descendants of, was a fundamental part of semitic-Carthaginian identity. The Romans in turn did not have hatred for the Carthaginians as part of their foundational mythos, after all, Aeneas simply fucked Dido (disavow) then peaced out to Italy, however the Romans grew to hate the Carthaginians on a religious level as well. They considered their Gods and religious practices evil, and hated their rituals of child-sacrifice. The Romans considered human sacrifice to be barbaric, which is slightly ironic since the Romans would ritually kill high-status prisoners in their Triumphs, but if you brought that up to them they would fiercely deny that that is human sacrifice.

So, the Aryan Romans and semitic Carthaginians hated one another on a religious, esoteric level, and their civilizations were complete polar-opposites.

The traditional founder of Rome was Romulus, the 15x great grandson of Aeneas. Romulus founded the Roman military, the Pomerium (sacred borders of the city of Rome proper), and gave Rome their fighting spirit, as he was a warrior-King through and through. Fundamentally, Rome was a military-civilization.

The second King of Rome, following Romulus after a 1 year interregnum, was Numa, who gave Rome their religious traditions and institutions. In the Roman mythos, their first King and founder of their city gave them their military and government traditions and institutions, and their second gave them their religious traditions and institutions.

The most honourable thing a Roman citizen could do was perform feats of heroism in the military. In Rome, at least in early Rome, military service was obligatory, and if you wanted to have any reputation at all in Roman society you had to have a respectable military career in your résumé. Another important thing to note when comparing Roman and Carthaginian civilization is that the highest governing body of Rome, the Roman Senate, consisted of Patrician (the Patricians were the highest-caste in Rome, and were the descendants of the original 100 Roman Senators chosen by Romulus himself to serve in the first Senate) bloodlines, and then later citizens who proved themselves worthy in the military were allowed in as well. In Rome, a financially poor Patrician was considered of higher status than an extremely rich Equestrian, and the only way to truly earn glory and honour was by service in the military. It was an extremely aristocratic and strict hierarchy, where some were considered superior to others by birth, but those not born to Patrician bloodlines could still earn glory and honour if they served heroically in the military.


Compare this with Carthage.

In Carthage, the highest governing body was made up of the richest men in Carthage. It didn't matter if you made your money yesterday, or your family made it 5 generations ago. If you had money, you could help rule Carthaginian society. If you didn't have money, you had no say. Carthaginian senators were regularly granted access to and kicked out of the senate based on whether they had just made themselves rich or just lost their fortune. There was no aristocratic hierarchy like in Rome. It was a crude, egalitarian (for the time), financial ruling class consisting of merchants.

As for the military, the contrast between the Aryan Romans and semitic Carthaginians could not be sharper.

In Rome, the greatest contribution to civil service was in the military. The Roman military consisted of Romans, and service was obligatory and considered a virtue.

In Carthage however, their military instead consisted of a small band of Carthaginian officers who led hired mercenaries into battle. Of every 1000 soldiers who fought for Carthage at any one time, maybe 50 of them were Carthaginians, and the other 950 were mercenaries or colonial subjects of one type or another.



And so, the Aryan Romans and semitic Carthaginians would go to war, and the Aryan Warriors would destroy the semitic merchants and lay waste to Carthaginian civilization, destroying their military, seizing their colonies, and obliterating their founding city. I find this poetic, inspirational, and that it has lessons that still has meaning today. I believe jews are highly aware of this event and its underlying lessons, and that it effected the development of their psyche and their strategy of domination. They do not wish to fight us, they wish to use their financial power and control of the media to demasculinize us, deceive us, confuse our children, and destroy us in any way possible other than in the Aryan way - direct confrontation.

But one day, I believe the Aryan Warrior will once again triumph over the semitic merchant, and it will be Jerusalem and Tel-Aviv we destroy and salt the ground of, just as our people did at Carthage in 146 BC.

RUSSIA MUST BE SPLIT IN TWO!

BY Thomas Sewell

Reminder that it's not Ukraine that needs to be split in two but Russia. Ukraine needs regime change not annexation. Azov is the closest thing we have to a force that could create regime change from Jewish hands to White Power in Ukraine. Ukraine (and the other central/Baltic states) are as close as we have to a homogenous ethno-state in the White world at the moment. Russia is a "Federation" (read: Empire), it's demographics are turning brown and muslim as fast as any Western Liberal country. Ukraine gains nothing from being absorbed to this Eurasian Empire, and for the sake of White people must remain seperate. The only way to save White Russia would be to cut off the non-White federated states. (Which they won't do because 1, it's controlled by judeo-mongrels, and 2, the non-White states are hugely resource rich.) The Eastern judeo-mongrel hand that is enslaving and mongrelising White Russians is trying to reach ever further into Europe. That hand must be cut off no different to the Western judeo-mongrel-homo hand enslaving and mongrelising Western Europeans and her colonies. Reject the false dichotomy (capitalism/communism or West/East) and embrace the third position (White Power, White Unity at every opportunity).

NAZIS IN UKRAINE ARE ABLE TO HOLD THEIR HEADS HIGH

In most Western countries, National Socialists need to, frankly, slink around in the shadows. Not because we are cowards, but because we are practical. If our names and faces are known, we will likely be fired from our jobs (not always, depends on your job and the specifics of your dox, but generally speaking this is true - we all know it). There are other potential consequences but the main one is loss of income, antifa is not much of a physical threat, though there is and always will be a militant edge to antifa, even the gayest and weakest forms of antifa like in the Anglosphere.

One place especially where men of our worldview have to hide themselves is in the military. Due to the general mindset of National Socialists, it's not surprising that many of us are drawn to the military, even if we joined before we became NS. This is changing lately, and in countries that are far ahead in White Genocide, NS are now actively encouraging our young members to NOT join the military, in contrast to years past when they were encouraged to join the military. America, Canada, United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand, France are just a few examples in which this is the case. If you are in a country like Poland, the Baltics, Hungary, Croatia etc. then I think joining the military is still worthwhile, even if only for a few years.

But the point is, if most Western militaries get the slightest whiff of pro-White sentiment, especially National Socialist sympathies, you will get discharged, in most Western countries, if you are NS, you have to slink in the shadows if you're practical.

Not so in Ukraine.

In Ukraine, it is the left who have to blur their faces in photographs. In Ukraine, National Socialists are armed with Multiple Launch Rocket Systems, and while serving in the military can openly Roman Salute with a bare, unblurred face, and identifiable tattoos on his arms and legs, and not have to worry about repercussions. The Ukrainian government is aware of how many National Socialists are in the ranks of their military and intelligence services, but they know that if they kicked them all out they would no longer have a military or security service.

In Ukraine, National Socialists are armed with tanks, MLRS', anti-tank and anti-air missiles, artillery pieces, sniper rifles, receive professional military training etc.

Zelensky being jewish does not invalidate this, or anything I have said in my channel. I have never argued that the Ukrainian government is NS, it isn't. I have argued that the Ukrainian NS community is the largest, most well organized, and well-equipped ones in the world, and that the Ukrainian people, one of the most racially homogenous countries in Europe with one of the lowest vaccination rates, is worth preserving against the asiatic antifa empire known as the Russian Federation.

To close this post, here is an article I have linked before about how much power NS have in Ukraine. This article is by two mad jews who support Putin's attempted denazification of Ukraine. One incorrect thing in this article though is the oft-repeated never sourced claim of Ihor Kolomoyskyi funding Azov, which was one of the first things I debunked on my channel. If you ever see this claim made, try to follow the source, if whoever is making it even gives one. You will find one of two things: various sources citing each other on this claim, but NEVER giving any actual EVIDENCE to support it, or the ORIGINAL starting point of this claim, which was by an israeli newspaper (Ma'ariv) who themselves admit in the article that it's a rumour without evidence. Remember, the myth of Azov being armed by israel was also started by ill-informed israeli NGO's, and then parroted by ill-informed Fascists.

<https://consortiumnews.com/2022/03/04/how-zelensky-made-peace-with-neo-nazis/>

ON THE DEATH OF A DUGIN

"Europe and The West are nothing but totalitarian racists, colonialists, and Nazis."

"Eastern Ukraine will accept a Eurasian Empire."

- Darya Dugin, deceased enemy of the White Race

Dugin has constantly advocated for the invasion, murder, and genocide of the Ukrainian people and culture. He turned his daughter into a fervent little anti-European activist just like himself.

Well, the Russian invasion of Ukraine is failing, and his dreams of a Eurasian Empire are in the same state as his daughter: up in flames.

Reap what you sow you fucking anti-White scum.

I must also add that the various works of the Dugin's totally justifies the Euromaidan, which at its core was a grassroots revolution to keep Ukraine European and not Eurasian, as I explained and proved in a previous post.

An aside by Nicholas Jeelvly: "It's immoral and ghoulish to rejoice at the death of an enemy."

I expect the Kremlin shills to go with this line, but hearing it from people I know aren't shills was disheartening. I'm specifically talking about Frodi (GtK), The Golden One and Morgoth. In their case, I chalk it up to a combination of not knowing who Darya Dugina really was within Russia, a media and political powerbroker trading off of her father's international fame, and the North Western European tendency to assume that the world works according to their own moral compass (this is the same assumption at the heart of globalist liberalism). On a side note, this is something people should take to heart: when you are an honest and honorable man, people will be more inclined to understand and forgive your mistakes (which you will inevitably make).

A father watching his daughter burn to death is not pretty. And yet, if we intend to one day be a political elite, we must understand that these are the decisions a political elite must make - somebody's life will have to be taken and every somebody is someone's son or daughter. War propagandists, enemy soldiers, pedophiles, murderers, they're all somebody's child. And yet the state kills them and is right to do so.

Darya Alexandrovna has rejoiced at the death of Ukrainians. She has called for the extermination of the Ukrainian people, for the destruction of the Baltic nationalities, for the subjugation of Finland and Poland. She was even nuttier than her dad. She has called Western Europeans incorrigible racists and imperialists. She was part of the rotten Moscow elite parasitising upon the Russian people. If she had her way, all of us, me, Frodi, Marcus, Morgoth, all white identitarians and nationalists would be executed for being "Nazis." She deserved to die - maybe less than some other worthies in Moscow, Washington, Brussels and other centers of globalism, but she deserved to die nevertheless. She was an enemy and I will celebrate her death, because that's one less evil tongue agitating for my own destruction.

I keep going back to this in my thoughts. It really shows how shallow the thinking of people like Keith Woods, Thamster Witnat, and other "intellectuals" is.

There are two logical schools of thought when they say things like "this is very troubling and can have massive implications" and "it doesn't seem like the wisest course of action for us to be defending the justness of killing people for their beliefs. This is the same justification communist thugs used to justify murdering Giovanni Gentile. And this isn't a "liberal" perspective, there's no just war tradition that includes a justification of assassinating intellectuals on the other side."

There are two and only two logical trains of thought that can arise from the whinging about how "wrong" it was for Dugin to be the target of assassination and for his daughter to wind up dead as a result of the plot.

1. Worldview, ideology, religion, intellectuals have zero impact on the world, are not important or significant, and therefore why should anyone listen to people like Keith Woods, Thamster Witnat etc whose sole "contribution" to our racial struggle is talking about books and theories online?

2. Worldview, ideology, religion, intellectuals DO have an impact on the world, are important and significant, and therefore are legitimate targets in the struggle for power.

The article below from Nicholas R. Jeelvy explains it perfectly. The trumpeter of an army is captured, and says to his captors "Why do you plan to kill me? I did not slay any of your soldiers!" And the soldiers, his captors, reply "Yes, but what of your music? It motivated your soldiers to kill us."

I mean really, Keith, do you think if Soviet propagandists fell into the hands of Italian Fascists or German National Socialists they would be let go because they didn't physically kill anybody with their hands? Are you that retarded? Do you know nothing of history or how brutally both our side and the other side will act in order to gain power and bring our worldview into being? Both we and the enemy side will kill for ideas, so why shouldn't people who spread ideas be killed? That's just not a realistic understanding of history and human nature. It's just your own weakness manifesting itself into a telegram post.

Darya Dugin was a legitimate military target, unless you think none of this (worldview, ideology, the struggle for power) matters and that it's all just a game, in which case again, why should anybody listen to you?

I think the whinging about Darya or acceptance of the act as a natural part of the power struggle separates the real intellectuals, like Nicholas R. Jeelvy, from the fake, shallow "intellectuals" like Twink Woods, Thamster Witnat etc. It seems the latter do not even think they are significant or important, so why should anybody else?

I highly suggest you read the article by Nicholas if you haven't already, and then read it again, and understand that EVERYBODY is a target because of their ideas to some degree - and people like us far more than the average person. Prepare accordingly.

ON THE WAR SO FAR (2022)

Pro-Kremlin actors will cope by saying that ISW's reports are Western propaganda. To that I say this: examine the claims and sources of every Institute for the Study of War's daily Russian Campaign Offensive Assessment, which can be found [here](#). Every daily assessment has roughly 80 sources, at least 30 of which are from pro-Kremlin Telegram military bloggers, who are often much more truthful than the Russian MoD's reports. All ISW does is gather all publicly available information, and then cross-reference the reports with verifiable information to see can be verified and proven by separate evidence such as satellite imagery, geolocated footage etc.

According to the Turkish military research publication Oryx, the Russian military has lost roughly 4000 more military vehicles than Ukraine, including over 1000 tanks, based solely on visual evidence that has made it online. An explanation of Oryx's methodology, as well as their latest numbers as of September 4th, is posted [below](#).

"This list only includes destroyed vehicles and equipment of which photo or videographic evidence is available. Therefore, the amount of equipment destroyed is significantly higher than recorded here. Small arms, ATGMs, MANPADS, loitering munitions, drones used as unmanned bait, civilian vehicles, trailers and derelict equipment are not included in this list. All possible effort has gone into avoiding duplicate entries and discerning the status of equipment between captured or abandoned. Many of the entries listed as 'abandoned' will likely end up captured or destroyed. Similarly, some of the captured equipment might be destroyed if it can't be recovered. When the origin of a piece of equipment can't be established, it is not included in the list. The Soviet flag is used when the equipment in question was produced prior to 1991. This list is constantly updated as additional footage becomes available.

(Click on the numbers to get a picture of each individual captured or destroyed vehicle)

Russia - 5439, of which: destroyed: 3541, damaged: 134, abandoned: 301, captured: 1463

Ukraine - 1544, of which: destroyed: 864, damaged: 36, abandoned: 40, captured: 604"

Pro-Kremlin actors will cope by claiming that Oryx's reports are Turkish propaganda. To that I say this:

As of February 21st 2022, Ukraine possessed roughly 900 tanks, the majority of which were T-64's.

As of February 21st 2022, Russia possessed roughly 11'000 tanks, including roughly 200 T-90's, 3000 T-80's, and many other models which Ukraine does not possess.

According to the visual evidence, at least 1255 tanks have been destroyed during the course of this war, including hundreds of models which Ukraine does not even possess.

Ukraine is still fighting an uphill battle. Western aid will never give Ukraine a more mobile and mechanized force than Russia, unless tank and armoured fighting and transport vehicle aid increases by over 1000% and continues for years. Ukraine will also never have a pool of military aged males even close to that of Russia. However, Ukraine has the moral advantage, as they are more motivated to defend their land than White Russians are to kill a people they thought were fraternal, or Asiatic Russians are to fight in a country that might as well be on the other side of the world from their homes, and Ukraine also has the defensive advantage.

While the National Socialist/Nationalist community in Ukraine has suffered devastating losses, according to a friend on the ground at *least* half of the Nationalists he knew from before the invasion are dead or in a Russian prison cell, Ukrainians are putting up an amazing fight that will go down in the annals of Western history. Whenever I underwent periods of struggle greater than normal, I would always say to myself "better men have gone through worse". Whenever I said this I would think of the German and Axis soldiers who suffered the total hell of the Eastern Front in 1941-45.

Now I will also think of the Ukrainian Nationalists who for years entertained us with videos of them fighting in Donbas under the Swastika flag, who organized Asgardsrei where NS from across Europe met and established life long bonds, who sponsored MMA tournaments to sharpen ourselves into better men, who gave professional military training to the most dedicated European NS, who hosted publicly known summer camps for Ukrainian youth in order to shape the next generation of Ukrainian/European Nationalists with all the skills and lessons learned by military and Nationalist organizing veterans etc etc.

For the rest of my life I will remember these men, both those who gave their lives and those who are still risking their lives, and I will remember that while our politicians and weak fellow citizens complain about high energy prices and a hurting economy, Ukrainian families are burying their husbands, brothers, children, best friends, kinsfolk. The entire Ukrainian nation is fighting this war, be it the child who lost a father, a comrade who lost a best friend, a grandmother who lost a grandchild, or a woman who lost a husband. We owe these people a debt.

Slava Ukraini.

On the hypocrisy of Western "Nationalists" using Wagner Group in their defense of their support of Russia.

Often times when debating the TRS/Kremlin simp crowd in the Anglosphere, they will simultaneously slander Azov Regiment while citing the existence of Wagner Group as one of the reasons to support Russia, and to try and claim "National Socialists on both sides". This argument is either incredibly dishonest on purpose, or just being put forth by retards who have trouble with the whole thinking and logic thing, and I will explain why below.

When Azov Regiment is mentioned, these Kremlin simps would say things like "these are Nazi larpers, they are just playing dress up, they are mercenaries, this is just Nazi aesthetics, what have they actually done for the White Race?"

The reason this argument is invalid is because Azov Regiment men do not simply have NS tattoos, flags, and optics.

Azov Regiment organizes summer camps for Ukrainian youth to teach them about Nationalism.

Azov Regiment has founded Nationalist political groups and openly participated in others, such as Azov Movement, Azov Civil Corps, National Corps, Youth Corps, (under whose umbrella the Nationalist youth summer camps operated), Social-National Assembly and Centuria, to name a few.

Azov Regiment men began the "National Militia" group, which consisted of Ukrainian veterans and Nationalists who assisted law enforcement in an official manner. It was National Militia and Combat-14 who were behind the famous/infamous videos of Ukrainian Nationalists attacking romani (gypsy) settlements while acting in their official role as deputized law enforcement, killing one gypsy and receiving no charges for it.

Azov Regiment helps organize one of Europe's largest National Socialist networking/recreational events, Asgardsrei.

Azov Regiment have terrorized the Ukrainian left, burning down their hangout spots, attacking their politicians, shutting down their events etc.

Ukraine's official National Guard twitter account posted a video of Azov Regiment smearing pig fat on their bullets which were destined for muslim chechen soldiers.

Azov Regiment and their affiliates have proven time and time again that their worldview is not limited to tattoos, but is one of action.

And Wagner Group? What is the evidence of them being a National Socialist organization? Some of their members have racist tattoos. That's it.

Wagner does not organize Nationalist youth camps, unlike Azov.

Wagner does not organize Nationalist political organizations and events, unlike Azov.

Wagner does not attack Russian antifa, unlike Azov.

Wagner are literal for-hire mercenaries, unlike Azov.

Wagner is what these Kremlin shills accuse Azov of being: Nazi larpers. This is why it is so dishonest or retarded for these shills to accuse Azov of being Nazi larpers while holding up Wagner as an example of a real NS paramilitary. It is even more dishonest when they accuse Azov of being mercenaries when Azov began as an unpaid volunteer force in 2014, compared to Wagner who is literally a for-hire mercenary force! Who is currently under contract to the antifa institution known as the Kremlin.

Although from the start Wagner has been a Kremlin invention and tool, according to Russian military expert Pavel Luzin.

It just dawned on me how jewish it is for the TRS/Kremlin bootlicker crowd to accuse Azov of being what their beloved Wagner is: Nazi larpers. It's literally accusing your enemy of doing what you are doing. Very semitic.

The pro-Kremlin simp crowd in the Nationalist community has become even more pathetic as of late.

Like I said in my previous post, I follow a ton of various sources. Especially when it comes to the war in Ukraine. When I see literally anybody talking about it on Youtube, I subscribe. As a result I am subscribed to roughly 50

channels who cover the war in Ukraine at least bi-weekly, most cover it every day. These channels range from pro-Ukraine (with whom I most empathize and relate to, obviously), neutral, to even pro-Kremlin. I can watch Kremlin propaganda either official or unofficial and not be moved by it, except to anger and a further hardening of my already hardened beliefs.

Anyway, as much as I love watching the videos, I love reading the comment sections just as much. The pro-Kremlin crowd has taken such a pathetic turn as of late. This is the new line they are taking to try and save face in the wake of Ukrainian victory after Ukrainian victory, both on Youtube, on Telegram, and across the informational space.

"Russia only had X number of troops on that front compared to X number of Ukrainian troops. They were outnumbered."

"It was just LPR/DPR militia, of course the Ukrainian Armed Forces steamrolled them."

"Russia is fighting the whole world, Russia is outnumbered, Russia is outgunned."

These fucking Kremlin bootlickers went from "Mighty Russia is going to erase Ukraine from the map" in February to "Russia is the poor little defenseless underdog" in September (this is a trend I have noticed since the successful Ukrainian counter attack in the Kharkiv Oblast region in early September). The new Kremlin line is quite clear: Russia is fighting an uphill battle.

First of all that's hilarious to see the turnaround from "Mighty Russia" to "Little weak helpless Russia".

Secondly it's pathetic, and still untrue. Russia VASTLY outnumbers Ukraine in population, size of armed forces, artillery pieces, tanks, armoured vehicles, fighter jets, attack helicopters, military aged male population, and many other categories. Yes, a lot of that is poorly maintained, but again that's Russia's fault, and if the pro-Kremlin side wants to use that as an excuse for Russia's poor military showing (a poorly maintained military), then that leads into my third point.

Thirdly it just paints the Kremlin as even more incompetent than previously thought. If Russia was so weak, and Ukraine and The West so strong, why did RUSSIA INITIATE THE WAR? There is no excuse for being unprepared for a conflict you fucking started yourself! You chose the start date!

And if you'd like to claim that Russia launched this war in defense against the spooky NATO threat lurking under their beds, then I encourage you to read this post of mine which exposes that for the propaganda that it is.

While on the topic of Kremlin incompetence, I'd like to close this post by laughing at the fact that Russia launched their invasion of a country with questionable road infrastructure in late winter, right on the eve of wet and muddy spring, and then began further mobilization in late summer, right on the eve of wet and muddy autumn, which is then followed by winter, the season in which military operations from ancient history to modern times at the very least slow down if not cease altogether. I know that mobilization is not something that

happens overnight and it takes time to prepare it, however there is mountains of evidence showing that some mobilized units have been rushed to the front lines in the past few days. Just so much incompetence on Russia's side everywhere you look.

Russia used to be considered by some to be the second most powerful military in the world. Now they are only the second most powerful military in Ukraine.

The pro-Kremlin crowd in online Dissident Right spaces has one argument that they love above all others. Mike Peinovich made it in his recent post about how utterly right he is (in his view) to support Russia over Ukraine. Greg Johnson from Counter-Currents offered to debate him on that point, an offer which Joel Davis and Mark Collett both seconded, an offer which Mike and TRS will no doubt refuse.

As we speak that same argument the pro-Kremlin crowd is being repeated again and again in Joel Davis' chat where Greg Johnson is replying to the Joel Davis post in support of the debate. The argument is this:

NATO will make your country gay, non-White, and infested with drag queen story hour. NATO is homosexual imperialism, NATO will corrupt your youth, NATO will subvert your immigration policies, NATO will sponsor drag queen story hour in your cities, NATO will subvert your national identity et cetera ad nauseum to the enth degree. It unironically gets so tiring so hear them drag that argument out, and it's without a doubt their favourite argument.

Like most of their arguments, it's an emotional one, not a logical one, and it's easily refuted. I am Estonian, members of my family were murdered by Russians over the years. Children who were executed by Jewish-Russian Communist death squads because they were related to men who dared to take up arms in defense of Estonia, in defense of Europe, against the USSR. I am emotionally invested in this conflict.

However, I know that many aren't, and my arguments by and large rely on logic and reason. I can't say to an American who listens to too much TRS that he should support Ukraine and oppose Russia because I have family members who were killed by Russians. That's not going to get through to him and it's an emotional argument that can be easily brushed away. That's how I see this pro-Kremlin argument, it's just emotional, and allow me to show why it's such a bad argument.

All we need to do to prove the whole "NATO will turn your country brown and gay" is nonsense is to compare two countries:

Poland vs Ireland.

Ireland has never been a NATO member. Poland has been a NATO member for over 23 years. There is an entire generation of Poles who have never known a non-NATO Poland. And what are these Polish youth like?

The most popular party among Poles aged 18-24 is Konfederacja, a strongly Nationalist, Traditionalist, and socially Conservative party. This is something the pro-Kremlin crowd has to be aware of, since Joel Davis has

posted about it. As an aside you might notice I mention Joel Davis and his chat a lot in my channel. Two reasons for that.

1. I debated with Kremlin supporters in there a lot

2. Of all the Anglosphere commentators he has been the most reasonable when it comes to Ukraine and Russia. While I still disagree with his conclusions on just about everything when it comes to these topics, he has been reasonable and not resorted to the Eric Striker, Dharmaking, Zoltaneous, or Mark Collett level of Kremlin bootlicking and propaganda regurgitation.

I could write a lot about Poland, but we all know the basics. Poland is a 99% White country where traditional family values and patriotic national feelings are still in the vast majority of the country, even among the youth who have never known a non-NATO Poland. In just 18 months Poland will have been a NATO member for 25 years, a quarter of a century. Still White, still traditional. When confronted with this the pro-Kremlin crowd always resort to "just wait, Poland is just a new member, this country will be black, brown, and gay before you know it."

However, 25 years is a very long time for a country to change. Just think about various 25 year periods in American society. Look at America in 1950 vs America in 1975. Or from 1975 to 2000. Or from 2000 to America on the brink of 2023. A lot of change can clearly happen in a quarter of a century, and yet Polish youth are supporting a very "far right" party.

Now lets compare Poland to Ireland.

Ireland has never been in NATO, and yet somehow it is one of the brownest, gayest, identity-less countries in Europe. I don't even need to source this argument since anybody reading this post is part of the pro-European online community in one way or another and therefore know what I am saying is true.

Considering the fact that Ireland is not in NATO, and yet it is one of the brownest and most non-European countries in Europe, there is clearly some force other than NATO at play which corrupts these countries.

And this is really what this argument boils down to. If I were to ask a Kremlin supporter to specifically tell me which NATO programs increase immigration and drag queen story hour time in their countries, they would say that's a ridiculous request. Clearly what's happening is NATO generals and other military professionals are conducting covert operations to support the LGBT community and increase immigration while also maintaining their militaries and to ask for proof is ridiculous. Yes, you might see some American homosexuals in the military pose with a NATO flag. That is a representation of American society, not NATO policy. You do not see the Polish, Hungarian etc. militaries doing the same thing.

And yet, if you asked me this question about the European Union I could show you the specific penalties they impose on Poland and Hungary for being traditionalist as well as the specific immigration, feminist, and lgbt programs they use EU money to openly promote.

Also a little tidbit worth noting is that NATO was founded in 1949 by largely White Nationalistic Western governments. In 1949 the founding 12 members of NATO were White not by happenstance, but by government policy. Many of these states had pro-White immigration laws on the books, and America was still openly racially segregated. Blacks did not have the same rights as Whites in America when NATO was founded. It would be another 15 years after NATO was founded that America would become desegregated and the races would become equal. And if you blame that change on NATO, then I would:

1. Ask for specific proofs
2. Ask you to explain how NATO did that extreme change in America in 15 years and yet Poland is still White and traditional after 25 years.

When you consider that in 1949 when NATO was founded, America was a racially segregated state, and that the Americans leading the American-NATO charge were White men in their 40's-60's who were born in an extremely racial country (some of these men were born in the 19th century!), the idea that NATO was founded to turn the Western world brown and gay is ridiculous. The truth of the matter is this:

NATO is a Western military alliance that certain segments of the Nationalist community now associate the degradation of their nations with as their nations became worse and worse. NATO did not cause these degradations, NATO was simply along for the ride. Now, in 2022, the existence of a military alliance formed in 1949 has the unforeseen consequence of protecting the last homogenous part of our civilization from the Russian Federation, an openly antifa, openly multiracial, authoritarian regime where Nationalists are persecuted like nowhere else.

The "NATO will turn your country gay and brown" argument that the pro-Kremlin audience loves to trot out is so obviously false that you really need to wonder why these people get so emotional and adamant about it. I have an excuse for having strong anti-Russian feelings, but I never let that cloud my reason and as my channel history will attest, I have a multitude of logical arguments for supporting Ukraine.

The comparison of Ireland and Poland is proof that this argument, like every other argument of the pro-Kremlin crowd, is false and easily refutable.

Diary of an Underground Ronin should stick to posting cool pictures

1. When you use the term "Atlanticist", you are using a phrase used to demonize people like you. You might as well call people "racist" as an insult. Furthermore, you contradict the central worldview you try to promote: unabashed White Power. "Atlanticist" is a slur used by third-worldists to refer to the evil White man who wishes to dominate the world. Is this not what you constantly promote on your channel?

2. Moscow has been an enemy to Aryans for over a century, perhaps even longer depending on how you see the Russian Empire. Freemasonry was rife in the USSR and still is in the Russian Federation, as are Jews. Ask Russian NS about Jewry in Russia, you know, the ones that haven't been tortured to death by the Russian security services that is.

3. Get offline. Stop being a keyboard "Nationalist". The whole "who will Ukraine go to" is nerd thinking. When you experience the NS community in Ukraine, when you can ride in an armoured vehicle with machine guns, tag swastikas on tanks and drive them to battle, Roman Salute while launching artillery with a bare face and zero fear for repercussions, when you can organize Ukrainian Nationalist youth camps, then Ukraine on the ground belongs to the Nationalist community, and our race has potential for power there like nowhere else in the world. You nerds like to pretend Zelensky is some omnipotent power of nature, but he is on video asking Azov to disarm and being told no. The President of Ukraine cannot exercise control over portions of "his own" military.

4. Adjacent element, NWO, blah blah. The funniest bit is when you accidentally said that if Ukraine wins, it will become like... Russia! This is all coming from someone who does not understand Russia at all. Russia not only has the highest rate of abortion, AIDS, drug use, child prostitution rings, child pornography rings, and Russia was still in the process of attempting to criminalize the possession of child pornography in 2016! I am not sure if Russia has finally made the possession of child sexual abuse material illegal yet, but that goes to show how fucked up Russia is. Literally every single thing you mentioned is worse in Russia than a lot if not most of the West. Non-Whites, child sexual abuse, drug problems, lack of traditional values. Ukraine is whiter, with lower divorce rates, lower drug rates etc. and it isn't even close. You are advocating for the destruction of one of Europe's purest countries.

But let's talk about Nationalism in Russia. I made a very long series of posts describing how Putin and Russia destroyed the Ethno-Nationalist Russian community. Stop being a nerd and thinking you have anything to do with great power politics. You don't. You have nothing to do with the Russian or Ukrainian government. Let's think about our own people, our own community. If Ukraine becomes part of the Russian Federation, then millions of Ukrainians will be in the same position that millions of Russians are in right now: unable to form Ethno-Nationalist organizations because they are governed by a multiracial authoritarian regime. Why would you want the largest NS community in the world with its connections all over the state and military be forced to become part of the most anti-fascist country in the world?

There is no good argument for rooting for a government which hosts antifa conferences and murders Nationalists. They call Washington the eye of the storm, but they should try being an Ethno-Nationalist in Russia to face some real persecution. Being a Nationalist in America is a cakewalk compared to being one in Russia.

I am tired of having to make the same points, but I am even more tired of seeing people in the Nationalist community fundamentally misunderstand the situation and promote an outcome which would be totally detrimental to our struggle.

I'd like to break another one of the pro-Kremlin arguments.

I previously explained how the idea that Russia needs buffer states from a defensive military alliance so as to not be invaded is ludicrous.

One of the oft-repeated arguments from the pro-Kremlin crowd is this:

"Russia tried to join NATO after the collapse of the Soviet Union, but NATO didn't let them join! This is proof that NATO had ill intentions towards Russia and Russia is the aggrieved party here!"

However, like every other argument this crowd makes it is simply not true. According to George Robertson, NATO's Secretary General from 1999-2003, this is how one of his conversations with Putin went, and you can find multiple sources for this if you wish:

Putin asked Robertson why Russia hadn't been invited to join NATO yet. Robertson replied that nobody has ever been invited to join NATO, rather, nations may apply and then the existing NATO members vote on whether or not to admit them. Putin replied that "Russia will not wait in line with insignificant countries", and the brief period of friendly relations between NATO and Russia in the early 00's slowly fizzled out. And yes, Putin was friendly to NATO even after the NATO operations in the former Yugoslavia in the 90's.

So no, Russia and Putin never really tried to join NATO, and NATO never shut the door in their face. As usual, the pro-Kremlin crowd relies on soundbites, headlines, superficial arguments, and hoping that the audience doesn't fact check their claims.

Another talking point of the Kremlin, and therefore many Anglosphere "Nationalists", is that Russia needs buffer states from NATO, Russia can't have Ukraine joining NATO because it is too close to Russia, and that Russia is threatened by NATO neighbours.

But as usual, this propaganda is easily disproven when you examine it critically.

Russia has one of the three largest militaries on the planet (on paper, the worst thing Russia did in terms of maintaining its prestige was attack Ukraine and expose itself as militarily a paper tiger, not a US/NATO peer), is the largest country by landmass on the planet, possesses over 5 thousand nuclear warheads, a population of almost 150 million, and is abundant in natural resources.

How does a neighbour joining a defensive alliance threaten Russia?

There is no scenario in which any country launches a nuclear/WMD attack on Russia without Russia launching a nuclear/WMD attack first.

There is no scenario in which any country attacks Russia at a nation-state level without Russia attacking first.

NATO is a defensive alliance, and history proves that wars launched by NATO members, even the big dog America, are unlikely to be joined by the rest of NATO. NATO did not officially participate in either Vietnam or Iraq. Some NATO members voluntarily participated, but they were not obliged to under the NATO charter. Only

when a NATO member is *attacked* are other NATO members legally obliged to join the defensive war against the aggressor.

In no way is Russia threatened by small (relative to Russia) neighbours joining a *defensive alliance*, unless Russia has goals to invade these countries, in which case a defensive alliance on the Russian border is indeed a threat - a threat to their imperial ambitions in Europe.

Russia has not been attacked by another nation-state since 1941, over 8 decades ago, and not including WW1 (in which Germany and Russia were both drawn in via their allies Austria-Hungary and Serbia) Russia has only been attacked by another nation-state TWICE in the past 117 years! And Russia has been attacked ZERO times since developing and possessing nuclear weapons! How then can Russia be threatened with a defensive alliance on their borders given the reality of nuclear weapons and a large military, landmass, and population?

Russia could have just sat back, continued to pose as a military superpower, and enjoyed the geopolitical reality of possessing lots of natural resources, population, land, and nuclear warheads. They could have worked towards developing their country so it isn't so much of a shithole, dealt with domestic issues, further developed trade relations etc. Instead they chose to attack a neighbour, again, and place their country in an unwinnable position. Take a look at a list of Russian wars. Only twice in the past 117 years has Russia been attacked by a nation-state, all of their other wars are wars of aggression, conquest, or fighting rebellions within their empire. The list of Russian wars reads like that of an empire. Russia is not a victim of warmongering, Russia is the warmonger.

As an aside, it's funny to hear the same commentators who spent years saying Russia could take on NATO, and that NATO is weak, now say that NATO (not Ukraine - so they say) is defeating Russia without even deploying a single soldier, but by just deploying their weapon systems and vast resources. I guess Russia really wasn't a NATO-peer since NATO can defeat Russia without even deploying a single squad of soldiers.

Furthermore, while it is clear I support NATO over the Russian Federation (Because of the FACT that pro-White organizations can operate more freely under NATO/EU than the RF), I do not support NATO in and of itself. I am not "shilling for NATO" by objectively and factually breaking down history and reality.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sxOO0hCCSk4&ab_channel=Perun

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_wars_involving_Russia

EXPLAINING A RUSSIAN BAN ON NATIONALISM

When Greg brought up how pro-White organizations are banned in Russia to a far greater extent than in the West, Mark attempted to justify this by claiming that these were skinhead gangs who recorded themselves beating up and murdering non-Whites, and how they would be banned in any country, including National Socialist Germany.

He is no doubt referring to Tesak and the militant community he created, which he did by staging fake murder videos and the like. When people make this claim, ask them why Tesak was never charged with a violent crime,

whereas he was charged with hate speech related crimes several times? Read this post of mine as well as this one to learn more about Tesak.

However, the claim that the only organizations banned in Russia are National Socialist is not true.

Slavic Union was a Russian National Socialist organization which was banned. However, at the same time that Slavic Union (SS) was in operation, there was another loosely connected organizations named The Union Against Illegal Immigration (DPNI). All the real NS in Russia at the time were in or connected to SS, with some participating with DPNI. SS were explicitly NS, whereas DPNI was simply opposed to non-Whites causing trouble in the streets of St. Petersburg and Moscow. DPNI was formed in the wake of several attacks on White Russians by non-White immigrants to Russia.

Both were banned. DPNI was not an explicitly National Socialist organization, DPNI did not make videos of themselves attacking non-Whites, the most that could be said was that DPNI "members" (it was more of a network than an organization with dues, membership lists, rules, hierarchy etc.) got into physical disputes with non-Whites. DPNI insisted its members follow the law. To claim that DPNI deserved to be banned is essentially to justify the American persecution of the Rise Above Movement. Both got into fights at political events, both got persecuted by the system. Will2Rise can exist in America quite openly, DPNI was banned and persecuted.

Eric Striker can get on stage in America and say that evil jews run the American government with the intention of destroying the White race, and that White people must awake. If he tried that in Russia, he would be lucky to just get expelled or jailed, he could potentially wind up like Tesak if he got too famous.

Here are some good articles on this topic, if you are interested in this then I also recommend reading my post "A brief history of Putin's persecution of White Nationalists", where far more articles and sources are included, including the role Dugin himself specifically played in destroying the Russian Ethno-Nationalist community.

TRS and being "Real"

For years the TRS network would call communists "liberals" who "aren't real communists". Who did they constantly bring up as an example of a "real communist", especially after he had some debates with TRS hosts? Caleb Maupin. I find this noteworthy since one of the arguments of pro-Kremlin "Nationalists" in the Anglosphere is that the left is overwhelmingly supporting Ukraine, from liberals to communists, therefore we must oppose them (real deep thinking here).

Liberals overwhelmingly if not exclusively support Ukraine, but as Caleb Maupin, the real communist, points out, genuine communists across the world support Putin and Russia. When I mentioned this fact to Eric Striker in Joel Davis' Chat, he replied that Caleb Maupin is not a "real communist" because he works for Kremlin-controlled news agency Russia Today and is just spewing state-sponsored propaganda to keep his salary. Two points on this:

1. For years the TRS network, especially Striker, called Vaush and others liberals pretending to be Socialists/Communists, and used Caleb Maupin as an example of a true communist. I know this because I

listened to TRS for years, anyone who listened to them in 2020-2022 knows this is true. Suddenly however, when Vaush begins supporting Ukraine and Caleb continues supporting Russia, their roles in the eyes of TRS are reversed. Now suddenly Vaush is the true communist and Caleb is the fake commie. As usual, they will change their opinions based on what is best for the Kremlin. I however, and many others, remain ideologically consistent.

2. The fact that Caleb Maupin, lifelong avowed communist, was hired by Russian state propaganda does not indict his ideological purity when it comes to communism, it is another point in our favour that the Kremlin are neo-Bolsheviks (one day I'll explain why I use this term, it's not just a buzz-phrase) and dedicated antifa. The Kremlin felt that a hardcore communist is an appropriate person to hire to spread their worldview. What does that say about the Russian government? Hint hint, next month they are hosting the first "International Anti-Fascist Conference".

While on this topic, I want to expand a bit more on point 1 in regards to antifa.

In the exact same way, TRS hosts spent years saying antifa would get gulag'd by the "real antifa" for their various views on things like trannies etc. Once again, American antifa (the context in which this argument was generally made) were not real anti-fascists because of their liberal views.

However, whenever you point out that the Russian government is hosting antifa congresses, their reply will suddenly be "Russia doesn't like lgbt, to call them antifa is absurd!". Now suddenly, their positions have once again done a 180 degree turn in order to attempt to make their targeted audience (Nationalists) look favourably on the Kremlin. The fact is, the Russian Federation ARE the real anti-fascists, in the same vain as the antifa who raped and murdered their way across Europe in the 1930's and 40's are.

None of these are abstract points, all are based on facts. Anybody who listened to TRS over the past however many years knows that I represented their views on antifa and communists 100% accurately. And these answers I listed above are the exact ones I received from Eric Striker, Alex McNabb, DharmaKing, and other TRS hosts or indoctrinated listeners. This is not me strawmanning them or making things up, this is me pointing out with specific examples how they will consistently change their position on any number of topics in order to attempt to maintain a positive view of the Kremlin in the eyes of Nationalists.

It's up to you to decide why they do this.

On the topic of Kakhova Hydroelectric Power Plant, there's a few things to say.

By Nicholas Jeevly

First of all, let's debunk the notion that Ukraine stands to gain ANYTHING from blowing up the dam.

For the past few months, the only territorial gains in Kherson Oblast have been for Ukraine, I don't even remember the last time Russia took any ground in this Oblast, it would have to have been somewhere around over 4 months ago. Releasing the waters of the dam would freeze military movement for a very large area of the

Oblast. Who does that benefit, the army that has been attacking and taking ground for the past few months, or the army standing in place? Obviously it benefits the army standing in place.

Secondly, Russia has shown that they seemingly intend to abandon all of their territory north/west of the Dnieper with the apparent exception of Kherson city itself. On October 21-22 Russian forces voluntarily abandoned their positions in Charivne and Chkalove. As in, they left them without Ukraine even having to conduct a counter-attack. Multiple pro-Russian sources have also been reporting on how Russian state media is setting information conditions to justify abandoning much of Kherson Oblast, and how the forces on the ground itself are also witnessing a large transfer of Russian military hardware away from the front lines in Kherson Oblast back south/east of the Dnieper.

This is likely to prevent a situation such as occurred at Izyum, where the Russian military/civilian information community was blindsided by the rapid Ukrainian offensive and subsequent capture of Russian military equipment. This not only impacted the military situation, but it happening so suddenly and, to the Russian side, suddenly drastically impacted morale. There was no excuse, no cope, that the Russian community could cling to because the Kremlin had not set such information conditions to justify the loss of that land. By the Kremlin beginning to set information conditions to justify the abandonment of much of Kherson Oblast, as well as transferring large pieces of military equipment which cannot be moved rapidly en masse, they are hoping to avoid the sort of morale and equipment losses that were suffered at Izyum. All of this is well-covered and sourced by the Institute for the Study of War's Russian Offensive Campaign Assessment reports from October 19-24. As usual the pro-Kremlin crowd will claim this is Western propaganda, but it would be funny if they did so since these reports largely rely on pro-Russian sources and simply repeat to a Western audience what the Russian speaking pro-Kremlin community is saying to themselves.

Thirdly, the dam breaking would further exacerbate Ukrainian energy issues as well as severely damage roughly 80 Ukrainian cities, as well as a large portion of Ukrainian territory that they are set to reclaim. Ukraine is on the offensive on this front and has been for the past 3 or so months, to severely damage such a large portion of their territory, national economy and infrastructure, to say nothing of their people, is absurd. To note also is this is not Donbas, the people here are overwhelmingly pro-Kyiv and pro-Ukraine. Russian occupational authorities have been plagued for months with Kherson city, having to quash local protests and import occupation officials as not enough can be found within the city. Pro-Russian sentiments in this region are under 10% of the population at least, perhaps even around 5%.

Fourthly and finally, as of October 24th Ukrainian military intelligence reported that Russian forces have not yet placed enough explosives to destroy the dam and release all the water, and have begun preparing Kherson city for urban combat. It seems their plan is to damage the dam/have enough explosives in place that if it looks like they will lose Kherson city itself they can destroy the dam by blowing what explosives they have planted as well as target it with missiles and artillery, as a way of covering their retreat and preventing a route that can bleed into southern Kherson Oblast, which they intend to hold at all costs as a buffer between the Ukrainian Armed Forces and Crimea, and as their largest swath of territory south/east of the Dnieper river.

MIKE THE KIKE

I was recently made aware of Mike Peinovich and Eric Striker having a conversation about Estonia, and my positions. I'll post the clip that was sent to me below. As usual they made sure not to actually mention my name,

although they did mention Greg Johnson, likely since he's already well known and I'm not. I find it interesting how they feel the need to reply to my arguments yet not acknowledge me.

So far I have only made it to 3:45 or so and feel the need to respond, since they have made such glaringly incompetent pro-Kremlin arguments.

I'll start off with Striker referring to Estonia as a skinny White punk in a violent prison. He, just like another TRS host before him, seeks to delegitimize Estonia's right to a sovereign and independent nation (Very, very White Nationalistic of you) by virtue of the fact that if Estonia and Russia went to war 1 vs 1, Estonia would lose.

To that I say this. Estonia has kin and friends who would stand by us just as we stood by them. Estonians fought in the Finnish War of Independence, The Winter War, and The Continuation War. Finns also fought in the Estonian War of Independence. Estonians and Latvians fought side by side during our respective wars of independence, which in reality was one combined war not two separate ones, as I covered in a previous post.

We have close relations with Finland, the Baltics, Poland, and Estonians are generally regarded very well across Eastern and Northern Europe. When we applied to NATO, no member vetoed our inclusion, which they could have done. So if Russia invaded Estonia, we would not have to fight alone, we would have kin and friends as willing to rush to our defence as we would be to theirs. Estonia has donated more military and financial aid to Ukraine than any other country when examined from a per-capita basis. During WW2 we fought valiantly for Europe, something Russia has never forgiven us for to this day.

So we would not fight alone. Does this, having family and friends who would join us in preserving our independence from a foe we could not defeat alone, invalidate our right to a sovereign and independent nation on our historical, ancestral homeland, where we can speak our unique language, practice our unique culture, and pursue our unique destiny?

Let me ask this question another way. There are tons of anti-fascists who would like to see Mike Peinovich dead. We have all seen Mike, I say this not to insult him, just like how you two did not wish to insult Estonians by referring to us, a people who have survived centuries of being in the middle of great powers, a people who survived being in the middle of The Great Northern War, The Northern Crusades, World War One, World War Two, and decades of Communist occupation to name just a few storms we have weathered, as a skinny punk, but Mike is overweight and has asthma. If he was attacked by a numerically superior gang of anti-fascists, if he was attacked by even just one of the many anti-fascists who still take militancy seriously, would he be able to defend himself, alone? Would he have to rely on his audience members being there to have his back?

I guess if Mike can't walk down Portland at night, alone, with a megaphone proclaiming his adoration of Adolf Hitler and survive, then he doesn't have a right to exist (Of course, none of us actually have the right to exist, rights are man-made. The only right we truly have is the right to struggle and fight for our continued existence.). And this is not a bad faith argument, because the hypothetical I just described is the exact situation Estonia is in, in the real world. Mike can hide in his gated Jewish community, go to behind closed doors events, and avoid the numerically superior gang of people who want him dead. Estonia cannot. We are in the open and right next to our mortal enemy, an enemy which will never forgive us for the sins of defeating them in our war of independence, siding with Germany's great crusade in the years 1941-45, utterly ratio'ing them on the eastern

front, and now daring to practice our own nationhood post-USSR just as we did pre-USSR. Estonia existing is to Russia what Mike walking down the streets of Portland proclaiming is adoration of Adolf Hitler is to antifa.

I would also like to note that the opponents of Russian imperialism in Europe are not the only ones who have to rely on outside help, Europe is standing with Ukraine, yes, both the governments of Western Europe which support a leftist agenda, and governments of Eastern Europe where homosexual marriage is outlawed, children are not prey to groomers etc. Russia has broken themselves on the rock that is Ukraine, and now have to import Iranian drones to continue their terroristic campaign against Ukrainian civilian infrastructure, which is all the Iranian drones are good for. Russia's advanced military hardware also relies on a lot of foreign parts which they can no longer receive. Estonia and Ukraine are not alone in requiring outside help to achieve their goals, even if our goals of survival as a unique people on our ancestral homeland are polar opposite from the Kremlin's goals of imperialism and expansion, as I thoroughly explained here, and briefly expanded upon here.

Indeed, for Mike to survive in America, and for Estonians to survive as a unique people, language, and culture, we must both form good relationships with others with whom we share bonds and interests. Which brings me to the specifics of the argument Mike makes. Keep in mind going forward that the TRS guys claim this is their greatest mind. Lol.

First Mike claims that he's "sorry Estonia couldn't have been Germany's bitch, that's how it was supposed to be". I wonder what expressing your desire that Germany won WW2 in the antifa separatist enclaves of Luhansk and Donetsk would get you. Just kidding, I don't wonder, it would get you killed, despite whatever claims of these enclaves being ethno-nationalist right wing states you and yours may make. Secondly, and this is a relatively meaningless aside, I spoke about the hypothetical of what a German victory in WW2 would mean for Estonia, and it's vastly superior to what ended up happening instead. I mention this only to bring up how I understand reality and am realistic about potential scenarios for Estonia all of which include coalitions.

Here is a quote from Mike in this clip:

(About Estonian Nationalists) "You have a small country, given this as a Nationalist, you should advocate for the policy that gives your country the most freedom and dignity and doesn't threaten the existence of your country and your race. And I think there are options on the table, much better, in fact I think you took the worst one. I think the worst option, for all the above, is to side with America."

It is quite clear that what he is saying is that siding with America is worse than siding with Russia. There is no other realistic option for Estonia. For those unfamiliar with Estonian history refer to some of these posts to give you a bit of context. I could just repeat all the content of these posts here, but that wastes my time and yours. If you are familiar with Estonian history and Estonian-Russian relations you can skip these posts as you will know what I am going to say is self-evidently true, if you are unfamiliar with Estonian history, Estonian-Russian relations, and/or support the Kremlin.

Mike mentions that maybe Hungary is the best option, trying to play both sides, but Mike, Hungary is not playing both sides. That alludes to Hungary being neutral, playing both sides equally. Hungary is not to the West and Russia what India is to America and China. Hungary is in NATO and Hungary does not share a border with Russia. The Hungarian option is not one Estonia can pursue. I stress again that Hungary is in NATO and so they can

pursue various domestic and foreign agendas safe in the knowledge that they belong to the most powerful military alliance in human history. Somehow, Hungary is also still White and traditional after almost 25 years in NATO, and have yet to receive a single fine from NATO for their immigration or LGBT policies, despite receiving many from the EU.

So what Mike is essentially saying is Russia is the better option for Estonia than NATO. But for all the reasons I listed above that is not true. Russia has done more harm to Estonian demographics and culture in one month than NATO membership has in 20 years. Estonia is still dealing with the fact that we, ethnic Estonians, are only 70% of our country because the communists shot and deported tens of thousands of Estonians and replaced them with hammer and sickle waving RUSSIANS, not jews. I say this to say that while yes we know the role of jews in the USSR, Striker's constant claims of the USSR being a jewish project 100% end of story rings so hollow in the ears of Europeans. When our kin were shot and deported they were not replaced with jews, but with Russians. It is blindingly obvious that the USSR merged jewish interests and Russian imperialism into one unholy entity. This is painfully clear when you consider Stalin's foreign policy in Europe. TRS loves to claim Stalin was a Russian Nationalist and patriot until they don't want to claim that. Stalin considered himself the heir of Russian leaders and compared himself to Tsars, and felt that all former Tsarist lands belonged to Soviet Russia. Russian. Imperialism.

The truly amazing part is Mike advocating that Estonia follow the.... CHECHEN ROUTE. And then Striker follows this up by validating my own worldview! In the words of Striker:

"The fact that there are Chechens who look like Russian Slavs is a testament to who is the dominant entity in that country."

Oh gee Striker, you mean to tell me that a large imperialist power conquering a foreign neighbour and assimilating them into their multiracial, multicultural empire results in the gradual disappearance of the local language, facial features, culture, and national identity? I had no idea. They didn't even need me to debunk their nonsense, they did it themselves in just a few seconds.

I can't help but notice from this fourth source the same sort of dark hypocrisy I mentioned before as evidence of Kremlin regurgitated rhetoric being nothing but window dressing for Russian imperialism. When the Baltics or Ukraine prioritize their native languages it's another Operation Barbarossa, but Russia does the same with their native language, except they force it on their imperial subjects whereas we just want it spoken in our home and native land.

Allow me to briefly give the facts. If Estonia was not in NATO, we would be occupied by Russia. Estonia cannot play the Hungarian game of joining NATO and then playing footsie with Russia, we are next door and they hate us. Russia would Russify the Baltics after their conquest. Estonians would cease to exist in any meaningful way in just a handful of years.

TIES BETWEEN THE NS AND STATE IN UKRAINE

I've mentioned before how the Ukrainian Nationalist community has strong ties with the Ukrainian military and state security apparatus. I'm not sure if I explained the specifics of how before, so I'll do so now.

When the conflict in Eastern Ukraine began really getting serious in 2014, the Ukrainian state was in total chaos. Not only was there not a President, but in fact there was not a single minister in government. All the leading government positions were vacant. The Ukrainian military numbered only 41'000, and many of those best troops were in fact away from Ukraine participating in various international operations such as Operation Atalanta, the International Security Assistance Force, the Kosovo Force, and a UN peacekeeping mission in the Congo. Of the 41'000 Ukrainian soldiers in 2014, only 6'000 were readily available for operations. This meant then when tens of thousands of Kremlin-backed Russian rebels began the hot-conflict, the Ukrainian state was in no position to readily fight them. Also, the Ukrainian state was full of Russian-sympathizers.

For example, the Security Service of Ukraine (SBU) operates their own elite counter-terrorism unit named Alpha Group. In April 2014, three members of Alpha Group were captured by the Russian rebels because some of their alleged comrades in arms tipped off the Russian separatists.

A former commander of an Alpha Group squad, Alexander Khodakovsky, defected to the Russian separatists and became the commander of the Vostok Battalion, and later became security minister of the DPR.

The SBU reported in early 2014 that 30% of their Alpha Group operatives in Donetsk and Luhansk were unaccounted for and believed to be fighting alongside the rebels.

In March the SBU reported that only 200 Alpha Group operatives remained active and loyal to Ukraine.

Those are just some examples of one elite Ukrainian state-security apparatus. It was by no means unrepresentative of the situation the state of Ukraine found themselves in. Ukraine was without a government, and with a rapidly fracturing military and security apparatus.

Enter Azov Battalion. Founded on May 6th, 2014, by various Ukrainian Nationalists, Azov immediately joined the fight on behalf of Kyiv and Ukraine. Various Ukrainian military and security professionals were simultaneously ashamed and enheartened to see Ukrainian patriots, without their professional training, putting their lives on the line for Ukraine. As the war progressed, Azov and Ukrainian military/security professionals worked hand in hand during the conflict. Azov did not simply take part, they made an impact.

I won't go over every battle in detail, but Azov participated in the First Battle of Mariupol, Second Battle of Mariupol, Battle of Ilovaisk, Battle of Novoazovsk, Battle of Shyrokyne etc.

Most of these battles were victories, some were defeats, as happens in war, but the point here is that Azov spent significant time in battle alongside the Ukrainian military and security services. The bonds formed between men in war are the strongest non-familial bonds there are.

Important to note is this: because Ukraine only had a small core of loyal and professional troops and security officials, those who were active in 2014 and onwards got promoted through the ranks very quickly as the Ukrainian military and security services grew and grew. In 2014 the Ukrainian military numbered 41'000. In 2022,

it numbered around 400'000. With so many new enlistees between 2014-2022, the pre-existing professionals simply HAD to be promoted to be able to handle the influx of new soldiers. This means that the men Azov formed bonds with during the conflict are not just grunts, but officers of the military and security services.

I have never said that the Ukrainian military is an NS military, or that the Ukrainian security services are NS security services, but I feel very confident in saying that somewhere around 15% of the Ukrainian military and security apparatus are either NS, or NS-sympathetic. I have shown how National Socialism in Ukraine is borderline omnipresent.

Why would any good-faith Nationalist want the largest and most well-connected Nationalist community in the Western world liquidated and replaced with a regime where no real Nationalist organizations are permitted to exist? The only 2 explanations is extreme stupidity (low-IQ contrarianism) or malice (on the Kremlin's payroll).

KREMLIN COPES MORE

I've been reading a few Kremlin shill infested chats, particularly Joel Davis' chat which is full of them (the unpaid variety), and it is truly incredible to see the coping. Essentially the main cope is this:

"Russia is creating a strong defensive line, Russia is stabilizing a front line in a tactically correct way, Russia will be back in Kherson soon."

I even saw someone say Kherson is minor compared to... Bakhmut, a city with less than 1/4th of the population of the port city of Kherson which control the entrance to the Dnieper River. Incredible.

Effectively even pro-Kremlin shills cannot continue the claim that Russia is a successful invading force, in order to uphold Kremlin prestige they must frame Russian victories in defensive terms. Russia can no longer be expected to perform large successful offensives, that has been the domain of Ukraine for the past 4 months. Now the best they can hope for is to say Russia is constructing strong defensive lines... in a country they invaded... in a country their state-ran propaganda told their people they would overrun in days...

We get so caught up in the details of which village was just taken, which division is where, that I think it behooves us to take a breath and see this from a big-picture perspective.

Before the February 2022 Russian offensive, many in the world considered Russia the second most powerful military in the world, lot's of Russian fanboys thought it was the first. I myself seriously overestimated Russian military capability, and this from somebody who said publicly during the first days of the invasion when Russia was still taking large swaths of territory that Russia would never succeed in:

1. Taking Kyiv
2. Deposing the Ukrainian government

3. Demilitarizing or "Denazifying" the Ukrainian state

When viewed in isolation, or when compared to the Ukrainian Armed Forces, the Russian military was considered a superpower that would steamroll Ukraine. In fact, in the first day of the war I remember reading a story where a Ukrainian government official went to the US Embassy and asked for massive arms shipments, and one US official reportedly remarked "Who will we deliver them to? You won't have a government in 72 hours." All in all, Russia was considered an almighty military force.

Now, the entire world is accepting the fact that Russia is not only incapable of defeating the Ukrainian state, but is incapable of taking the city of Kharkiv, a city that is 30 minutes from the Russian border.

There is some more coping from the Kremlin bootlickers in the West about how this is "only a reputational loss" which doesn't mean anything. But this is a Western perspective, where the reputations of our politicians do not mean anything.

However, in Russia, country ruled by an authoritarian regime which is meant to be perceived by the population as a strong, capable hand, perceptions of weakness is LETHAL. The entire system is built upon the premise of strength. Without it, to say the system is on shaky legs is an understatement. Russians accepted a lot of the authoritarian rule of Putin because he was seen as restoring Russia's prestige on the world stage, and quality of life within Russia (yes Russia does not have a high quality of life when compared to Western countries like Estonia, Belgium etc., but it is much higher than it was in the 90's). However, as Russia is embarrassed on the world stage, as Russian family after Russian family loses their husbands, brothers, sons, nephews, cousins in order to conquer a people they have been taught all their life is a fraternal people, as their quality of life decreases to feed the war machine that is eating their young men, the entire justification of Putin's regime comes into question.

This entire war, not ONCE have I claimed that Russia is close to a potential coup/revolution/state disintegration scenario. Russians are used to a hard, miserable, shit life. We saw that the war increased Putin's approval ratings, as measured by non-Kremlin shill sources too. I mention this to say that I do not say this lightly at all.

Russia is closer to a scenario in which their state dissolves than they have ever been in since the Soviet breakup.

There are many factors at play here, and therefore sometime in the near future I will dedicate a post to explaining these factors, and explaining why even though the chances of Russian state disintegration are still small, they are larger than ever, and most worryingly to Russian government officials, these factors are not slowing down or even stopping, every single factor is continuing to be aggravated and get worse (from their perspective).

Exciting times, who knows what a breakup of the Russian state would mean for Europe. For Russia it would mean intense sectarian violence among every line you can imagine, think breakup of Yugoslavia multiplied by 10. It would also mean a resurgence of Russian Ethno-Nationalism, as there is no longer an authoritarian antifa regime oppressing them. It would likely mean pro-Azov Russian fighters, alongside Ukrainian fighters, taking the fight to

Russia to establish NS communities and fight the muslim and non-White hordes of Russia. That's enough for now, I will get to all that when I make my post on the potential coming disintegration of the Russian state.

The Coming Collapse of the Russian State

So, let's talk about the potential coming collapse of Russia, as I mentioned in a previous post.

When the war began, Kremlin propaganda began showing maps of Europe divided into various schizophrenic pre-20th century borders. There is actually a bit to say about that alone. Russia is, more than perhaps any other country on the planet, stuck in the past. It's a recurring theme with Russia. The present in Russia is always shitty and depressing, so they like to look back to previous eras of their history which they paint as better times in their mind, but which in fact were also shitty and depressing. Right now they miss 1970's-80's Russia, and in a few decades they will miss Putin's Russia, and so on. When examining Russian propaganda, literature, and just Russian society in general, you will notice a longing for the past is always present. Just a side effect of living in a shitty country I think, you build a heaven in your mind to escape reality.

Anyway, in return our side started making carved up maps of Russia, and talking about Russian collapse. Throughout this war I have never stated Russia is on the verge of collapse, and it still isn't. However, as time has gone I have observed various state-destabilizing trends get aggravated to the point that I have to discuss it. Here's my central thesis, and then I'll get into it.

The Russian state is not on the verge of collapse, however various individual trends that each alone destabilize states like Russia are continuing to get worse and worse, they are not being addressed, and in large parts they cannot even be addressed. As time goes on without change, a Russian state collapse becomes more and more likely, even if the % of that happening has only increased from 1%, to 2%, to 4% the fact is the trend is going in a bad direction for the Kremlin.

The cause for alarm for the Kremlin is not that the chances of state collapse are only a few percentage points higher, it's that the trend is against them.

The first trend I want to discuss is the demographics issue. Russia has had a terrible demographics problem for decades, honestly since 1941 (😊). During the invasion of Ukraine, Russia has lost over 1 million people, overwhelmingly young men and families. What do I mean by this exactly?

Putting aside the casualties suffered in the war, according to Georgian President Salome Zourabichvili over 700'000 Russians have entered Georgia after the mobilization order, with 600k leaving for various other countries and 100k staying in Georgia. That's just one country, and does not include other countries, and the actual military aged males who have died or lost their reproductive organs in injuries suffered during the war.

As time goes on, Russia will lose more fertile young men in battle and in emigration, more families will flee, and most will not return. Of the hundreds of thousands of young men who have left Russia, I'm sure many planned to return one day. But then they meet a girl, or they get a good job, or they like the local scenery as a nice change

of pace from the apocalyptic and depressing scenery known as the entirety of Russia outside of St. Petersburg and Moscow. That is an insane aggravation to the already deeply troubled Russian demographic crises.

The big picture is this: in the midst of a terrible Russian demographic crises, Russia lost over 1 million citizens, primarily young men and families, in a matter of months, and will continue to lose young fertile men at a higher rate than it has since WW2.

The second trend is the loss of reputation that Putin and the Kremlin has tried so hard to instill domestically and internationally.

Most Westerners would hand wave this away, because in the Western world our politicians are open whores and their reputations mean nothing. However, this is a Western perspective, not a Russian one or an authoritarian one. Russia is a state built on a premise of strength. Putin is supposed to be perceived as a strong leader who brought dignity and respect to Russia after the humiliation of the 1990's. Most Russians accepted Putin's rule becoming more and more authoritarian as it also brought on a higher standard of living (compared to the 90's) and returned Russia to the world stage in a leading role. Now, however, Russia is being humiliated by the Western world on a level not really seen since WW1. The allegedly second most powerful military in the world is incapable of taking a city that is 30 minutes from their border (Kharkiv), is getting humiliated by NATO resources and logistics, is getting expelled from international events, and to top it off Russian families are continuously losing their sons, brothers, fathers, husbands etc. in exchange for... a sliver of Eastern Ukraine.

This puts Putin in an extremely difficult situation, as he needs major gains to make the losses the Russian nations has suffered worth it, but in order to have a chance of making those major gains he needs to keep taking losses by continuing a war whose initial goals clearly failed. He can't afford to stop, but he also can't afford to keep going.

The big picture is this: the Kremlin is stuck in a vicious feedback loop where the more losses they suffer, the more gains they need to justify it, which will result in more losses suffered, both of which undermine the very justification of Putin's authoritarian regime.

The third trend is the looting of the Russian internal state security services for the war in Ukraine.

This goes far beyond the one example I sourced here, it's something that has been happening for months to various segments of the internal security service establishment. Just at a time when Russian society is becoming more rebellious than it has in decades (think molotov cocktail attacks against recruitment centers, draft dodging, soldiers refusing to return to the front lines), at the same time the Kremlin is looting security services for military aged men to send to Ukraine. This has a snowball effect. The worse the war goes, the more discontented Russian society becomes, and the need for looting security services to send to the frontlines increases. Russia is an authoritarian society that keeps the patchwork coalition of ethnic, cultural, and religious groups known as the Russian Federation together via a strong centralized police state and authority. Looting that police state of its experienced and trained professionals to send to the frontline is a desperate and dangerous gambit for obvious reasons. Right now the anti-war protests and sabotage are minor enough that the Kremlin can afford this, but if segments of Russian society become more rebellious and volatile, the Kremlin may rue this decision to loot the security services.

The big picture is this: just as Russian society is becoming more rebellious than it has been in years, the Kremlin is looting its experienced and professional state security agents to go die in a foreign war.

The fourth trend is as old as war itself - military defeat and the resulting economic fallout. From Roman wars to the 21st century, a state losing a war, badly, always destabilizes the nation. The prestige fallout is an addition to trend #2, so I will just touch on the economic fallout here.

War is expensive, and when you lose you just poured the state treasury down the drain. According to Forbes, Russia has spent over 82 billion US dollars on the war in Ukraine, over a quarter of it's annual budget, and as time goes on they will have to spend even more annually. In spring 2022, Russia made 1 billion Euros a day from energy revenue, but they will never make that again. I won't go into the minutia on Russian gas and energy revenues, but after December when the G7 price limit kicks in Russian state revenues will take another kick from the West. Western sanctions have a ripple effect across Russian energy revenues. China and India for example are paying less for Russian energy products than ever before, and there's nothing Russia can do to stop that. European sanctions equal Asian discounts, Russia loses in both scenarios.

The big picture is this: just as the Russian state is spending more money than ever, they are also making less money than ever.

I could list other trends, but these four major trends illustrate my point quite well. While the Kremlin is not on the verge of collapse, there are various destabilizing trends which are only getting more and more aggravated as time goes on:

In the midst of a terrible Russian demographic crises, Russia lost over 1 million citizens, primarily young men and families, in a matter of months, and will continue to lose young fertile men at a higher rate than it has since WW2.

The Kremlin is stuck in a vicious feedback loop where the more losses they suffer, the more gains they need to justify it, which will result in more losses suffered, both of which undermine the very justification of Putin's authoritarian regime.

Just as Russian society is becoming more rebellious than it has been in years, the Kremlin is looting its experienced and professional state security agents to go die in a foreign war.

Just as the Russian state is spending more money than ever, they are also making less money than ever.

Russia is not going to collapse tomorrow, it isn't going to collapse in the next 6 months, but the trend of the Russian state is one of destabilization, and that should worry the Kremlin. When you want to predict the future, you never assume the future is going to be like the present. You examine the trends of the present and ask what they will look like in the future. The longer the disastrous Russian invasion of Ukraine continues, the worse things

will get for the Kremlin, and at this point they have already spent too many resources to radically alter the paradigm. All they can hope to do now is grind down Ukraine before Ukraine grinds down Russia.

Russia is now in a war of attrition with the entire Western world, and they better hope their superior manpower reserves can prove victorious before even more Russian men and families flee, because a larger population that can be sent to the front is literally the only resource Russia has more of than Ukraine and the West in a long term war of attrition. The fact that Russia has more tanks and armoured vehicles at this point is no longer a factor, Ukraine can get just as many anti armour weapons as Russia has armour.

Dark days for the Kremlin right now.

AZOV IN ISRAEL?

Let's talk about the recent Association of Families of Defenders of Azovstal's trip to Israel which the Kremlin bootlickers are all over. It's become their newest argument against supporting Ukraine. However, it reveals a shockingly immature mind, which is hilarious because these people love to pat themselves on the backs about how intelligent and far-reaching their minds are.

The Kremlin bootlickers claim this is proof positive that Azov is an American/CIA/jewish creation. There is one very, very simple refutation to this claim. When they claim this, simply ask them this question:

If Azov is a creation and tool of America, why has the American Congress once again blocked any military aid or training from being transferred to Azov? It seems that the American Congress, which has blocked direct military aid and training to Azov since 2018, still thinks of Azov as having "Demonstrable White Supremacist associations". That's the first thing you need to bring up when the Kremlin supporters raise this argument.

But let's talk about the actual trip itself, what I think about it, and why it actually betrays the extreme dishonesty and immature of the pro-Kremlin crowd. What do I think about this trip? Obviously I don't like it, but this isn't the first time Azov has tried to use jews and jewish arguments as a shield with a specific goal in mind. You may recall back during the Siege of Mariupol, an Azov twitter account likened the defenders to Anne Frank, and Putin to Hitler... immediately followed by a request for more Western military aid, a potential no-fly zone, and other aid for Ukraine. This time some of their associates went to Israel a few days before American Congress was set to finalize their 2023 appropriations bill for Ukraine. If anything this shows an awareness of who really runs American Congress, they went to Israel to try to claim they are "not National Socialists so please stop blocking us from getting direct access to your weaponry and training programs".

But there's another argument to make against these Kremlin bootlickers as well. Why is the bar so high for Azov to be real White Nationalists, but so low for them? In their arguments, for Azov to be legitimate White Nationalists, they need to begin assassinating politicians, refusing to take high level weaponry, refuse their Kyiv government paid salaries, give up their tanks, rocket systems, javelins, artillery etc. But what do these people advocating this have to do in return? Oh.. be anti-semitic online. Use anonymous usernames. Hide behind avatars.

A perfect example of this is Jazzhands from The Right Stuff. He slanders Azov for using American weaponry to fight a foreign invader from the safety of his anonymous username and profile. Apparently for Azov to prove themselves as real White Nationalists, they need to make themselves less combat effective against the Russian invaders. Really curious how all these TRS and co talking points always lead back to one constant: benefitting the Kremlin.

Hey "Jazzhands", since the ADL once said Azov is "no longer far-right", maybe you can ask the boss of your Nationalist organization who was married to a Chabad Lubavitch jew who wrote glowing reviews of drag shows while Mike pretended she was a based White Nationalist to put in a good word with American Congress and get Azov taken off the ban list for American weaponry and training programs?

Ask them if these people if their country was under attack from a foreign invader which rapes your women, tortures your children, puts up statues to your nation's oppressors, denies the genocides they perpetuated, seeks to destroy their national identity etc. if they would give up having the chance to fight back with tanks, rockets and artillery so they can get a pat on the back from some kid on the other side of the world who has never even had a bullet fired in his direction, much less engaged in war with the Russian military.

Furthermore, as always these people avoid the core reason, as I see it, to support Ukraine. Ukraine is a White country, Russia isn't. In Ukraine, pro-White organizations can flourish openly, in Russia every single one to ever exist is banned. In Ukraine, its White Nationalist summer camps that get government funding. In Russia, it's anti-fascist conferences which get government funding.

I admit, as I have several times before, that when an Azov twitter account likens Putin to Hitler, or when an Azov related civil family association visits Israel on the eve of the incoming American appropriations bill, it makes me uncomfortable. It's easy for me to purity spiral when I am not the one in a war, and it's easy for the pro-Kremlin spergs to judge Azov for trying to get removed from the American arms and training ban list when they haven't been the ones burying their comrades, brothers, sisters, friends, and family for the past 10 months.

So, this is how you respond to the Kremlin bootlickers when they bring this trip up.

1. So if Azov is a tool how come they have been blocked from receiving American military aid and training programs for the past 5 years?
2. You think Azov is fake because they are in the Ukrainian National Guard? If you had the chance to fight off an invasion with tanks, would you choose to give up the tanks so some kid on the other side the planet thinks better of you?
3. You're saying Ukraine is ZOG right? So why can White Nationalism flourish in Ukraine and be oppressed into non-existence in Russia? Is ZOG pro WN now?

4. It's easy for you to judge Azov for attempting to get removed from Congress' weapons and training ban list when you haven't been burying your family, kin, and friends every single day for the past 10 months. To say nothing of the casualties suffered in the previous 8 almost 9 years.

There really is no White Nationalistic argument to make in support of Russia, when Ukraine is Whiter, more Nationalistic, Russia is strengthening NATO, National Socialism is omnipresent within the Ukrainian military and security services, the Russian government hosts antifa conferences, and the only real Russian Nationalists are fighting with Ukraine, while the rest of them fly Soviet and antifa flags.

There is no argument to support Russia, but plenty to support Ukraine.

From Ultras Not Reds:

Guys, we clear some things up, we get some a lot of messages about why an organisation connected with Azov sent a delegation to Israel, I'll try to explain this as best as possible.

Organisation "defenders of Avostal families" recently sent a delegation on a public relations trip to Turkey, America, Europe, Saudi Arabia and Israel to showcase a documentary about Azovstal and spread awareness about release of Mariupol defenders. Of course we don't agree with this and have to strongly condemn this, especially Israel, being no friend of Ukraine and the white race, on the comments of the post is many disappointed militants and former volunteers, after all Israel doesn't even recognise the holodomor as genocide and Jewish journalists have spent years trying to undermine the regiment.

Now Azov is not what it used to be, Azov started as small unit with 300 guys from Odessa and Kharkiv mainly with strong radical politics, since a lot has changed, Azov is one of the biggest military formations in Ukraine, Maybe around 10-15k soldiers, we never say Azov itself is an openly NS or fascist regiment, in fact now probably only 25% of soldiers and volunteers hold radical and fascist views, still, this is more than you'd find in a military formation anywhere else in the world.

The fact is - Azov is the only place an openly fascist or NS could go to learn military skills, you would not be allowed in your own country's military nor FFL or anything else. Military skills and discipline you learn in the army and Battle conditions will be much needed for the coming struggles of our race, much more than political discussion and arguments on telegram.

Since the war other military units like MD and Nord Storm have formed which is good to see.

We had many comrades, friends and some of our guys from Madrid who fought in the battalion over the years and risked their lives, we are disappointed in this latest political stunt by some outsiders and spokespersons who have never stepped foot inside a trench or had to dodge incoming artillery attacks but our support for Azov and Ukraine will never change.

We hope it clears some things up, thanks 88

The End of Russia?

The big picture here is how we are watching the Putin regime nail the coffin shut on the White Russian population.

- Russia has been suffering one of the most severe demographic crises' in the world for the past few decades. Birth rates are far, far below replacement. In 2020 it was estimated that there were 1.5 births per Russian woman, far below the 2.1 replacement rate. However, when factoring in the fact that the muslim and Asian regions have a far higher birthrate than the White regions, this number is truly shocking.

- In February 2022, Vladimir Putin launched an invasion of Ukraine with 190'000 military personnel from the Russian Federation, plus roughly 50'000 "soldiers" from the DPR and LPR. This put 240'000 Russians at risk of death, and loss of reproductive organs before reproduction.

- September 21, 2022, Vladimir Putin announces the mobilization of 300'000 men, allegedly. I say allegedly because in the document it says the exact number is classified. When including the initial invasion force, this places a total of 540'000 Russians at risk of death. No, those are not all frontline combat personnel, but supporting an active war effort within an active warzone significantly increases your chance of death or serious injury preventing reproduction. We recently saw Ukraine kill three Russian soldiers at Engels Air Force base over 600km away from Ukraine. No Russian military personnel sent to fight Ukraine is safe.

- February to December, 2022, over 1 million Russians leave Russia. Georgia alone counted over 700'000 Russian passports passing through their borders. These 1 million Russians are overwhelmingly men of military age and young families. Also, they are overwhelmingly White Russians as mobility requires wealth. This is not speculation, there are plenty of videos from the Russian-Georgian border, those are White Russians fleeing the Russian Federation. Not Asians. Not Muslims. White Christian Russians.

- February to September, 2022, roughly 116'000 Tajiks are granted Russian citizenship. Russia announces the simplifying of immigration into Russia from Central Asian countries.

So, in the midst of suffering an extreme demographic crises, in the midst of Muslims and Asians within the Russian Federation outbreeding White Russians at a shocking rate, Vladimir Putin has placed 540'000 Russians in an active warzone, caused another 1'000'000 to flee the country, and granted citizenship to 116'000 Tajiks alone while making it easier than ever for Central Asians to immigrate to Russia... all in less than one calendar year. In 10 months really.

None of this was an inevitability. Russia is a poor country but it's a rich state, the energy revenues they generate are enormous. But those revenues go overwhelmingly into the pockets of oligarchs and government officials, and the military. And then the funds sent to the military get skimmed and pocketed all the way down the chain, all for claims of requiring defense from a defensive alliance, though in reality for Imperialist wars.

We are watching the death of White Russians in real time. We are watching so called White Nationalists cheer on Russia permanently destroying their White population in order to destroy the largest Nationalist community in the world. In a few years, maybe 5, maybe 15, these so called White Nationalists will suddenly look around and ask what happened to White Russians.

Vladimir Putin and his multiracial authoritarian order banning every single White Nationalist group to ever exist in Russia, including organizations specifically formed to push back against Islam and Central Immigration (DPNI), sending their slaves to die in imperialist wars, simplifying and expanding Central Asian immigration, stuffing their pockets with state revenue, causing White Russians to flee their despot of a state, is what happened. And they cheered it every step of the way. Ironic.

1918. 1991. 2022. The years in which Imperial Russia, Soviet Russia, and White Russia died.

I am going to be doing more research and reporting on Putin's genocide of White Russians, but there are two sources I wanted to include in this investigation for now.

1. Despite claims to the contrary, the Kremlin is not intentionally or accidentally using non-ethnic Russians as cannon fodder in an attempt to shield ethnic Russians from casualties in Ukraine.
2. It could be far, far worse than what I reported above. I am talking up to 3 million extra lost ethnic Russians than I reported earlier, for a total of FOUR MILLION.

RUSSIAN OFFENSIVE CAMPAIGN ASSESSMENT, DECEMBER 9 by Institute For the Study of War

An independent open-source investigation by BBC's Russia service and independent Russia outlet Mediazona offered a series of observations on the nature of losses suffered by Russian troops in Ukraine. The BBC confirmed the deaths of 10,000 Russian soldiers in Ukraine based on open-source records and noted that over 400 of the deceased were soldiers called up by partial mobilization.[9] This number notably does not encapsulate the actual scale of Russian losses in Ukraine and reflects only those whose deaths are confirmable in the open source. The BBC investigation found that Russia's Krasnodar Krai had the highest number of confirmed losses (428 dead), followed by Dagestan (363 dead), and Buryatia (356 dead).[10] In comparison, BBC only found 54 confirmed deaths from Moscow, which by itself makes up 9% of the population of Russia.[11] BBC concluded that although citizens of national republics (such as Dagestan, Buryatia, Altai, and Bashkortostan) are sent to the front and die in combat at higher rates than citizens of ethnically Russian regions, in absolute terms, ethnic Russians comprise the majority of Russian military deaths, and their proportion of the military dead is approximately equal to their proportion in the overall Russian population.[12] BBC concluded that this finding suggests that discrepancies in Russian force generation efforts therefore fall along regional and territorial lines as opposed to predominantly ethnic lines and noted that military service is seen as the only lifeline in regions on Russia's economic periphery where social mobility is greatly restrained.[13] As ISW has previously observed, the impacts of force generation have been firmly siloed on a regional basis, which further breaks down along overlapping ethnic and socioeconomic lines.[14] The BBC investigation partially contradicts ISW's previous assessments that the Kremlin was attempting to shield the ethnic Russian population from the war by drawing disproportionately on minority regions. ISW has no basis for questioning this conclusion.

The BBC investigation also found that both elite units and officers have suffered substantial losses in Ukraine. The BBC reported that the Special Forces of the Main Directorate of the General Staff of the Russian Armed Forces (GRU Spetsnaz) has suffered 250 confirmed losses, nearly 25% of whom were officers, which in the case of some individual Spetsnaz units exceeds cumulative losses over 10 years of Russian operations in Chechnya.[15] The BBC additionally identified 1,509 confirmed officer deaths- or 15% of the 10,002 identified losses.[16] The losses accrued by elite units and the Russian officer cadre will have significant and generational ramifications for the Russian military.

Nearly 4M Russians Left Russia in Early 2022 – FSB by The Moscow Times

More than 3.8 million Russians have left the country in the first three months of 2022, according to data from Russia's Federal Security Service (FSB) published this week.

A total of 3,880,679 Russians traveled for work, business, tourism and private reasons between January and March.

Former Soviet countries saw significant spikes in arrivals after Russia invaded Ukraine on Feb. 24. Russians who fled out of opposition to the war were joined by those escaping rumored border closures, martial law and mass mobilization that have so far not materialized in the 72-day war.

It's unclear how many of these Russians have since returned to their home country.

Georgia accepted 38,281 Russians in the first quarter of 2022, the FSB said, a nearly fivefold jump from the 8,504 Russians accepted over the same time last year.

A nearly fivefold increase was also seen in Tajikistan, where 40,054 Russians arrived this January-March compared with 8,857 in January-March 2021.

Estonia saw a fourfold increase (125,426) compared with last year (29,364). Fellow Baltic states Latvia (25,568 compared with 13,521) and Lithuania (48,197 compared with 41,838) saw slight increases.

Armenia saw a threefold jump of 134,129 arriving Russians from 44,586 over the same time last year.

The FSB reported a similar threefold jump for arrivals in Uzbekistan at 53,084 this year compared with 15,206 in 2021.

The number of Russians arriving in Kazakhstan doubled to 204,947 in January-March 2022 from 122,330.

The FSB reported a slight uptick in Russians traveling to war-torn Ukraine — 328,435, up from 316,286 in January-March 2021.

Egypt (351,926), Turkey (363,849) and the United Arab Emirates (263,519), which did not join Western airspace closures, were popular tourist destinations for Russians.

The highest number of arriving Russians were reported in Abkhazia (744,548), which is internationally recognized as part of Georgia.

The human rights organization Perviy Otdel reported this week that FSB agents have started asking the relatives of Russians who have fled the country to persuade the new emigres to return.

The reason the 4 million number is not one that usually gets shared when discussing emigration from Russia as a result of the February 2022 invasion is that this is not official emigration, it's a convenient 1.5x - 5x increase in vacations for Russians... right after the February 2022 invasion.

Anecdotal reports from across Europe is that Eastern Europe, specifically Budapest for example, have been flooded with Russian men and Ukrainian women and children.

I will continue to investigate, but it seems the demographic crises Russia has been suffering for decades pales in comparison to calendar 2022 year all by itself.

Will some of these Russians return? Yes. Is there a high likelihood that many won't? Also yes, if they can swing it. Visas aren't so easy for Russians these days.

I do feel comfortable saying that White Russia has been sacrificed on the altar of Kremlin imperialism. Mobility requires wealth, these are almost certainly overwhelmingly White Russians taking these convenient "vacations".

240'000 Russians were placed in a combat zone against a nation of over 40 million being supplied with NATO weaponry, ammunition, and logistics.

3.8 million Russians took a very convenient "vacation" in first quarter 2022.

Another 300'000 Russians at least got added to the combat zone during "partial mobilization", for a total of 540'000 Russians in the death zone known as the "Special Military Operation".

Another 1 million Russians fled Russia after "partial mobilization", overwhelmingly White young men and families. Georgia alone counted over 700'000.

Mobility and travel requires wealth. The majority of those who fled will be from the economic prosperous (by Russian standards) regions of Russia - the White regions.

119'000 Tajiks were granted Russian citizenship in a matter of a few months. This is on top of the fact that

The Muslim and Asian regions of Russia continue to have the highest birthrates in the Russian Federation. In 2018, before millions of Russians either fled or got sent into the meatgrinder, Russia was already on track to be 33% Muslim by 2030. What do you think the trend is now?

Here is an incredibly good article on the numbers of immigrants from Central Asia to Russia in the year 2020 alone, the year of Covid and reduced travel ability, and some final thoughts.

Central Asian Migration to Russia: Legalization in 2020 by Voices On Central Asia

Some highlights:

-In 2020, Tajikistan overtook Kazakhstan on the list of countries whose citizens received Russian passports in 2020, coming second behind only Ukraine. Last year, a record number of Tajiks—more than 63,000—received citizenship of the country where many of them have been working for three decades.

-Thus, while the pandemic may have impacted the number of migrants in Russia, the fact remains that in 2020, the Russian Federation provided a record number of passports to new citizens—almost 660,000!

-Here is a look at the official migration figures published by the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Russian Federation, which shows some interesting trends for Central Asians in Russia.

-Some 145,000 people from Central Asia became citizens of the Russian Federation in 2020. This is about 20,000 more than a year earlier.

-In Russia, a prospective citizen must get a residence permit before attaining Russian citizenship. In 2020, almost 225,000 foreign citizens received their first Russian residence permit (Vid na Zhitelstvo), which is 40,000 more than the previous year. This growth was mainly due to Central Asians, in particular citizens of Tajikistan, who led the pack with almost 50,000 residence permits. Ukrainians received fewer than 37,000, followed by Kazakhstanis and Uzbekistanis at about 25,000 each.

-Since the end of 2019, the Russian residence permit has been issued with no expiration date (previously, this document had to be renewed every five years). If there is no strong imperative to do so, the holders of this document no longer need to spend time and energy on the stressful process of obtaining citizenship, which was probably the intent of the new rule.

-In 2021, Russia lowered the quotas for the number of foreign citizens who could receive an RVP by 35%. Whereas in 2020 there were more than 60,000 such permits available, in 2021 there will be just 40,000. That being said, there is also a category of foreign citizens who can apply for RVP without being limited by the quota.

-Nevertheless, more than 2.3 million people—many of them Central Asians—managed to enter Russia in 2020, whether before the borders were closed in the spring of 2020 or after their opening.

-In 2020, 145,000 Central Asian residents of Russia received Russian passports. Almost 400,000 more live in Russia on residence permits and can potentially apply for Russian citizenship in the future. Millions of young workers are looking for work in Russia.

-What do these numbers suggest? Does it look like a mass migration from the Central Asian region? Yes. Will Central Asia lose its population? No.

Demographics in Central Asia are still coping with massive population outflows due to the high birth rate in the region, but it is obvious that more massive diasporas of immigrants from Central Asia are already appearing in Russia, given the number of those who work in Russia legally and illegally. We will learn about the total number of Russians of Central Asian origin next year, when the results of the census, which is scheduled for this fall, will be made available.

I encourage you to read the entire article, as it contains interactive graphs that can't be copy and pasted onto telegram without me taking a couple dozen screenshots.

I cannot understate this, in the past few years but especially in 2022, we saw Vladimir Putin and his cronies sacrifice White Russia on the altar of Kremlin expansionism, in the name of Denazification, with either the intent or effect of liquidating the largest Nationalist community in the Western world.

But why am I talking about this so much?

As some of you who have been with me from the start, or have read my opening message for my channel, I created this channel because I was disgusted and disappointed with the prevalence of Kremlin propaganda within the Nationalist community, particularly the Anglosphere community. I have made many arguments in support of Ukraine, I have debunked many Kremlin myths and propaganda campaigns, all with the intent and hope that many White Nationalists will come to understand the reality of the Russian Federation and its impact on the White race, and stop supporting its imperialist campaigns and anti-White domestic policy.

This is just another arrow in our quiver when we debate Kremlin shills within our community.

If Ukraine is the representation of ZOG and the system that means the death of our race, if Russia is home to a better system, whose expansion will benefit our race, why is it that White Russians are rapidly being replaced by specific Kremlin policy, and yet Ukrainians are not being replaced on nearly the same level?

None of this was inevitable. All of this is a result of Kremlin policy.

It cannot be understated, in the past few years and especially in 2022, we saw Vladimir Putin nail the coffin of White Russia shut.

How any intelligent, good faith White Nationalist can think that this system where internally every single White Nationalist organization is banned, Central Asians with a ridiculously high birthrate are imported by the hundreds of thousands every year, and is home to a rapidly growing population of over 25 million Muslims, conquering Ukraine, a racially homogenous country of over 40 million Europeans, would benefit our race is absolutely beyond belief.

When one understands the reality of Russia, Ukraine, and the world, one can only be stupefied and bewildered by a White Nationalist coming to this conclusion.

Eric Striker from The Right Stuff / National Justice Party preemptively justifying Russia attacking another homogenous European country

.... which would result in war between NATO and Russia and the deaths of many more Whites, though certainly Russia would lose, badly. TRS and NJP have made it clear, to them what matters more is not Nationalism or the White race, it's whether or not you're obeisant to Kremlin foreign policy.

These types, Striker included and especially, love to promote the work of American Professor John Mearsheimer (hereafter JM, that's a long last name to repeat over and over). I have listened to many hours of JM's lectures and debates, probably at least 12 hours total. They quote JM in relation to the Ukraine situation because JM's superficial central thesis is that America, not Russia, is to blame for the situation in Ukraine. Like I said I am familiar with JM's work having listened to at least a dozen hours of him explaining and debating his worldview.

I naturally have many issues with his worldview, but the most important one for our community is that Mearsheimer, while he speaks a lot about Nationalism, and in fact he says in his speeches that "Ultra-Nationalists have a lot of power within the Ukrainian state", he does not even pretend to argue from a philosophically Nationalist point of view, much less a racist point of view. The debate within our community is not abstract, at least it shouldn't be, it is about what is best for our community. Both sides argue from this point of view, in which case JM's work is interesting but doesn't, or at least shouldn't, impact our debate. We are not NATO, Russian Federation, EU, or any of the governments playing great power politics, we should be laser focused on what impact these events have on our community, and what the best outcome is.

The reason I italicized "superficial" above is because that is only the surface level of JM's thought process in relation to Ukraine. The reason he believes that Ukraine should have been "left alone by NATO and the EU" is that Ukraine could easily lead (in his estimation) to a nuclear conflict. In other words, he thinks America should leave Ukraine alone because it could create a conflict between NATO and the Russian Federation which turns nuclear.

By Striker preemptively justifying a Russian attack on military bases in Poland, he is directly spitting in the face of/entirely contradicting the main point that JM tries to make in his Ukraine content, which Striker and his circle

constantly promote! They hold up JM as one of the best minds to listen to in regards to Ukraine, but if JM heard this comment he would disavow and refute Striker's words in the strongest of terms.

Also interesting to note, both in regards to JM's work and the fact that TRS/NJP loves to share it, is that JM holds the exact opposite view on Taiwan as he does on Ukraine, the exact opposite view of TRS/NJP on Taiwan, and the exact same view as the neo-cons that Striker loves to hate, and loves to hold up JM as a "beacon of truth" against their propaganda. JM has made it very clear that he believes America should be willing to fight a war against China over the fate of Taiwan, in order to prevent China from becoming a true peer competitor of America. I find this hypocritical, as China also possesses nuclear weapons, and engaging in a hot war with China over Taiwan is more likely to lead to a nuclear exchange than supplying Ukraine with weapons in their war against Russia.

Striker and TRS promoting the work of JM while also preemptively justifying a Russian strike on Poland shows they fundamentally misunderstand the central point JM tries to make in his work, and JM himself is a hypocrite when you examine his positions on Ukraine and compare them to his positions on Taiwan.

On the Short-sightedness of Anglosphere "Nationalists"

I would also like to use this example to point out the petty shortsightedness of Anglosphere Nationalists who oppose Ukraine. One of the things their "intellectuals" like Joel Davis, Keith Woods, and Imperium Press love to stress over and over is their goal to make Nationalism sympathetic to Western audiences. To dispel myths about Nationalism and make them realize that their personal benefits and goals aligns with Nationalism, that Nationalism is a natural and healthy expression of human nature, and is a positive force, a force for good.

Well Ukraine has now gifted the entire Anglosphere a perfect opportunity to use the current zeitgeist as a springboard for arguing in defence of Nationalism as a force for good. This Ukrainian girl just defended the concept of Nationalism as a force for good in front of an academic audience in the United Kingdom, the birthplace and in my opinion principle stronghold of liberalism. America is more powerful yes, but England was the birthplace of liberalism, England is the vehicle which carried it across the world, and in England we have seen domestic liberal policies go way farther than in America. Maybe it's because America has a system where state law can often times triumph over federal law, I digress.

The point is, in this stronghold of liberalism nobody would dare criticize this woman for defending Nationalism as a force for good because Ukrainians currently have extremely high social cache in the West. Nationalists in the Anglosphere could use this as a perfect time to argue in favour of Nationalism. Society right now is inculcated with media information on how Putin/Kremlin seek to destroy the Ukrainian identity, language, and nation-hood. Ukrainians are being praised by the entire Western world for their willingness to risk their lives for their unique identity, in defence of their nation. I have never seen a better time to argue in defence of Nationalism than now.

But they won't grit their teeth and pretend to like Ukraine even if it benefits them. They are, the bulk of them, maybe the audiences of these aforementioned figures more than them themselves, so childish, petty, and resentful that they would rather show everyone how contrarian they are and how much different they are from the people they wish to one day bring to their side than to use this golden opportunity to use Ukrainian resistance to Russian invasion as evidence in an argument that Nationalism is a force for good.

As an addendum I would like to expand on that last paragraph of mine here a little bit.

I said in my opening message that I have been a Nationalist for many years, but now I'll be exact. With it being 2023 now, I have been a Nationalist for roughly 12 years, since about 2011-ish. It's hard to count because unlike a birthday or anniversary there isn't an exact date to mark things like this. In these 12 years I have noticed many things about the Nationalist community. One of them is the paradox of Nationalists wanting to convert their society to their way of thinking, but also having this weird desire to appear as alien, freakish, and otherworldly as possible to that very same society. I'll give a specific example.

When the Taliban were routing the Afghanistan National Army in mid 2021, I noticed many American Nationalists, particularly in the TRS/NJP community, delighting in sounding as alien as possible in their support for the Taliban on social media. "Death to America" they cried on social media, while letting everyone know through their profile pictures and usernames that they were Nationalists/White Nationalists. They particularly enjoyed going into conservative online spaces to say things like "Death to America", "I want the Taliban to rule America too", "We need to become the Taliban here at home", "They are right when they call America the great Satan" (they particularly enjoyed that last one).

What do you think a "normal", White, conservative man or woman thinks when confronted with someone identifying themselves as a Nationalist/White Nationalist who begins screaming at them "Death to America", "America is the great Satan", "America was a mistake it needs to burn to the ground for anything good to exist in this world"? They will naturally feel an instinctive repulsion to this person who is essentially attacking their identity, attacking their "home". These conservatives were likely raised by relatively benign if not also ignorant parents who raised them to love America, salute the flag, and be proud of American history, identity, and culture. Instead of using this shared cultural touchstone to identify and relate to their target audience, the TRS crowd delighted in Taliban profile pictures and chanting "Death to America" on social media as fervently as any actual Islamic jihadist.

I am not saying you need to dilute the truth because you fear what impact it will have on your target audience. When you look around at all the institutional power set against advocates of our race within Western Civilization, truth is the one thing we have on our side, we can't blunt that weapon, and it can't be underestimated how good of a weapon it can be. Just look at some of the content I have posted on my channel, I am aware how extreme my positions are relative to "normal" society.

What I am saying is do not go out of your way to make yourself an alien to the people you are trying to convert to our worldview. Use your unique national, cultural etc. touchstones as a way of relating yourself to your target audience. Don't be the retarded American Nationalists associated with TRS who in 2021 delighted in screaming "Death to America" in the faces of the White conservative audiences they claim they wish to bring to their side.

In fact, a perfect American example of using unique national, cultural etc. touchstones as a way of relating yourself to your target audience is Patriot Front, who in my opinion is the preeminent American Nationalist organization. PF is not being dishonest or diluting the message of Nationalism by using American cultural touchstones to relate to their target audience rather than screaming "Death to America!" in the faces of the people they wish to persuade, they are being smart about how to market themselves to their nation.

If you are a White American male between the ages of 18-35, I highly recommend visiting <https://patriotfront.us/> and applying to join. I'll forward a handful of my favourite demonstrations by them below to illustrate the points I made here.

Ukraine and Israel voting against one another in the United Nations

I wonder how the pro-Kremlin "Nationalist" community explains Ukraine and Israel voting against one another in the United Nations considering they claim Ukraine is a totally Jewish owned and dominated country.

Ukraine's UN Vote To Take Israel to International Court Further Strains Ties by The Media Line

"A vote at the United Nations General Assembly has further strained relations between Israel and Ukraine.

Israel's Foreign Ministry on Sunday summoned the Ukrainian ambassador over his country's vote against Israel at the UN General Assembly Fourth Committee on Friday on a resolution request titled "Israeli practices and settlement activities affecting the rights of the Palestinian people and other Arabs of the occupied territories."

The resolution requests that The Hague-based International Court of Justice (ICJ) "render urgently an advisory opinion" on Israel's "prolonged occupation, settlement and annexation of Palestinian territory."

The resolution will head to the General Assembly plenary for official approval, likely next month.

The vote was 98 countries in favor of the resolution, with 17 countries opposed and 52 abstentions.

Israeli officials are infuriated over Ukraine's vote in favor of the resolution request; meanwhile, Palestinians hailed the outcome."

Israel abstains on pro-Ukraine UN resolution after Kyiv backed Palestinian measure by The Times of Israel

"Israel on Monday was the only Western country to abstain in a United Nations General Assembly vote on a resolution supporting a mechanism for Russia to pay reparations for invading Ukraine, in an apparent act of retaliation days after Kyiv voted to advance an anti-Israel resolution through the same body."

Report: Ukraine Exacts Revenge on Israel at UN by Palestine Chronicle

"After not receiving any firm commitments from newly reinstated Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, Ukrainian representatives allegedly opted not to oppose a recent anti-Israel resolution."

In his first speech as Israel's Foreign Minister, Eli Cohen, hinted of a pro-Russian policy shift of Netanyahu's new government

So I guess ZOG is voting against Israel now at the United Nations? ZOG vs Israel is an interesting new dynamic, wonder what the mental gymnastics of the pro-Kremlin crowd will look like to explain this. Remember, according to them Ukraine is owned by ZOG and America and lost their sovereignty to the aforementioned parties years ago.

IS THE UKRAINE PERSECUTING CHRISTIANITY

I want to briefly debunk the Kremlin claim of Ukraine/Zelensky persecuting the Orthodox faith in Ukraine.

The claim ranges in the ways it is expressed, from minor accusations to claiming that Zelensky plans to ban the Orthodox faith and enshrine Talmudic law in the Ukrainian legal code. I am not joking, the pro-Kremlin fake Nationalist community makes outrages claims all the time.

The reality is somewhat complicated, but I will try to be as brief and clear as possible.

The Ukrainian Orthodox Church is not, yet, one single institution, the two branches I want to talk about is the Orthodox Church of Ukraine (OCU) and the Ukrainian Orthodox Church Moscow Patriarchate (UOC MP).

In Ukraine in 1989, the dominant religious institution for the Orthodox faith was the Russian Orthodox Church.

In 1990, right before the fall of the USSR, the Ukrainian Autocephalous Orthodox Church (UAOC) was re-established.

In 1992 a group of churches/clergy within the Russian Orthodox Church in Ukraine unilaterally declared independence as the Ukrainian Orthodox Church Kyiv Patriarchate (UOC KP).

On December 15, 2018, the UAOC, UOC KP, and elements of the UOC MP held the Unification council of the Eastern Orthodox churches of Ukraine and formed the Orthodox Church of Ukraine (OCU).

On January 5th, 2019, the OCU was granted a Tomos of Autocephaly by the Ecumenical Patriarchate of Constantinople.

The OCU is the dominant Orthodox institution in Ukraine, and accounts for roughly 78% of Ukrainians of Orthodox faith.

The UOC MP on the other hand accounts for less than 5%.

The UOC MP is part of the Russian Orthodox Church which is an arm of the Kremlin. Their Patriarch, Kirill, is a political whore and I am not even going to source or cite that since it requires only the slightest bit of your own research to confirm. The UOC MP has had several sanctions and arrests by the SBU for supporting the Russian invasion of Ukraine, as I will link below. Nevertheless, the UOC MP as an institution is not being persecuted by the Ukrainian government, specific individuals within it have been sanctioned or arrested for supporting the Russian invasion of Ukraine financially, with intelligence, or in other ways, sources below.

The pro-Kremlin fake Nationalist community does not even pretend to research their claims.

The Russian Orthodox Church is an arm of the Kremlin, and a tool in Putin's hand for influencing Ukrainian society. When the OCU was granted autocephaly by Constantinople on January 5th, 2019, Putin was livid because he knew it meant two things:

1. Less influence within Ukraine
2. A strengthening of Ukrainian identity

For those of you interested in a deeper history on this subject, various Wikipedia links documenting this process are linked above.

<https://www.gp.gov.ua/ua/posts/do-12-rokiv-za-gratami-zasudzeno-svyashhennika-upc-mp-v-luganskii-oblasti-za-informuvannya-voroga-pro-pozyciyi-ukrayinskix-zaxisnikiv>

According to the public indictment of the Lysychan District Prosecutor's Office of the Luhansk Region, the court recognized the clergyman of the Severodonetsk Diocese of the UOC (MP) in collaborative activities (Part 2 of Article 28, Part 7 of Article 111-1 of the Criminal Code of Ukraine). He was sentenced to 12 years in prison.

In court, the prosecutors proved that the priest of the church from Lysychansk provided assistance in the conduct of military operations by the armed formations of the Russian Federation against the Ukrainian army.

Since mid-April 2022, he has been collecting information on the number and location of equipment and armaments of the Armed Forces of Ukraine in Severodonetsk and in settlements adjacent to the city. He gave it to the representatives of the army of the aggressor country through a messenger.

The enemy used the received information to determine the location and fire damage to the targets.

A supporter of "Russian peace" was exposed and detained at the end of April 2022. While documenting the crime, the law enforcement officers discovered correspondence between the clergyman and representatives of the enemy's armed forces.

The convicted person will remain in custody until the verdict becomes final.

The pre-trial investigation was carried out by investigators of 3 departments of the State Security Service of Ukraine in Donetsk and Luhansk regions.

The Kremlin will likely intensify existing information operations accusing Ukraine's government of oppressing religious liberty in Ukraine. Prominent Pro-Russian Telegram Channel Readovka made a post to its 1.5 million subscribers claiming that Ukraine's State Security Service (SBU) raided Russian Orthodox churches in nine Ukrainian oblasts and accusing the SBU of conducting arbitrary "terror" searches to detain Russian Orthodox clergy on December 14.[19] This narrative contains elements of several observed Russian information operations designed to falsely portray Ukraine as oppressing Russian religious minorities.

Ukraine is not attacking religious liberty or Eastern Orthodoxy. Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelensky signed a decree to impose personal sanctions against representatives of religious organizations associated with the Russian government on December 2.[20] This decree targets Kremlin-linked elements of the Ukrainian Orthodox Church Moscow Patriarchate (UOC MP). The UOC MP is not an independent religious organization. The UOC MP is the Kremlin-controlled Russian Orthodox Church's subordinate branch in Ukraine.[21] The UOC MP materially supported Russia's annexation of Crimea and the invasion of Eastern Ukraine in 2014 and continues to support Russia's current invasion.[22] Ukrainian authorities convicted a Moscow Patriarchate priest in Severodonetsk, Luhansk Oblast, for providing information about Ukrainian forces to Russian forces since April 2022, for example.[23]

The UOC MP is not the Ukrainian Orthodox Church, despite some inaccurate Western reporting characterizing it as "the Ukrainian Orthodox Church." [24] The Ukrainian Orthodox Church is a separate entity that gained autocephaly (official independence) from the Moscow Patriarchate in 2019.[25] The UOC MP is a small element within Ukraine's religious demography. Multiple surveys conducted in 2022 found that only four percent of Ukrainians identify as members of the Moscow Patriarchate, whereas over 50 percent of Ukrainians identify as members of the Ukrainian Orthodox Church.[26] More than double of the UOC MP's current adherents identified as Greek Catholics (8.8 percent) in 2021.[27]

<https://understandingwar.org/backgrounder/russian-offensive-campaign-assessment-december-14>

WHY ARE ESTONIANS SO ATTRACTIVE?

Fun fact about Estonia:

Estonia has the highest rate of models per capita, and the Baltics is always in the top 5 for models per capita. You may remember I wrote an entire series of posts about the important role of beauty and aesthetics in higher civilization, so it shouldn't surprise you that I am aware of and proud of this fact. One of Europeans' best qualities are our unique physical features that non-Whites envy and attempt to replicate.

EELVLT Northeast European genetics 🍌

What's incredible though is how much higher the rate of models per capita is in Estonia even when compared to the other top countries. More incredible is the fact that there are over 200k Russians in Estonia, and yet our models are almost all ethnically Estonian, which means that when compared to the ethnic group of Estonians rather than Estonian citizens our models per capita number would be even higher than it already is.

What I like about the modelling industry is how, at the highest levels, White Supremacy reigns. In one of the articles I'll link below it describes how within the mutt country of Brazil, a region that accounts for less than 5% of the Brazilian population accounts for over 50% of their models - because of German and Italian genetics. In a following post I want to talk a bit more about White beauty standards, something you have no doubt heard mentioned in the Jewish media.

What I dislike about the modelling/fashion industry is how many Jews are involved. Jews are everywhere in high end modelling and fashion, behind the camera.

8 Countries that Produce The Most Models in The World by Insider Monkey

1. Estonia / Models per million people: 73.88 / Models: 99
2. Iceland / Models per million people: 62.7 / Models: 20
3. Lithuania / Models per million people: 38.09 / Models: 122
4. Denmark / Models per million people: 34.45 / Models: 192
5. Latvia / Models per million people: 31.08 / Models: 69
6. Sweden / Models per million people: 28.56 / Models: 270
7. Netherlands / Models per million people: 26.65 / Models: 445
8. Slovakia / Models per million people: 23.53 / Models: 128

Baltic Countries Are the World's #1 Source of Female Models

"Who knows if it's the diet or just something in the air, but three out of the five countries that produce the most female models per capita are in the Baltic region: Lithuania, Latvia, and Estonia.

"Mirror, Mirror on the wall, who's the fairest one of all?" asked the Evil Queen. Although the question was initially posed in the German Brothers Grimm's fairy tale, the answer is in Estonia. And it's no anecdote and no opinion. It's a fact, as stated by the PBS media outlet.

In 2013, journalists summed up some data about the modeling world. What turned out was that although the top 10 model-producing nations are obviously the USA, Brazil, Russia, and the UK, if we switch to a per capita factor, Central and Northern Europe win out.

In the first place, there's Estonia, which has given us almost 74 female models per million citizens in recent years. But there are also other Central European countries rounding out the top 10, such as Lithuania (3rd place), Latvia (5th place), Slovakia, and Czechia (8th and 10th, respectively). And Poland, connecting those former with those latter, is the 8th overall model-producer globally.

The explanation may simply lie in genes, as could quickly be drawn from the comparison. In Brazil, there's a region called the Rio Grande do Sul. It only represents a mere 5% of Brazil's population but is home to more than half of the country's models. That fact is attributed to the German and Italian addition to the inhabitant's genes."

Estonia's Top International Models by Estonian World

"Narrowing down Estonia's top most-known models to just a few girls is not an easy task. As some may know, Estonia is the top nation per capita for the number of international fashion models."

You've probably heard something along the lines of "White beauty standards are oppressive" in the media. Conservatives hand wave it away as liberal race baiting nonsense.

It's not nonsense.

Non-Whites are constantly oppressed when they have to live in White society and compare themselves to our race. Think about a fresh off the boat Somalian walking the streets of Stockholm, and then a tall, blonde, attractive Swedish couple walks by, hand in hand, smiling and laughing. The Somalian instantly compares itself to the Swedes it just witnessed, and what conclusions do you think it comes to?

Non-White women particularly feel oppressed when they have to be in the presence of White women all the time. The three major points I want to get across here is:

1. White beauty standards are real, and they do oppress non-Whites. Embrace it. We are more beautiful than non-Whites and the whole world knows it.
2. Non-Whites hate us in ways most Whites cannot fathom. Our very presence depresses and demoralizes them. They will at the very least sit by and be silently pleased as we are replaced, if not jump up to gleefully stick a knife in the back of those foolish Whites naïve enough to put themselves in such a position.

3. Your identity is determined at least as much by other groups of people as it is by you. Identify however you please, non-Whites will always see you as part of the White race, and treat you as such.

Racist Techology in Action: Beauty is in the eye of the AI

A beauty contest was judged by AI and the robots didn't like dark skin

"Out of the 44 people that the algorithms judged to be the most "attractive," all of the finalists were white except for six who were Asian. Only one finalist had visibly dark skin."

Two articles about the same story, many, many such cases however.

I could go on about this topic for a surprising amount of time, but you all get the point I hope.

As a way to finish this rant, I want to stress how fucked up the modelling/fashion world is these days. Beauty should be admired, and there are many admirable and downright Fascistic qualities in the modelling/fashion industry worthy of praise. However, the mixture of capitalism, judaism, consumer culture, meaningless society etc etc has truly turned it into a disgusting industry.

To give a specific example, Jeffrey Epstein owned or co-owned a teen modelling agency that he used for his sick ends. This agency would have pool parties for the employees to which the parents were not invited, and these parents, driven by a desire for money and/or recognition/status via their children, saw no problem with that and allowed it. Epstein would invite his jewish friends to these parties, and so at the same private pool party at some jew's mansion you would have pretty 12-17 year old White girls and jewish businessmen with connections in the modelling and fashion industry who could greatly advance their career if they just you know what.

On top of an ever lurking background of rape and molestation, cocaine use and anorexia is rampant in the modelling and fashion industry. Also, many of these White girls/women are incentivized not to have children during their prime childbearing years, meaning a lot of great White genetics are here today gone tomorrow, all for a Vogue photoshoot that gets thrown in the garbage when the next edition comes out.

I am proud of the beauty of our people, I am proud of White beauty standards being recognized across the world. In a healthy society, modelling and fashion could inspire our people to be the best version of themselves they can be. In its current state though, the only thing this industry inspires in me and many other healthy White men is the desire to [these fedposts have been redacted for legal purposes].

You might think it's contradictory for me to spend multiple posts borderline bragging about the dominance of Estonian and Baltic folk in the modelling industry just to then blast it for the filthy wretch of an industry it is, but it's not.

I admire the beauty of our people, I admire the role beauty and aesthetics should play in civilization, I understand the role modelling and fashion, as well as architecture, sport etc. could and should play in assisting that. I am proud of how recognized Estonian beauty is in the world of modelling and fashion.

I hate the way capitalism, consumerism, and jewish scum and the meaningless and downright sick society they created have all conspired together to destroy what could be a noble role in civilization and turn it into a sick industry.

The common thread of this entire series of posts is admiring the traits of our people, and hating the way they are perverted, corrupted, and abused in the modern era. There is nothing contradictory about any of that.

Also I don't think it's a cope to say that the modelling industry is different in Estonia and the Baltics than it is in America. Far fewer jews, far healthier society, smaller and less corrupt countries. When Estonian models venture out into the world they are generally young adults, and not as easily taken advantage of as the 13 year old German-American girl who finds herself in one of Epstein's private pool parties. Still, I feel for the Germanic/White girls of America who enter the modelling and fashion industry out of a sense of excitement and pride in their looks, only to get used and abused by the Epstein's and Weinstein's of America.

Imagine not being a Fascist when you were born into such a time, such a civilization. Can't relate.

The war in Ukraine is not ending anytime soon.

With the talks of an upcoming peace summit in February, I thought it timely to explain why the war in Ukraine is not going to be ending through negotiation anytime soon. I'll do this by explaining the reasoning behind the three main parties not desiring to end the conflict through negotiation:

1. Russia, the party which initiated the war
2. Ukraine, the party suffering the invasion
3. America/the West, the party keeping Ukraine in the fight with military aid (plus briefly debunking the Kremlin sponsored claim of Western military aid to Ukraine somehow seriously damaging the preparedness of Western militaries and leaving them defenseless)

1. Russia

Russia does not desire to end this war in the negotiating room for a three main reasons. The first reason is:

A negotiated settlement at this point would not be worth the price they have paid in this war.

At this point, Russia controls a scant 15% of Ukraine. Their casualties are unknown to a large degree - everybody's casualties are, even if the Ukrainian and Russian MoD's were willing to be 100% honest with the casualties they have suffered, they do not know the real number. What can be guessed is that according to Oryx's reports on visually confirmed losses of military equipment for Russia and Ukraine, Russia has lost a minimum of 8691 military vehicles, compared to Ukraine's 2773, as of January 14th, 2023.

The VDV got airdropped not once, but twice behind Ukrainian lines during the invasion of northern Ukraine and attempted invasion of Kyiv. Everyone knows about the VDV's failure at Hostomel airfield, wherein the 1 star General Major-General Andrei Sukhovetsky was killed alongside large portions of his VDV troopers, but VDV and Russian Special Forces also got dropped at Vasyilkiv southwest of Kyiv, and got defeated there as well.

The 1st Guard Tank Army, one of if the premier military formations of Russia, got shredded in the northern theater, around Kharkiv, and then during the Kharkiv counteroffensive.

Izium was a major supply hub for the Russian military, and when Ukraine captured it during the Kharkiv counteroffensive, they captured more military equipment from Russia than the entire west had supplied them with up to that date. I repeat, in a few days the Ukrainian military captured more Russian military equipment than the west had sent them in 7 months of constant aid.

Russia invaded with roughly 240k troops (190k from Russian Federation, 50k from DPR & LPR), and was then forced to mobilize at least another 300k despite non-stop recruitment campaigns from February onwards. To be clear, mobilization did not begin with the minimum 300k call up, it has been going nonstop through what ISW calls "covert mobilization" where local regional governments create volunteer battalions, police forces are conscripted etc. Clearly Russia believes they need hundreds of thousands if not a million soldiers to conquer Ukraine, which is an indicator of the casualties they are taking.

I give these examples because we don't have concrete numbers on Russian casualties, but we can infer from these incidents that they have not only lost many of their best troops (VDV, 1st Guards Tank Army, Special Forces assisting VDV in paratroop missions etc.), but have also lost an incredible amount of military equipment and manpower.

To enter the negotiating room now means at best to hold onto the portion of Ukraine they currently occupied. That is not worth the price they have paid. In almost 1 year of full scale war, Putin needs to show results better than what he can attain at the negotiating table if he wishes to stay in power and stay popular in Russia. If the war ended in February, it would be a geopolitical disaster for Russia, and they will have exposed themselves as a second rate power at best, whose only real strengths lay in nuclear weapons they can't use, and energy exports the world is learning they can live without. Basically Saudi Arabia writ large + nukes. No longer a great power.

The second reason Russia will not end the war soon is:

Russia's high historical pain threshold in war.

This is something Russians are perversely proud of. I mentioned in a previous post how historically speaking, Russia has NEVER performed well in war. They have never outclassed their opponents, they have simply outlasted them. Even in the wars they win, every single war starts out the same: suffering vastly disproportionate casualties in the opening battles with the enemy who outclasses them in training, quality of equipment, leadership, but then achieving victory simply by throwing bodies at the enemy until they run out of ammunition, will, or manpower. There was a saying in WW2 era Finland that went something like this:

One Finnish soldier is worth ten Russian soldiers, the problem is for every one Finnish soldier there are 15 Russians. |

According to Peter Zeihan, Russia has never negotiated an end to a war before suffering at least 500k casualties. I am unsure how specifically accurate that is, but the point is true. Russia is very used to suffering immense casualties in war, and like I said they are perversely proud of it. Estimates are currently around 100k Russian casualties, which means there is a lot of war to go. The Russian Federation is not the USSR, they don't have the same level of manpower and resources, but old habits die hard. Putin and Russia are confident that they can outlast the Ukrainians on the battlefield man to man.

The third reason Russia will not end the war soon is:

Strategically speaking, ending the war now not only changes nothing, but it makes the strategic position Russia is in relative to the West even worse than before.

According to Peter Zeihan, one of the reasons Russia initiated this war is to find a natural boundary to plug a major easy invasion route into Russia. In Peter's telling of it, Russia fears an invasion from the West and wishes to do something about that giant gap of plains in southwest Russia that say, a German panzer division or NATO tank formation could roll right through. According to pro-Kremlin agents, Russia initiated this war because Ukraine was going to join NATO and Russia wished to curb NATO expansion. I have debunked these arguments here and here, but let's run with this theory anyway.

If according to Peter, Russia launched this invasion to plug an invasion gap, then that desire is not fulfilled until the Dnipro river's east bank is entirely under Russian control. If according to the Kremlin agents, Russia launched this invasion to balance power relative to NATO, then the war is a complete disaster even if all of Ukraine is conquered by Russia, given Finland and Sweden joining, but if any part of Ukraine remains independent from Moscow PLUS Sweden and Finland joining NATO, and in the meantime Russia's conventional military power got decimated, then the power imbalance between NATO and Russia is larger than ever before.

Not only would Russia gain over 1000km of a longer border with NATO via Finland's accession into the alliance, but their strategic position would threaten Russia's vitally important Kola peninsula. In short, Finland joining NATO is a checkmate on Russian ambitions in Europe.

In summation, if Russia launched this war to either plug an invasion gap, or balance power relative to NATO, then it's a failure even if it reaches the Dnipro, but if it doesn't even reach the Dnipro then it's an unmitigated disaster.

Therefore, according to this theory Russia not will seek an end to this conflict until at least the entire eastern bank of the Dnipro is under their firm control, a very tall order indeed.

For these three main reasons, a high cost of this war to date without an equal value returned, a high historical pain threshold, the failure to either plug an invasion route without the Dnipro or strategically balance NATO and Russian power without eliminating Ukraine entirely, and there are more but I don't want to make this post too long, Russia believes that their best interests are served by victory on the battlefield, not in the negotiating room.

2. Ukraine

Ukraine's reason for not ending the war anytime soon is much simpler, and I say reason and not reasons because there is only one:

Much of Ukraine is currently occupied by Russia, and Ukraine is confident in their ability to liberate all of these territories through military action.

On March 21, the Russian invasion had reached its high watermark thus far, and likely ever. Over 20% of Ukraine was occupied by Russian forces, and advances were swift and had captured important cities. Mariupol was surrounded and destined to fall eventually, despite the heroic efforts of Azov and co against a numerically superior opponent, Melitopol was taken, Kherson, the capital of Kherson Oblast, a port city which controlled the mouth of the Dnipro river, was in Russian hands, and Russian forces occupied a large portion of Kherson Oblast north/west of the Dnipro river. This meant that if the war reached a negotiated end, and Russia held onto that land, they could use the peace to rehabilitate their army and mass a large, mechanized, supplied force on the OTHER side of the Dnipro, and Ukraine's end would be almost assured.

However, since then, it is Ukraine who has had the battlefield initiative.

First, the entire northern invasion was forced to withdraw.

Then came the Kharkiv Oblast counteroffensive.

Then came the Kherson Oblast counteroffensive. To see a very quick and easily visualized summary of these military victories as displayed by maps, [click here](#).

Now, Russia is forced to mobilize hundreds of thousands of troops they did not initially think they needed, and since July 2nd have only achieved 1 significant victory, the capture of Lysychansk, and 1 minor, tactical victory, the capture of Soledar (a village that is 14.2 km²).

Ukraine feels extremely confident, and rightly so, that if the West supplies them with enough military aid, they can not only liberate post February 24th, 2022 Russian occupied land, but liberate all of Crimea and Donbas from Russian control.

Therefore, Ukraine will not wish to end this war when they rightly feel they have the initiative, and will not negotiate an end to this war that allows Russia to rearm, recuperate, and try again later.

3. America/the West/NATO

America and the West is not, despite what Kremlin shills may say, a principal actor in this war. Yes, their military aid keeps Ukraine in the fight, but it is Russia and Ukraine who decide when it ends. Ukraine is not owned by America, the best proof of which is Ukraine and Israel constantly voting against one another in the United Nations. However, American and Western aid does mean they have leverage/influence with Ukraine and can pressure them into the negotiating room if they wanted to, but they do not wish to because:

NATO is essentially upgrading their military power by sending old equipment to Ukraine en masse, replacing it with new equipment, and placing themselves in a better strategic geopolitical position by devastating a geopolitical rival without losing one soldier in combat, gaining new members of the alliance (Finland, Sweden), and having existing members form closer relations and upgrade their military, and all of this combined means the western military industrial complex, who has a large influence in these nations' foreign policy decisions, is making billions.

The American public is being brutally misled by Tucker Carlson when he mentions the sum total in dollar value of the military aid being sent to Ukraine. When he says something like "50 billion dollars in military aid has been sent to Ukraine", the American citizens thinks that 50 billion of his tax dollars just got taken out of the American treasury and sent to Ukraine. The reality however is that much of the military aid sent to Ukraine is old equipment that America keeps in reserve, spends millions of dollars keeping in shape, but then eventually disposes of anyway. For example, we have seen how HIMAR's have had an extreme effect on the battlefield in Ukraine's favour, but HIMARS entered US military service in the 1990's, the generations being sent to Ukraine are not ones that the US actively uses. Recently, America has decided to send Ukraine a large batch of M2 Bradley Infantry Fighting Vehicles (although based on definition these could be classified as tanks and not IFV's, I digress). The M2 Bradley's that America is sending to Ukraine first entered US military service in... 1981! The versions being sent to Ukraine are mostly M2A1's, which entered service in 1986.

Unlike Russia, the American military spends hundreds of millions, if not a few billions, every single year to maintain equipment in reserves to ensure it can hit the battlefield at a relative moment's notice. However, America being the military behemoth it is, has never had to seriously dip into their strategic reserves to win a war, they can do that with their new hardware. So in the end, all those billions spent on maintaining old equipment either gets flushed down the drain when that equipment is either decommissioned, or sold for pennies on the dollar or even donated to allies.

When Tucker Carlson says 50 billion dollars worth of aid has been sent to Ukraine, he neglects to mention that the overwhelming majority of that is equipment that America produced years, indeed decades, ago, and would have spent hundreds of millions of dollars maintaining just to throw away!

Think about the effects these two headlines would have on Americans:

"America sends 50 billion dollars worth of military equipment to Ukraine"

"America sends 50 billion dollars worth of military equipment to Ukraine that they otherwise would have spent billions of dollars maintaining for years before throwing away"

Both of these headlines are true, but the second one is more accurate. Am I saying America is saving money by donating military equipment to Ukraine? No. Am I saying the costs to the American taxpayer is being greatly exaggerated by Tucker Carlson and other pro-Kremlin agents within the American right-wing media circles? Yes.

The United Kingdom is also sending it's Starstreak Mark 1 system to Ukraine and replacing it with the Starstreak Mark 2.

Certainly there is some modern equipment still in service being sent to Ukraine, but overwhelmingly what NATO countries are doing is sending the older equipment to Ukraine, and replacing it with newer versions. What this means is that

1. NATO countries are upgrading their military power and levels of readiness, not degrading it
2. Western arms manufacturers are making billions replacing the old equipment with the new, more expensive stuff

You may recall Germany announcing 100 billion Euros to rearm, Poland essentially doubling their military power (I cannot begin to list half the planned military upgrades Poland has announced since February, suffice to say that as soon as by 2025 Poland will be Europe's largest and premier military power!) Who do you think all that money is going towards? The Western military industrial complex. I know this might make some of my fellow pro-Ukrainian compatriots uneasy, as it sounds like a Kremlin talking point: "this war is all about making the Western MIC money", but this is not what I am saying. Putin did not launch this war so he could make Raytheon richer, but that is a side effect that means the influence the Western MIC holds within Western foreign policy will be used to not pressure Ukraine into negotiations until Ukraine itself and no other party seeks negotiation.

The next points I will attempt to make briefly, something I know I am not good at but will try anyway.

The invasion of Russia has also given NATO two new members, Finland and Sweden, who I firmly predict will join in 2023. The invasion has also given NATO purpose and legitimacy like never before. This is also basically one large NATO logistics interoperability exercise, which will increase the alliance's overall collective readiness to wage a combined war effort together.

At a time when America is shifting from Europe to southeast Asia and China specifically, NATO is being given a prime chance to devastate their only European sphere rival without losing a single soldier in open warfare, before the main power of NATO, America, fully shifts their attention from Europe and Russia to southeast Asia and China.

All of this is to say that while yes, America/the West/NATO has significant influence on Ukrainian decision making via military aid that can be used as leverage, these collective parties have no reason to use that influence. If Ukraine wants to negotiate with Russia, the West will accept that, but the West has no reason to push Ukraine into doing so before Ukraine itself wishes to.

So when do I think the war will end? I have no idea. It will certainly not end soon as I explained above, and I have a strong suspicion that the end of this war will be either the collapse of Russia or Ukraine rather than a negotiated settlement.

I wrote about how Russia is slowly collapsing due to this war.

I also wrote a 9 post series on Russia's, particularly ethnic Russians', demographic crises.

I also wrote about the current dynamic of the war, which I predicted on December 13th has been in a grinding phase for a long time and will be for a while longer, and how Russia has accepted that their only chance of winning this war is grinding Ukraine down with a larger pool of military aged males, the one resource they certainly have more of than the West. The West can match Russia with anti-armour system for armour piece, and can outmatch Russia on battlefield intelligence, but Russia will always have more bodies to throw into the fight than Ukraine, and as many military analysts have said over the years, that cannot be underestimated. Quantity has a quality of its own.

Sadly, this war is not ending anytime soon.

there won't be any Russians left in 2050

One of the largest pro-Kremlin Russian military bloggers on Telegram, WarGonzo (over 1.3m followers), proudly proclaiming how Russia is training full battalions of Muslim imams, priests, and theologians to fight Ukrainians and spread the word of Islam.

WarGonzo is not just a random Russian Telegram channel with a large following, it has direct ties to the Kremlin and Russian government. On January 13 United Russia Party Central Executive Committee Head Alexander Sidyakin, United Russia State Duma parliamentarian Artyom Turovyi, and Donetsk People Republic Head Denis Pushilin, met with several Russian military bloggers, including a journalist from WarGonzo, and presented them with a certificate of thanks signed by Secretary of the General Council of the United Russia Party Andrey Turchak (source).

For those unaware, the United Russia party is Putin's party. Also worth noting is that the half-White Minister of Defence of the Russian Federation, Sergei Shoigu, whose MoD organizes International Anti-Fascist Congresses, is one of the founding members and first chairmen of the United Russia party. Shoigu doesn't just use Kremlin funds to organize Antifa conferences however, he attends them and speaks at them, as does Russia's highest ranking diplomat Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov.

So this is nothing less than Kremlin sponsored propaganda proudly proclaiming how the Russian state will train and equip battalions of Islamic religious leaders and adherents to fight Ukrainians and spread the word of Islam.

As Denis Nikitin AKA White Rex said, every year in Russia for the past decade at least, more mosques are built in Russia than Churches.

The muslim community in Russia is over 25 million strong and 1/3 Russians will be muslim by 2034.

"Around 30 percent of the Russian population will practice Islam within the next 15 years, Russia's grand mufti has predicted, citing demographic trends.

Russia's Muslim-majority regions, including republics in the North Caucasus and the republic of Tatarstan, are known to have the highest birth rates in the country, reflecting similar trends worldwide. Various estimates place the current Muslim population in Russia at between 14 million and 20 million people, or between 10 to 14 percent of Russia's total population of 146.8 million in 2018.

"According to experts, Russia's [Muslim] population will increase to 30 percent in a decade and a half," said Ravil Gainutdin, the chairman of the Council of Muftis, a religious group representing Russia's Muslim community.

The changing demographics mean that "dozens" of new mosques will need to be built in Russia's largest cities, Gainutdin said at a forum hosted by the State Duma on Monday.

Archpriest Dmitry Smirnov, an official in Russia's Orthodox Church, agreed with Gainutdin's forecast and predicted that "there won't be any Russians left in 2050."

"It's too late," he told the Govorit Moskva radio station when asked if the demographic trend could be reversed."

For a more in depth look into the death of ethnic Russians at the hands of Putin, I wrote 9 post series beginning here examining Russian demographic trends, complete with sources and graphs.

Be sure to save this post and share it all around, Kremlin sponsored Russian military bloggers are proudly proclaiming how Russia will send battalions of trained Muslim religious teachers to fight Ukrainians and spread Islam, the fastest growing religion in Russia.

AFTER THE COUP

My thoughts on the Wagner mutiny, and some necessary background I don't see mentioned enough.

First and foremost I'd like to laugh at the ziggers who think they won something. Admittedly, a very bad situation for Russian Federation was prevented from getting catastrophically worse, but overall this has harmed Russia greatly, as I will cover later in this post, and did not harm Ukraine at all. But first, I want to go over some decades-old background to these events that I don't see covered nearly enough.

How did Yevgeny Prigozhin (hereafter Prigo) rise to power? In the early-mid 1990's Vladimir Putin was a humble and corrupt government official in St. Petersburg. He held several posts, including several simultaneously, including "Head of the Committee for External Relations of the Mayor's Office", whose responsibilities included promoting international relations and most importantly, foreign investments. What this means is that Putin was one of the single digit number of most important men for Western and internal oligarchs to bribe in order to loot Russia in the post-USSR collapse era. You can do more research on Putin's role in government in this era, suffice to say tales and investigations of corruption abound.

Where Prigo fits into this is that at the same time Prigo was running his "catering" business, which was one of the many ways of laundering money at the time, he was also CEO of Spectrum CJSC, a company that owned several casinos and gambling related businesses. From 1991-1996 Putin was serving as "Chairman of the Supervisory Board for Casinos and Gambling" among his other posts. It is incredibly likely that Putin and Prigo's friendship began via mutual corruption, with Prigo bribing Putin to look the other way as the former convicted felon shadily ran his gambling enterprises, and in return Putin using Prigo's rapidly growing business empire to launder the money he was making selling Russian assets to various Jewish and non-Jewish oligarchs from outside and inside Russia. Now, you don't have to be a criminal mastermind to realize that if you are conducting illegal operations on behalf of a politician with great ambition, perhaps it would be wise to "keep some receipts".

It obviously can't be proven, but it's possible that Prigo has blackmail on Putin from their shared corrupt practices in the 1990's, and that is how Putin came to both trust and rely on Prigo, and why Prigo was able to wrangle so many favours from the Kremlin over the decades and rise to the position he found himself in in June 2023. It's clear from the events of the past few days that they don't have a deep friendship, true comradely loyalty, or a shared worldview that makes them ideological brothers struggling on behalf of a shared dream. It seems they have simply been longtime business partners who used each other for mutual advantage. Putin eventually leveraged Prigo's business empire and criminal mentality to create a Private Military Company which acted as a hand of the Russian government in foreign policy, which benefitted Putin's government and Prigo's bank account. It's been one hand washing the other for decades.

My thoughts on the actual Wagner mutiny itself is that it was a disaster for Russia, who was able to momentarily prevent it from becoming a catastrophic collapse of the Russian Federation, but nevertheless has significant consequences. To very briefly summarize the events:

Following over a year of high tensions between Prigo and the Russian MoD, Prigo claimed the Russian MoD bombed a Wagner base, resulting in dozens of casualties (but only showing a few small fires and maybe one body), and said Wagner will make the next move. Wagner captured the city of Rostov, home to 1.1 million people and the HQ of the Russian invasion of Ukraine, surrounding the HQ with tanks and soldiers, and then said

they will march on Moscow and hang the Minister of Defence Shoigu and Chief of the General Staff Gerasimov, as well as saying that "Russia will have a new President soon". Russian border troops and various military formations did not oppose the Wagner march, and stepped aside to let them pass or actively joined. Several Russian military blogger Telegram channels, with followers ranging from a few hundred thousand to over 1 million, voiced their support for Prigo and Wagner. Putin released an extremely passionate (angry) video calling (without naming Prigo himself although he did name Wagner Group) the insurrectionists traitors to Russia who will be dealt with in the most severe way, ordering Russian military and security forces to not "make a fatal and tragic mistake" by joining the mutiny, and compared the situation to 1917 saying that this could have catastrophic consequences for Russia including capitulation and humiliation. "Stab in the back", "treason", and "betrayal of the country" were several phrases Putin used often.

From Wagner's march from Eastern Ukraine to Rostov, and then towards Moscow, they shot down 7 Russian military aircraft (according to several Russian military Telegram channels), including 2 very expensive electronic warfare helicopters, and killed 12 Russian pilots. Even more valuable than the EW helicopters are the lives of trained and experienced pilots. It takes an incredibly long amount of time and lots of resources to train even 1 military pilot to become adequate at their job. In one day Russia lost 12 of them, making it the deadliest day for the Russian Air Force throughout the entire war. Rest assured that the costs to the Russian state don't end there, as I guarantee the families of each pilot got a large cheque from the state to not make a public deal about their family members being murdered by Russian soldiers gone rogue who are seemingly being let off without punishment.

The Russian military and security services internally did absolutely nothing to stop Wagner, except partially aerially bombing one section of one Wagner convoy before either being shot down or forced to evade Wagner's air defence. Specifics of casualties for the entire situation are still very unknown. For 8 hours, Wagner marched unopposed for hundreds of kilometers towards Moscow. Finally, with the military and security services preparing defences in Moscow, and Wagner either 80km or 220km from the city depending on who you ask, Lukashenko the President of Belarus, Russia's alleged satellite state, negotiates a deal whose specifics are unknown to end the mutiny.

An unbelievably embarrassing and destabilizing episode for the Russian Federation.

Here is Putin's speech as delivered to the Russian people on the Wagner mutiny. Look at his face especially compared to other speeches where his face is usually neutral. He is angry, but more importantly than that listen to what he is saying. He compares the situation to 1917 and directly says that this could lead to the defeat of Russia. Some ziggers (not all) are claiming this whole thing was a psyop because insert hairbrained conspiracy theory about CIA money or honey pot to catch traitors. Utterly fucking ridiculous. As a state, ESPECIALLY an authoritarian state, the perception of your power means EVERYTHING, as I will explain in detail below.

Most Westerners would hand wave this away, because in the Western world our politicians are open whores and their reputations mean nothing. However, this is a Western perspective, not a Russian one or an authoritarian one. All authoritarian regimes, but especially the Russian Federation, are built on the premise of strength. Putin releases propaganda videos of himself training judo, shooting guns, riding horseback bare chested, and visiting and ordering around the military for a reason. In authoritarian regimes, the people's perception of your power, of your infallibility, is at least as important as your actual power and infallibility. Let's use Ceaușescu as an example. Near the end of his dictatorship he lost actual, real, tangible power. However, it wasn't until he

appeared on his balcony and raised his hand and told/asked the crowd to be silent, and the crowd refusing, that the people collectively realized he had lost his power, including himself. You can actually see the moment on his face when he realized he has lost control. Ceaușescu then fled as Bucharest openly revolted, which was crushed, but the revolution spread across Romania rapidly. Four days later he and his wife were executed, which was shown later the same day on Romanian television. What's important to note is that the revolution did not begin until everybody collectively realized that the dictator no longer had power or a people's mandate. It wasn't until he wasn't able to silence the crowd in Bucharest that all of Romania's discontent and scattered protests turned into a nation-wide revolution. To sum up, a perception of weakness of the state and dictator is death to authoritarian regimes.

This is precisely what happened in Russia on June 23-24. A private citizen was able to embarrass and ratio the state military and security apparatus, the President was forced to make a national broadcast which he is no doubt kicking himself over and which is keeping him up at night, where he had to compare the situation Russia is in to 1917 (when the government was violently overthrown and the ruling class exterminated or exiled in a years long civil war) and admit that the situation in Rostov is "difficult" and that "the civil and military administration is effectively blocked from doing their job".

From years of "Russia is mighty" propaganda , and then almost 1.5 years of "Russia is winning the full scale invasion of Ukraine, Ukraine does not stand a chance", to the President of Russia issuing a speech discussing the potentiality of defeat, capitulation, embarrassment, loss of territory, civil war, and other disastrous prospects and words being associated with Russia, coming from none other than the President's mouth. And in the end, it's the dictator of your alleged client state that is seen as saving the day, where the Russian President, Russian military, and Russian security services failed. Utterly embarrassing and delegitimizing.

As part of the unknown deal, Prigo is allegedly on his way to exile in Belarus. If he is not eventually executed/assassinated, then that basically verifies that he does indeed have blackmail on Putin which he promised will be released upon his death. Now of all times, the President of Russia being exposed for selling Russian assets to Western oligarchs after the collapse of the 1990's would be almost as embarrassing and delegitimizing as the Wagner mutiny. Even if he is executed or assassinated it's possible he still had this blackmail and used it for years, but Putin was able to find a way to prevent it from being released while also killing Prigo.

In summation, on June 23-24, the Russian Federation lost several aircraft, a dozen or near enough experienced pilots, unknown other casualties, but most importantly their authoritarian regime suffered an immense blow to its most important requirement, the perception of its own power.

On November 29, 2022, I wrote a 3 post series discussing the potential collapse of the Russian Federation, which you can read beginning here or as a Counter-Currents article here.

ESTONIA, NATO, AND RESPONDING TO FAKE NATIONALISTS

Gay marriage was legalized in Estonia recently and there's a lot I want to say about this. First and foremost, obviously I am disappointed but I am sadly not surprised. Estonia is the most liberal of the "post-Soviet" states. As to why, there's a multitude of reasons. Unfortunately we are the most Nordic influenced and Tallinn is full of Nordic wannabes. We are the second highest GDP per capita in all of Eastern Europe, second only to Czechia, and

wealth brings decadence and complacency. Also, the current PM is an EU lackey. At their little EU soirées they get drunk on words and phrases like “democratic values” and “liberal democracy” as much as they do on alcohol. After EKRE shot themselves in the foot we all knew this was coming.

Who is to blame for this? First and foremost ourselves, Estonians. William Pierce was the fundamental influence of my worldview and his ultimate lesson was personal responsibility. We got too complacent after gaining freedom from the USSR, and are now reaping the fruits of our complacency.

After ourselves, it’s hard to blame any particular entity other than one - the (anti) European Union. While I have rightly defended the existence and benefits of NATO when and only when compared against the Russian Federation, and have even done the same with EU, the EU has no tangible benefit to Estonians in 2023. We used the economic benefits of the EU from 2004 and onwards, and it was indeed a benefit. We took far more money out of the EU than put in, and the EU helped give Estonians a better quality of life. But we should have left years ago, and we really need to leave now.

Is this a “win” for Ziggers? Well, as I said above I have only ever said NATO and EU are good when compared against the Russian Federation. When examined in a vacuum I want both kicked out of Eastern Europe and replaced with Intermarium. Am I right to say that even the EU is preferable to RF? Let’s examine.

Gay marriage and adoption was legalized in Estonia, overwhelmingly because of the EU’s influence.

And Russia?

3.16 million Central Asians were imported in 3 months in 2022, all White Nationalist organizations are banned, the government not only funds Muslim military formations to invade Europe and spread Islam, but also funds and organizes Antifa conferences, Russia is a degenerate state full of abortion, HIV, prostitutes, and a corrupt oligarchy who sit astride a rich state which in turn rules a poor country.

I am Estonian. I am a Nationalist. I want the best for Estonia. Can anybody, knowing this, truly tell me that I should trade gay marriage becoming legal for Estonians becoming >1% of “our” national territory, sharing a passport with 30 million Muslims, have our Nationalists which includes me and my best friends thrown in a jail to be tortured to death, government funded antifa conferences, erection of statues to men who melted Estonian children with acid, our history books rewritten to glorify the USSR and demonize the Estonian SS etc etc.? Should I side with anti-fascists who want to murder me and my friends and diversify Estonia (just like they did to Mariupol) to own the libs?

This “argument” by Ziggers in which they claim that Estonia would be better off being conquered by the Kremlin rather than being in NATO/EU reminds me of the time I owned Mike Peinovich and Eric Striker, or rather, the time they owned themselves while “debating” me. Striker and Mike were discussing Estonia and myself on their podcast, which I covered in a 5 post series you can read and listen to yourself here to see I am not putting words in their mouth. Mike says that rather than being part of EU and NATO, Estonia should “take the Chechen route”. I don’t assume he means getting your people massacred in a brutal imperialist war seeking to crush your national identity followed by enslavement. His argument leaves out the time Chechnya wanted to be free and Russia

invaded, massacred their people, and turned them into either good little slaves or anti-Russian terrorists who do things like the 2004 Beslan School Siege. Amazingly though, I didn't even need to refute why a Nationalist should not want this, since Striker himself immediately followed this up by saying:

"The fact that there are Chechens who look like Russian Slavs is a testament to who is the dominant entity in that country."

Oh gee Striker, you mean to tell me that a large imperialist power conquering a smaller foreign neighbour and assimilating them into their multiracial, multicultural empire results in the gradual disappearance of the local language, facial features, culture, and national identity? I had no idea. Thanks for educating me, every Nationalist should want this for their people! A round of applause for the truly genius minds over at TRS folks.

So, Estonia legalizing gay marriage is of course a bad thing, and I want us to leave the EU before it gets even worse, but for all these reasons it is still preferable to being conquered by Russia. As I've said before, the Kremlin has done more harm to Estonian demographics in one month, which still has effects to this day, than NATO/EU has in nearly 20 years of membership. And if you don't think that Russia would attempt to grab the Baltics if given the chance, you are delusional and know nothing of Baltic-Russian history, relations, and the attitude that prevails in the Kremlin and on Kremlin propaganda TV. We are small yet wealthy both historically and currently, and geopolitically significant countries.

Once upon a time Striker tried to cope about the Kremlin importing 3.16 million Central Asians in 3 months, and I wrote a response to his cope. Here is a quote from that post:

"As an Estonian I understand hatred of Russia as much as any non-Ukrainian in the world. I say non-Ukrainian because our wounds from Russia are old, but theirs are fresh. My grandfather fled Soviet conscription to aid the Forest Brothers in the woods. As a result, when the Soviet authorities came to his house looking for a young Estonian male to conscript, but couldn't find the 18 year old, they decided they would fulfill their quota by murdering his 5 year old brother instead. I understand hatred of Russia. I understand the White Nationalist ideological and historical reasons to want the Putin regime to crumble, the Russian invasion to fail, every Russian soldier attacking Ukraine to die.

However, when I hear about some Tajik raping a young Slavic Russian girl, I don't take joy in it. When I see the Putin regime facilitate White Genocide, I don't consider it a "win" because the Whites being genocided are Russians. Certainly, I want every single Russians soldier invading Ukraine to die. If you invade Ukraine seeking to denazify it, and turn White Ukraine into multiracial Russia, you deserve death. I want Ukraine to win, but I also want the Russian Volunteer Corps to survive the war and spread across the Slavic Russian population like wildfire. I want the Putin regime to crumble so we can all have a White future in Eastern Europe, from St. Petersburg to Odesa. Maybe when Russia is ran by men like Denis Nikitin and not Antifa thugs like Putin and his Asiatic dog eating Minister of Defense Shoigu, we can have peace and a White future in Eastern Europe."

I am firmly against Putin and the Kremlin, because I am a White Nationalist. But because I am a White Nationalist I want the best for all of our race, whether you're an Afrikaner in South Afrika, or a White from America, Italy, or St. Petersburg. I do not gloat that Central Asians are going to continue selling drugs, attacking White children, raping young Slavic girls just because the Whites in question are Russian. I will post it online to share this

information with our community to hopefully have pro-Kremlin "Nationalists" see the error of their worldview, but I won't be happy about it. However, you can sense nothing but glee from the Ziggers that harm has befallen Estonia in the form of homosexual marriage and adoption being legalized. These people don't want the best for my people, who are supposed to be their people as well. They want to win an internet argument. They WANT the EU to flood Estonia with niggers and arabs, they WANT Estonian children to be raped by these savages so they can gleefully post it online to "own" the pro-White, pro-Ukraine community. These are the real "fake White Nationalists" that they accuse solid men like Thomas Sewell of being.

In the end what it really comes down to is what I mentioned before: a lot of Nationalists, especially the pro-Kremlin fake Nationalists, are just not very bright and their brains can't comprehend complexity. The opinion that I and many of you reading this hold is far too difficult for their little brains to understand. Namely:

"The EU is a malevolent force, but the Kremlin is worse in every regard. NATO is accidentally pro-White as it currently protects the Whitest part of our civilization from the anti-Fascist, multiracial Kremlin, but itself is an internationalist, anti-Nationalist structure largely dominated by anti-White elements who only briefly align with us due to their geopolitical games which we have no part in, and so while it should be supported only when juxtaposed with the Russian Federation, it should be dismembered in favour of Intermarium one day. The victory of Ukraine over the egregiously anti-White Kremlin, and full support of Ukrainian Nationalists and the Russian Nationalists in the Russian Volunteer Corps, is the only logical position for White Nationalists to have, as it is the only scenario in which the Nationalist community can emerge from this conflict powerful and intact, as it has been internally liquidated from Russia by the Kremlin, and they would do so again in Ukraine if Russia emerges victorious from this war."

That is a complex view, but it's the correct one. But if Ziggers tried to comprehend it their little minds would start to sputter and smoke would pour of their ears. Instead they need to try and understand the world in black and white, on or off, yes or no. In their immature, underdeveloped minds if I oppose the Russian Federation and support NATO/EU only when contrasted with the multiracial authoritarianism to our east then it means I must entirely support the EU and NATO.

So that's my rant about gay marriage and adoption being legalized in Estonia. I hate it, but that doesn't mean I want my country to be conquered by multiracial anti-fascists who will torture my friends I to death, diversify my country, erect statues to the USSR butchers who brutalized my people, send people who burn Qurans to Chechnya to be anally raped, have Dedovschina become part of the military culture etc etc.

GET INVOLVED!

Just a friendly reminder that if you aren't actively involved with your local Nationalist community in the real world, I hate you and you are a weak person not deserving of my respect. I don't care if you're my channel's biggest fan, you share my posts everywhere, whatever. Get involved with your local Nationalist community, and if you don't I want to make sure you know that your favourite niche Telegram microcelebrity thinks you're a weak piece of shit.

There are very few excuses for knowing about White Genocide and not actively fighting against it, which NO you are NOT doing by fucking TYPING ON THE INTERNET. There are guys with 9 kids who are active Nationalists, there

are guys who make hundreds of thousands a year who are active Nationalists, there are guys who are dead broke who are active Nationalists, there are old guys, young guys, you name it. If you aren't involved with Nationalism in the real world then it's because you don't want to be, and you will find any cope you can to excuse your weakness.

Oh, your local Nationalist community is bad because X? Cool, create a good one then. There is no excuse for inaction when you know the dire situation our race faces.

I am realistic, if you are moving across the country, it's fine to take a couple months off to settle that. If there's a big Nationalist event, but on the same day you have the ability to make 25% more in your job, have to take a very important test for university, your girl is about to give birth, you need to attend a funeral or wedding of a family member, it's reasonable to miss it. We all have lives, we all need to make good money, find a good woman, a place to live. The more money you make, the more kids you can have, the more stable and prosperous your personal life is, the more value you bring to Nationalism. Everybody knows that, everybody can be reasonable and understanding that we all have personal lives as well as political lives.

But it is not reasonable to think that Nationalism is a spectator sport. It isn't. Oh, you just like to watch? You sound like a cuck to me then. Fuck you.

If you are unsure where and how to join your local Nationalist community, feel free to DM me: @JamesNorthA and I will probably be able to point you in the right direction.

ON THE KAKHOVKA DAM

So the Russians are predictably attempting to blame the destruction of the Kakhovka Dam on the Ukrainians. A lot of people have given their thoughts on this, including an excellent chronology from Intel Slava Ukraini that I definitely recommend you read, so I will be brief with my thoughts.

Russia is claiming (after first proudly claiming they themselves are responsible) that Ukraine hit the dam with a MLRS/guided rocket/artillery munition of some sort. Major dams are absolutely covered with cameras both for security, but primarily for spotting damage/leaks to the dam, and then especially for being able to reconstruct a series of events when a dam fails. Youtube search "dam fails/breaks etc." and you will see lots of videos of this happening in the past, captured by the dam's own surveillance cameras. If Ukraine indeed struck it with a rocket or artillery shell, it would absolutely be captured on the Kakhovka's security cameras which the Russians themselves control. It would obviously be an idiotic design failure to have the surveillance camera footage die with the dam, so they generally record in real time to a safe location near but not on the dam so the failure of the dam does not lead to the loss of the surveillance footage of what happened. We have seen in this war dozens if not hundreds of instances of Russian rockets impacting Ukrainian civilian spaces and in every instance there is a frame in which the rocket is so visible that it can be identified by its tail fins for example. If Ukraine struck the dam with a rocket or artillery shell, it would be captured on video and that video would be everywhere. It isn't.

Russians could alternatively claim that it was a Ukrainian saboteur group which snuck into Russian territory either recently, or planted the explosives there when Ukraine last controlled the dam prior to February 24th, 2022 when Russia captured it but both scenarios are unbelievable. The explosives being planted by Ukraine and not noticed by the Russians in over 400 days is absurd. As incompetent as Russia is, I don't think even they are so incompetent as to not be able to protect dam from a saboteur team, especially when access to critical spots of the dam is so difficult. Did this Ukrainian saboteur team swim to the dam and then climb up the side of it, or did they pass through the facility full of cameras, security doors, hallways, 90 degree corners to the top of the dam?

Another hole in this story is the lack of a reason and follow-up action from Ukraine. They claim Ukraine bombed the dam so they could.. what? Crossing the Dnipro is even more difficult now than before. The river is wider, stronger, there are less islands to use as staging points for equipment. Ukraine is already in Zaporizhian Oblast, potentially about to conduct a counteroffensive there, and now Russia conveniently has one less front to defend against. Ukraine has been gifted a lot of combat bridge-laying vehicles which could have been used to rapidly cross the Dnipro with a not insignificant force. Now however the river is far too wide and wild for such a thing.

Finally, they are pointing to the fact that Ukraine has admitted to striking the dam before. It's true. In August/September 2022 Ukraine struck the road on top of the dam so it could not be used to transport military equipment. Afterwards both Ukrainian and Russian sources reported that the dam was not structurally compromised, as it was built in 1956 with the factor of nuclear war in mind. Which itself is another hole in the Russian story, blowing this dam up would not be easy, the idea that a Ukrainian saboteur team was able to sneak in and do it strains credulity, and the idea that a missile or artillery shell struck it without being captured on surveillance camera is patently absurd.

It's almost midnight and I haven't been able to look as deeply into this as I'd have liked to, but there are some of my preliminary thoughts that I have not seen shared elsewhere.

LIBERALISM AS POLITICAL TECHNOLOGY

Nicholas Jeelvy writes,

“Too many people are enthralled by the whole notion of illiberalism to understand that liberalism is political technology - a means of giving people a stake in society which likely contributed to Europe's stratospheric rise as the scientific, cultural, technological and economic center of the world. If we are to replace liberal ideology (which I'm open to), we have to replace it with something that likewise gives people a stake in their society, and most illiberal regimes, save the one most avoided and most strenuously disavowed by self-proclaimed illiberals, fail in this.

This tendency likely leads the right to its anti-technological, anti-high culture, anti-urban and fundamentally anti-civilizational turn. From patently ridiculous stances such as Parvini/Pakidemic Agent claiming that originality and innovation are inherently subversive, to dangerously cogent positions, some of which are perpetuated even by some of my friends and myself in the past, the right has a problem with making an idol out of pre-technological, rural and otherwise simple existence. If we are to decisively take this position, we abdicate ownership of and credit for complex civilization to the enemy."

Nix is right about the role of liberalism as a political technology which briefly served a useful purpose in the development of European Civilization. I can hear Julius Evola and his ardent supporters screaming already, so hear me out.

Once upon a time liberalism and Nationalism were hand in hand. Nationalism was a liberalization of society from its monarchical status. Evola decried this process, but personally I welcomed it - and so did the NSDAP, as I will cite below. Not that we need to gauge everything in 2023 from a standpoint of what a German Nationalist party from the 1920's-40's thought, I am just pointing out that it's not an uncommon position for Nationalists to be supportive of the transition of European Civilization from monarchy to nation-states. Monarchy was a good system when travel and communication faced significant barriers, but the gradual technological and cultural advancement of society outpaced the usefulness of such an absolute, centralized, closed-off ruling caste. Common people had no real way of advancement, education was limited only to those who chose a career with the church, and progress artistically, spiritually, technologically, was stifled by such a system.

German historian Bernhard Schwertfeger analyzed the post-revolution French military in a 1940 essay: "In the absolutist state structure of the 18th century, the population customarily regarded grand politics with indifference. The revolution in France drew the people into its vortex... One of the chief principles in the French Revolution was that in the case of war everyone had to defend the fatherland. The entire resources of the nation were therefore available in an instant. While wars were previously just private affairs of the princes, now they evolved into a question of survival for the entire nation."

The post-French Revolution military was able to steamroll Europe for years not only because of the talent of Napoleon, but because they harvested every ounce of talent from the French people and integrated it into their nation's military, economy, cultural life etc. The crushing defeat of the monarchical militaries at the hands of the French during the Napoleonic Wars is a repudiation of the monarchical system. Only an alliance of all of Europe was able to not match, but rather grind down the skill of the French military. Also of note is the former German Emperor Wilhelm II found refuge in Netherlands post-abdication, but when Germany occupied the Netherlands during WW2 the National Socialist regime, and Hitler himself, largely ignored him, deeming him a relic of the past worthy of respect but of no great importance. Hitler thought that the German right, both before and after 1933, who advocated for a return of the Kaiser were ridiculous - even if Hitler himself briefly held this position immediately following WW1.

Am I saying I am a fan of liberalism and the French Revolution as a whole? Of course not. The French Revolution had many abhorrent practices and ideologies associated with it. One of the more ghastly aspects of the revolution that a lot of people don't know about is that since the French Aristocracy had a lot of Norman/Nordic/Germanic ancestry, and blonde hair was more common amongst the aristocracy than the

common folk, sometimes blonde people would be targeted and murdered for no reason other than their blonde hair. Liberalism has of course become something different in 2023 than it was in the 16 and 1700's, when self-defined liberals saw no contradiction between their worldview and owning African slaves and depriving them of rights and status.

You can tell that I have significant issues with Evola's worldview. I remember reading his "Notes on the Third Reich" and "A Traditionalist Confronts Fascism" and reading that he was upset that working class German kids attended the same summer camps as upper class German kids and were beginning to find too much in common with one another. I thought that was ridiculous and petty. Prussian aristocratic tradition for example was respected and continued in National Socialist Germany as it never was under Weimar to the same degree, but NS Germany also made the German people realize they are one people united primarily through blood and kinship, not borders, economic classes, or even a language. That is precisely what we as White Nationalists want for our own states in the future. I think Evola is a critical author for bridging the gap between our modern society and ancient Western tradition. If Western metaphysical tradition interests you then he is a must-read author, but fundamentally his aristocratic and often snobbish attitude towards the structuring of society is against the very essence of White Nationalism.

Nationalism is plagued by people who too often seek to copy and paste the past onto the present, while somehow forgetting that our past forms of societal organization, worldviews, and traditions all failed to prevent the crisis our race finds itself in today! We need to formulate new ideas, new ways of thinking, new ways of structuring society.

My position on this topic boils down to this: Do you believe the White Race is talented as a whole, or that we just have a few shining stars? If you believe the White Race itself is worthy, talented, and capable as a whole, then you should be happy that education is no longer limited to Christian scholars and priests, that anyone of talent could have once upon a time risen in White society (now of course we are stifled by an occupational, racially alien elite, but it doesn't have to always be this way). However, now that liberalism has ever so briefly served a useful purpose, it must of course be crushed, but we shouldn't throw out the baby with the bathwater. If we returned to a time of mass illiteracy, the Church controlling education, and the stifling of natural talent from non-privileged backgrounds, it would be a major step backwards for our race and civilization.

Unfortunately, as I have mentioned before and will mention again in the future, Nationalism is plagued by people whose minds, at best, operate in an on-off manner, and can't deal with complexity and nuances. This is one of the major factors that led to so many Anglosphere "Nationalists" supporting the Kremlin; they couldn't comprehend not having a yes-no, black-white, on-off opinion on any given topic. Whereas we have the ideologically correct yet complex view of:

"The EU is a malevolent force, but the Kremlin is worse in every regard. NATO is accidentally pro-White as it currently protects the Whitest part of our civilization from the anti-Fascist, multiracial Kremlin, but itself is an internationalist, anti-Nationalist structure largely dominated by anti-White elements who only briefly align with us due to their geopolitical games which we have no part in, and so while it should be supported only when juxtaposed with the Russian Federation, it should be dismembered in favour of Intermarium one day. The victory of Ukraine over the egregiously anti-White Kremlin, and full support of Ukrainian Nationalists and the Russian Nationalists in the Russian Volunteer Corps, is the only logical position for White Nationalists to have, as it is the only scenario in which the Nationalist community can emerge from this conflict powerful and intact, as it has

been internally liquidated from Russia by the Kremlin, and they would do so again in Ukraine if Russia emerges victorious from this war."

That is a complex view, but it's the correct one.

The topic of holding complex positions reminds me of my 4 post series discussing the inherent contradiction of civilization, and giving my opinion on the civilization vs anarcho-primitivism/noble barbarian debate in the Nationalist community, which you can read [here](#).

Which brings me to my next opinion which is only controversial on the surface level for small-brained Nationalists.

We should support the liberalization of Russian political life.

Right now Russia is an authoritarian regime controlled by Putin and the Kremlin, who host anti-Fascist conferences, persecute White Nationalists, and import millions of non-Whites in absurd numbers. If the Putin regime loses this war and is somehow overthrown, even if by the forces of the EU democratic crowd, and the Russian people are suddenly thrust into a situation they are utterly unfamiliar with - freedom - then I believe the same thing would happen in the 2020's as happened in the 1990's and 00's: an explosive growth of White Nationalists, all of the ingredients are there.

These ingredients being: absurdly fast White replacement, a lost war, a neighbour with a booming Nationalist community, internal confusion, depression, and ultimately questioning and seeking a new order. Can anybody doubt that these ingredients would conspire to give Russia something it has not had since Putin consolidated power over the Russian state; a thriving White Nationalist community?

Someone once asked me why Ukraine experienced such a large growth of their Nationalist community. One of the reasons I mentioned was that Tesak's cultural influence was not just in Russia, but also in all of Eastern Europe, especially Ukraine and Belarus. In Russia the community he created was liquidated by the Kremlin, but as the Kremlin was no longer able to directly order events in Ukraine, in Ukraine it survived. Now the same process is able to happen in reverse. Ukraine is a position to spread White Nationalism across Russia via not only the Russian Volunteer Corps, but the existence of such a strong White Nationalist community in a country that borders Russia. All of this of course presupposes Ukraine winning the war, but my main point here has been ideological, not material. If the liberalization of Russia were to occur we should welcome it, as all the ingredients of an explosive growth of White Nationalism in Russia is there.

I understand that some might hear me talking about a growth of Nationalism in Russia as a good thing and feel uneasy. I use Nationalism in its legitimate sense, which has an ethnic/racial component, which is why throughout my channel and even these posts I use Nationalism and White Nationalism interchangeably. If you are a Russian White Nationalist, not a Russian patriot who waves flags for Russian imperialism to conquer in the name of the victory of WW2 over National Socialism, Denazification, and Asiatic-Muslim immigration, then you support Ukraine right now anyway, and would continue to do so after a collapse of your Chekist occupational government.

This would be a good thing for Ukraine, as the natural leaders of a newly resurgent Russian Nationalist community that was able to find its feet again in the absence of the Putin regime's oppression would be Denis Nikitin, Russian Volunteer Corps, and all the Russian NS who have found a home in Ukraine over the past decade. Perhaps when Russia is not ran by Anti-Fascists, but rather by National Socialists and White Nationalists, we can have peace in Eastern Europe.

After all the death and destruction that the Russian state, no matter who was in control of it, has inflicted on Ukraine the only way that Ukraine and Russia could possibly repair relations in the future was if Nationalists were in power in both countries, and Russia not only paid significant funds to reconstruction in Ukraine, but also relinquished multiple Oblasts on the border between Ukraine and Russia to Ukraine. Belgorod, Bryansk, Kursk, and Rostov Oblasts come to mind. I know that this poses potential problems due to large Russian and small Ukrainian populations, but amends has to be made by Russia to Ukraine in some way, should Nationalists ever come to power in both countries and relations be sought to be restored.

I am uncompromising on every Russian invader of Ukraine dying, Donbas and Crimea returning to Ukraine, and Ukraine winning the war. However, there are still millions of Whites in Russia who should not be abandoned just because they are occupied by an Anti-Fascist regime. They are still our people, and should not be forgotten.

To summarize the main points in this rant:

-Liberalism was a technology that briefly served its purpose and made our nations stronger, we should not throw the baby out with the bathwater and we should seek to create a new order, not copy and paste an old one onto the present.

-We should support the liberalization of Russia so that White Nationalism can once again thrive there.

-If Russia is controlled by White Nationalists, then significant amends has to be made by Russia to Ukraine, in the form of money for reconstruction and land.

WILL ZIGGERS EVER LEARN?

As I think over my debates with ziggers I come to an interesting conclusion, which proves the moral righteousness of our position. And that is that we, the pro-White, pro-Ukraine community, care more about ethnic Russians (who aren't trying to kill Nationalists and other Whites), than they do.

I mention how Putin has crushed White Nationalism in Russia, how over 8000 Russian Nationalists are serving prison time for violating article 282 "inciting racial hatred", and the zigger replies "So what, they are [insert liberal argument about how White advocates are unintelligent, unsophisticated, brutish fools who deserve whatever they get]. It will be easier to be a Nationalist in MY country (somehow) when Putin wins and denazifies Ukraine."

I mention how Putin has imported millions of churkas into Russia who are selling drugs, raping Slavic girls, and Islamizing Russia, and the zigger replies "It will be easier to be a Nationalist in MY country when Putin balances the monopolar-hegemonic-neo-liberal-Westphalian-Atlanticist American world order."

I mention how Putin is rapidly supporting the Islamification of Russia and Europe, and the zigger replies "So what, Russia is a multicultural country, it will be easier to be a Nationalist in MY country (somehow) when Putin wins and denazifies Ukraine."

I mention how Putin and his cronies are oligarchs who take billions from the Russian state and spend it on mansions and yachts while the tens of millions of Slavic Russian people outside of St. Petersburg and Moscow live in the most squalid conditions of any Whites in the world in significant numbers, and the zigger replies "So what, Putin needs to focus state revenue on his army so he can defeat Ukraine and make it easier to be a Nationalist in MY country (somehow)."

To ziggers, the ethnic Ukrainian and Russian people are just pawns on a chessboard that they THINK they have some stake in. They don't care about White Children intentionally murdered by Russian missiles, they don't care about White Genocide if they think it benefits them, they don't care about churkas raping Russian children so long as those churkas are mobilized to kill Nationalists in Ukraine. Fundamentally they do not care about White people. Be it a Ukrainian child murdered by a Russian missile or a Russian child raped by an Uzbek. They don't understand Blood and Soil, they don't understand what it's supposed to mean to care for our RACE as a whole, and it's individual members, and to support what is best for it regardless of what liberals on twitter say.

When you examine zigger rhetoric you find nothing but Talmudic hatred and vitriol for any Whites who stand against Putin and the Kremlin. We have all seen them excuse anything and everything Putin does, from sentencing White teenagers who burn Qurans to anal rape by Muslims to organizing anti-fascist conferences.

I proudly stand by the firm opinion that every Russian soldier invading Ukraine must leave or die. If you want to invade White Ukraine and turn it into multiracial & multicultural Russia, and kill Nationalists and non-Nationalist men, women, and children along the way, then you deserve death. But I want the Whites in St. Petersburg to be free of Putin as much as I do the Whites in Kyiv, which is why I support the Russian Volunteer Corps and recently made a 170 Euro purchase with Heretic Camp to support them. I don't abandon members of our race because they are held hostage by an enemy regime. Ziggers however view Ukrainians and Russians not as members of our race, but pawns on a chessboard they think is theirs, and as pixels on a screen. They don't even see them as human beings let alone as members of our race they should love and want the best for.

Ziggers are fake White Nationalists. Glory to the Eastern Slavic tribes resisting Putin, whether they are ethnic and/or linguistic Ukrainians and/or Russians.

FAREWELL FROM JAMES A.

In 24 hours the content of this channel will be deleted. James A has retired from digital public life. If you wish to save anything from the channel in any format, you have roughly 24 hours. A final message from James:

On March 17th, 2022, at 2 in the fucking morning, I created my channel, James A's Rants and Presentations. I was not only incredibly upset with the events in Ukraine, as I've mentioned previously the first few nights after the full scale Russian invasion I could not sleep, and when I did get an hour or two I dreamed about the war, then woke up and frantically scrambled for my devices to check for updates. But more than that I was seeing large portions of the community I gladly gave 12 or so years of my life to supporting White Genocide and the most anti-Nationalist regime in the Western world. Thus began my effort posts.

16 months and 3150 followers later my life has been complicated by recent events. I always planned to delete my channel when, Gods willing, Ukraine won the war, or a settlement of some sort was reached. At times my channel felt like a chore I had to do. But now I feel I can delete my channel with less guilt for one simple reason: the Ziggers have been quite beaten down, and I am just one flawed and mortal man. A humble Telegram channel will not decide the war. The people do not need to hear my opinions to form their own. I am not clairvoyant or a deity whose words must be read, obeyed, and shared for justice to reign. I don't have an obligation to a social media account. However, I do have obligations to real people in the real world.

Unfortunately support for Ukraine is not unanimous in the Nationalist community, especially in America and the UK, but without a doubt the pro-White pro-Ukraine community is much larger and louder in July 2023 than March 2022, and the reverse is true for the Ziggers.

If I played a small part in that small victory, I'm glad. Hearing from those of you out there who said my posts helped you at least a little bit towards feeling less affection for the Russian Federation, and more for Ukrainian Nationalists, if not total reverse from Zigger to pro-Ukraine, always brightened my ever worsening mood.

Beyond the propaganda impact, my channel did a couple of fundraisers for comrades in Ukraine. One originating on my channel, and another on Active Club Estonia. Cumulatively we raised around 4k Euros and repaired operations vehicles and bought important supplies and equipment for the front. Thank you to everyone who donated. Combined with my personal purchases of Ukrainian and Russian Nationalist merchandise to fund their struggle against RF, and other donations I made to fundraisers for Ukraine, I helped raise and personally donated over 5k Euros to the struggle of Ukraine against the Kremlin. I wish I could have done more. One day perhaps, if the war sadly carries on. There's worse fates than a noble death for an honourable cause, knowing your blood continues on in your children, family, and race, followed by eternal rest.

Beyond Ukraine and Russia I've posted about the importance of beauty in both people and civilization, Estonian history, Roman history, the concept of civilization, and other topics that interest me. Unfortunately I couldn't cover them all. Feel free to browse and save and share. Not only do you not need to attribute me, I'd prefer if you didn't. Let the worldview burn brightly but let "James A" die peacefully. If you wish, let this be the last post of mine you share. I never did this for social clout. Let me fade from digital public life to at least some degree. I've had it with owning a Telegram channel and being talked about online by strangers, both friends and foes alike. Foes likely won't heed these words any more than they have my previous words, but I hope friends will.

If I am to leave you with one message I want to resonate with you, it is this. If you want to see the reason for our people's potential doom, and our potential salvation, look in the mirror and there you will find both.

Ukraine will win. Hail Victory.

